

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

Decision Rationale Total Maximum Daily Loads for Streams in the Greenbrier River Watershed West Virginia

Signed

Jon M. Capacasa, Director Water Protection Division

Date: 6/11/2008

Decision Rationale

Total Maximum Daily Loads for Streams in the Greenbrier River Watershed, West Virginia

I. Introduction

The Clean Water Act (CWA) requires a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) to be developed for those waterbodies identified as impaired by a state where technology-based and other controls did not provide for attainment of water quality standards. A TMDL is a determination of the amount of a pollutant from point, nonpoint, and natural background sources, including a Margin of Safety (MOS), which may be discharged to a water quality limited waterbody.

This document will set forth the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) rationale for approving the TMDLs for fecal coliform bacteria impairments on waterbodies in the Greenbrier River Watershed. The TMDLs were developed to address impairment of water quality as identified in West Virginia's 2002, 2004, and 2006 Section 303(d) Lists of impaired waters. EPA's rationale is based on the determination that the TMDLs meet the following seven regulatory conditions pursuant to 40 CFR §130.

- 1. The TMDL is designed to implement applicable water quality standards.
- 2. The TMDL includes a total allowable load as well as individual wasteload allocations (WLAs) and load allocations (LAs).
- 3. The TMDL considers the impacts of background pollutant contributions.
- 4. The TMDL considers critical environmental conditions.
- 5. The TMDL considers seasonal environmental variations.
- 6. The TMDL includes a MOS.
- 7. The TMDL has been subject to public participation.

In addition, these TMDLs considered reasonable assurance that the TMDL allocations assigned to nonpoint sources can be reasonably met.

From this point forward, all references in this approval rationale are found in West Virginia's TMDL Report *Total Maximum Daily Loads for Streams in the Greenbrier River Watershed, West Virginia* (TMDL Report), unless otherwise noted.

II. Summary

Table 3-3 of the TMDL Report presents the waterbodies and impairments for which TMDLs have been developed for the Greenbrier River Watershed by the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (WVDEP). The 39 waterbodies were identified on West Virginia's 2006 Section 303(d) List. TMDLs were developed for fecal coliform bacteria impairments. The TMDL is a written plan and analysis established to ensure that a waterbody will attain and maintain water quality standards. The TMDL is a scientifically-based strategy which considers current and foreseeable conditions, the best available data, and accounts for uncertainty with the inclusion of a MOS value. Conditions, available data, and the understanding of the natural processes can change more than anticipated by the MOS. The option is always available to refine the TMDLs for re-submittal to EPA for approval.

Section 6.0 of the TMDL Report presents applicable TMDLs (sum of wasteload allocations + sum of load allocations + margin of safety) for fecal coliform bacteria. Allocation spreadsheets also provide applicable TMDLs, wasteload allocations to individual point sources, and load allocations to categories of nonpoint sources. A Technical Report provides descriptions of the detailed technical approaches used throughout the TMDL development process. West Virginia developed an interactive ArcExplorer geographic information system (GIS) project that shows the spatial relationships between source assessment data for streams in the Greenbrier River Watershed. The TMDLs are presented as average annual loads in counts per year, because they were developed to meet TMDL endpoints under a range of conditions observed throughout the year. The TMDLs are also presented as equivalent average daily loads in counts per day.

III. Background

The Greenbrier River Watershed is located in southeastern West Virginia, and lies mostly within Pocahontas, Greenbrier, Monroe, and Summers Counties (Figure 3-1). The Greenbrier River, a component of the New/Kanawha River drainage, encompasses nearly 1,646 square miles. Major tributaries include East Fork and West Fork of the Greenbrier River, Deer Creek, Sitlington Creek, Knapp Creek, Anthony Creek, Spring Creek, Howard Creek, Second Creek, and Muddy Creek. Cities and towns in the watershed include Durbin, Green Bank, Marlinton, Hillsboro, Frankford, Lewisburg, Alderson, Hinton, and White Sulphur Springs. The Greenbrier River Watershed is dominated by forest land uses (77.9%), with some karst landscape (10.1%), grassland (6.2%), and pasture (4.0%) land uses (Table 3-1). All other land uses compose less than two percent of the total watershed area.

West Virginia conducted extensive water quality monitoring from July 2004 through June 2005 in the Greenbrier River Watershed. The results of this effort were used to confirm the listing of waterbodies not meeting applicable water quality criteria and to identify impaired waterbodies that were not previously listed. TMDLs were developed for the impaired waterbodies in 20 subwatersheds shown in Figure 3-3. Table 3-3 presents the 39 impaired waters for which TMDLs are developed. The TMDLs were developed for fecal coliform bacteria impairment including 39 TMDLs (waterbody/pollutant combinations). The 20 subwatersheds were further divided into 364 subwatersheds for modeling purposes (Figure 5-1). The subwatershed delineation provided a basis for georeferencing pertinent source information and monitoring data, and for presenting TMDLs.

These TMDLs were developed by West Virginia for non-consent decree waters listed on the 2002, 2004, and 2006 Section 303(d) Lists of impaired waters. These TMDLs help West

Virginia to meet TMDL development pace requirements.

WVDEP recently assumed responsibility for the TMDL Program and utilized the Watershed Management Framework cycle approach for TMDL development. The framework divides the state into 32 major watersheds and operates on a five-year, five-step process. The watersheds are divided into five hydrologic groups (A - E). Each group is assessed once every five years and waters are placed on the Section 303(d) List of impaired waters, as necessary. The TMDL process begins in the first year of the cycle with pre-TMDL sampling and public meetings in the affected watersheds. The data is compiled and TMDL development begins in year two of the cycle. In the third year, TMDL development continues and the TMDL is drafted. The TMDL is finalized in the fourth year. In the fifth year of the cycle, TMDL implementation is initiated through the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting process and efforts toward limiting nonpoint source loading. Throughout the TMDL developed by WVDEP. West Virginia's TMDL process is described in Section 2.1 of the TMDL report.

Computational Procedures

Section 4.0 of the TMDL Report discusses fecal coliform bacteria source assessment. Fecal coliform bacteria sources include point sources, including individual sources covered under the NPDES program such as wastewater treatment plants, combined sewer overflows (CSOs), sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs), and general sewage permits; and unpermitted sources, including on-site treatment systems, stormwater runoff, agriculture, and natural background (wildlife). The Technical Report has expanded details of the fecal coliform bacteria source assessment discussed in Section 4.0.

Section 5.0 describes the modeling processes employed during TMDL development with further details provided in the Technical Report. The Mining Data Analysis System (MDAS) was used to represent the source response linkage in the Greenbrier River watershed for fecal coliform. MDAS is a comprehensive data management and modeling system that is capable of representing loads from nonpoint and point sources in the watershed and simulating instream processes. MDAS is used to simulate watershed hydrology and pollution transport, as well as stream hydraulics and instream water quality. It is capable of simulating different flow regimes and pollutant loading variations. A customized Microsoft Excel spreadsheet tool was used to determine the fecal loading from failing septic systems identified during source tracking efforts by WVDEP. West Virginia's numeric and water quality criteria and an explicit MOS were used to identify the TMDL endpoints.

EPA has determined that these TMDLs are consistent with statutory and regulatory requirements and EPA's policy and guidance. EPA's rationale for establishing these TMDLs is set forth according to the regulatory requirements listed below.

1. The TMDLs are designed to implement the applicable water quality standards.

The applicable numeric water quality criteria are shown in Table 2-1. The applicable designated uses for all the waters subject to this report are aquatic life protection, water contact recreation, and public water supply. Although the designated use of aquatic life protection is applicable to the streams in the Greenbrier River Watershed, violations of the numeric aquatic life criteria were not observed through pre-TMDL monitoring. In various waters, the water contact recreation and public water supply uses have been determined to be violated, pursuant to exceedances of the numeric water quality criteria for fecal coliform bacteria.

2. The TMDLs include a total allowable load as well as individual waste load allocations and load allocations.

A TMDL is the total amount of a pollutant that can be assimilated by the receiving water while still achieving water quality standards. TMDLs can be expressed in terms of mass per time or by other appropriate measures. TMDLs are comprised of the sum of individual WLAs for point sources, LAs for non-point sources, and natural background levels. In addition, the TMDL must include an MOS, either implicitly or explicitly, that accounts for the uncertainty in the relationship between pollutant loads and the quality of the receiving stream. Conceptually, this definition is denoted by the following equation:

For purposes of these TMDLs only, wasteload allocations are given to NPDES permitted discharge points and load allocations are given to discharges from activities that do not have an associated NPDES permit, such as failing septic systems and straight pipes. The decision to assign load allocations to these sources does not reflect any determination by WVDEP or EPA as to whether there are, in fact, unpermitted point source discharges. In addition, by establishing these TMDLs with failing septic systems and straight pipes treated as load allocations, WVDEP and EPA are not determining that these discharges are exempt from NPDES permitting requirements.

Section 6.0 of the TMDL Report presents applicable TMDLs for fecal coliform. Allocation spreadsheets also provide applicable TMDLs, wasteload allocations to individual point sources and load allocations to categories of unpermitted sources. The Fecal Coliform Bacteria Allocation Spreadsheet presents detailed fecal coliform TMDLs, LAs, and WLAs. The TMDLs are presented as average annual loads in counts per year because they were developed to meet TMDL endpoints under a range of conditions observed throughout the year. The TMDLs are also presented as equivalent average daily loads in counts per day.

Fecal coliform bacteria sources are: point sources, including individual NPDES permits for wastewater treatment plants, CSOs, SSOs, and general sewage permits; and unpermitted sources, including on-site treatment systems, stormwater runoff, agriculture, and natural background (wildlife). Fecal coliform bacteria TMDLs were developed in 39 streams and will affect 36 permits including seven publicly owned treatment works (POTWs), one CSO, one SSO, 24 privately owned sewage treatment plants ("package plants"), two individual sewage treatment plants (STPs), and four home aeration units (HAUs). The TMDLs allowed fecal coliform NPDES permits to remain at 200 counts/100 ml (monthly average) and 400 counts/100 ml (daily maximum). There are no municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s) within the Greenbrier River Watershed. Load allocations were assigned to pasture, on-site sewer systems including failing septic systems and straight pipes, residential landuses including urban/residential runoff, and background and other nonpoint sources including wildlife sources from forested land and grasslands. Fecal coliform reductions will require elimination of SSOs, illicit discharges, straight pipes, and leaking septic systems. The loadings from wildlife sources were not reduced.

The TMDL development methodologies prescribe allocations that achieve water quality criteria throughout the watershed. Various provisions attempt equity between categories of sources and the targeting of pollutant reductions from the most problematic sources. Nonpoint source reductions did not result in loading contributions less than the natural conditions, and point source allocations were not more stringent than numeric water quality criteria.

3. The TMDLs consider the impacts of background pollutant contributions.

The TMDL considers the impact of background pollutant contributions by considering loadings from background sources like wildlife. MDAS also considers background pollutant contributions by modeling all land uses.

4. The TMDLs consider critical environmental conditions.

According to EPA's regulation 40 CFR §130.7 (c)(1), TMDLs are required to take into account critical conditions for stream flow, loading, and water quality parameters. The intent of this requirement is to ensure that the water quality of the impaired waterbody is protected during times when it is most vulnerable.

Critical conditions are important because they describe the factors that combine to cause a violation of water quality standards and will help in identifying the actions that may have to be undertaken to meet water quality standards. Critical conditions for waters impacted by land based nonpoint sources generally occur during periods of wet weather and high surface runoff. In contrast, critical conditions for point source-dominated systems generally occur during low flow and low dilution conditions. Point sources, in this context, also include nonpoint sources that are not precipitation driven (i.e., fecal deposition to stream). High and low flow stream conditions and all point and nonpoint source loads were included in the development of these TMDLs, which should address the critical conditions of each water.

5. The TMDLs consider seasonal environmental variations.

Seasonal variations were considered while considering critical conditions, by running the daily simulation model for several years, from 1998 to 2003 for MDAS. Continuous simulation

(modeling over a period of several years that capture precipitation extremes) inherently considers seasonal hydrologic and source loading variability.

6. The TMDLs include a Margin of Safety.

The CWA and Federal regulations require TMDLs to include an MOS to take into account any lack of knowledge concerning the relationship between effluent limitations and water quality. EPA guidance suggests two approaches to satisfy the MOS requirement. First, it can be met implicitly by using conservative model assumptions to develop the allocations. Alternately, it can be met explicitly by allocating a portion of the allowable load to the MOS.

An explicit MOS of five percent was included to counter uncertainty in the modeling process (Section 5.2.1). West Virginia also set the modeling endpoints to 95 percent of the water quality standards as an additional MOS. West Virginia did not include a discussion regarding an implicit MOS but did use conservative model assumptions (such as assuming all point sources continually discharge at permit limits) to develop the allocations.

7. The TMDL has been subject to public participation.

Section 8.0 describes the public participation which included two meetings to present information on fundamental TMDL concepts and to present West Virginia's proposed TMDL allocation strategies, a 30-day public comment period, and a final public informational meeting. The 30-day public comment period was held from February 1, 2008 to March 3, 2008, with a public meeting held on February 11, 2008, in Lewisburg, West Virginia. EPA was the only entity from which West Virginia received written comments. West Virginia appropriately addressed these comments.

IV. Discussion of Reasonable Assurance

EPA requires that there be a reasonable assurance that a TMDL can be implemented. Section 9.0 addresses reasonable assurance. There are three primary programs in effect which provide reasonable assurance that the TMDLs will be implemented. Section 9.1 discusses permit reissuance by WVDEP's Division of Water and Waste Management scheduled to begin in July 2008. Section 9.2 discusses the Watershed Management Framework Process. Section 9.3 discusses ongoing public sewer projects.

Section 10.0 discusses monitoring activities including NPDES compliance, nonpoint source project monitoring, and TMDL effectiveness monitoring.

Section 7.0 discusses the future growth and water quality trading in the Greenbrier River Watershed TMDL. In many cases, the implementation of the fecal coliform bacteria TMDLs will consist of providing public sewer service to unsewered areas. A new facility could be permitted in the watershed, provided that the permit includes average monthly and maximum daily fecal coliform limitations of 200 counts/100 ml and 400 counts/100 ml, respectively, which

are the technology-based fecal coliform effluent limitations that are more stringent than applicable water quality criteria.

There are several watershed associations for the Greenbrier River Watershed including the Greenbrier River Watershed Association, Upper Knapps Creek Watershed Association, and Friends of the Lower Greenbrier River.