

**West Virginia**

**Drinking Water Treatment Revolving Fund**

---

# **FY2026 Intended Use Plan**

Submitted to the  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region III  
June xx, 2025

---

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

Glossary.....	3
<b>SECTION I</b>	
Introduction.....	4
<b>SECTION II</b>	
Funds Identification.....	4
<b>SECTION III</b>	
Goals.....	6
<b>SECTION IV</b>	
Project Priority List.....	7
<b>SECTION V</b>	
Fund Activities.....	8
<b>SECTION VI</b>	
Assurances.....	14
<b>SECTION VII</b>	
Public Participation.....	15

## Appendices

A -	Comprehensive Project Priority List (Base, Emerging Contaminant, and Lead Projects)
B -	Fundable Project Priority List
C -	Public Meeting Summary
D -	Median Household Income by County and Magisterial District
D1 -	Median Household Income by Municipality
E -	Unemployment Data
F -	Population Data
G -	Potential Lead Service Line Projects
H -	Potential Emerging Contaminants Projects
I -	Sources and Uses Chart (for EPA use only)

# Glossary

---

The following abbreviations are used throughout this document to denote the listed words, terms, and phrases:

ACT – WV Code Chapter 22, Article 36  
BAN – Bond Anticipation Note  
BCL – Binding Commitment Letter  
BIL – 2022 Bipartisan Infrastructure Law  
BPH – West Virginia Bureau for Public Health  
CWSRF – Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
DWTRF – Drinking Water Treatment Revolving Fund  
DEP – West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection  
DH – Department of Health  
EPA – United States Environmental Protection Agency  
ETT – Enforcement Targeting Tool  
IIJA - 2022 Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act  
IJDC – West Virginia Infrastructure and Jobs Development Council  
IUP – Intended Use Plan  
LSL – Lead Service Line  
MHI – Median Household Income  
OA – Operating Agreement  
OEHS – West Virginia Office of Environmental and Health Services  
PFAS – Polyfluoroalkyl Substances  
PPL – Project Priority List  
PSC – Public Service Commission of West Virginia  
PWS – Public Water System  
PWSS – Public Water Supply Supervision Program  
SDWA – Safe Drinking Water Act  
USGS – U. S. Geological Survey  
WDA – West Virginia Water Development Authority

# SECTION I

## Introduction

---

This document is the Drinking Water Treatment Revolving Fund's (DWTRF) Intended Use Plan (IUP) for state fiscal year 2026 (July 1, 2025 – June 30, 2026 (FY2026)).

The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) amendments of 1996 authorized a DWTRF program to assist public water systems in financing the cost of the infrastructure needed to achieve or maintain compliance with SDWA requirements and protect public health. The WV Legislature created the DWTRF in 1997. In addition to federal capitalization grants and amendments awarded by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the State has provided, where required, the matching funds for each grant and amendment. Repayments of loans, dedicated settlements, and investment earnings are also available within the Drinking Water Treatment Revolving Fund to fund drinking water projects. Funds available during this fiscal year are listed in Section II.

The West Virginia Legislature, at the 2023 Regular Session, passed House Bill 561, which transferred administration of the DWTRF from BPH to the DEP effective July 1, 2023. The DEP will be working in collaboration with the Office of Environmental and Health Services (OEHS) to provide funding to necessary projects and to provide financial support to the OEHS to carry out set-aside activities funded under Sections 1452 (g)(2)(A), (B), (C), and (D) and Sections 1452 (k)(1)(B), (C), and (D) of the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act.

As a result, the DEP is responsible for issuing and implementing the IUP and providing the necessary funding from the set-asides to fund all eligible expenditures in support of the OEHS Environmental Engineering Division.

## SECTION II

### Funds Identification

---

The chart on the next page identifies the sources that will be used for loans and other anticipated expenditure categories. The 2% Technical Assistance funding is used to contract for a Continuing Education Training program for water operator training and certification. The 10% Program Management funding is used for enhancing the Public Water Supply Supervision Program (PWSS). The 15% Local Assistance funding is used in implementing the state source water protection program, operator training and certification program, and public water system technical assistance, emergency response assistance, and sanitary surveys. Projects will be added to the IUP from the PPL in priority order, subject to readiness to proceed. The DWTRF is also reserving the authority to transfer to or receive funds from the Clean Water State Revolving Fund as needed to accomplish program objectives and demand. The DWTRF also reserves the authority to leverage the Fund as needed as well as use the flexibility to cross-collateralize the CWSRF and DWTRF as needed.

# WEST VIRGINIA DRINKING WATER TREATMENT REVOLVING FUND

## Intended Use Plan – Sources and Uses of Funds

State FY2026 (July 1, 2025 – June 30, 2026)

### Available funds as of March 31, 2025:

Cash balance in DWTRF account	\$ 41,407,199	
Federal funds accounts payable	<u>\$117,687,602</u>	
		<u>\$ 159,094,801</u>

### New funds available during state FY2026:

Next Federal EPA Base Grant	\$ 10,906,000	
Next Base State Match	\$ 2,181,200	
Next Federal IJJA Grant	\$ 24,898,000	
Next IJJA State Match	\$ 4,979,000	
Emerging Contaminants Grant**	\$ 7,640,000	
Lead Service Line Replacement funds**	\$ 28,650,000	
Est. Repayments (principal) (to 6/30/26)*	\$ 10,274,830	
Est. Repayments (interest) (to 6/30/26)*	\$ 1,458,957	
Est. Investment Earnings (to 6/30/26)*	<u>\$ 1,891,972</u>	
		<u>\$ 92,879,959</u>

### Less:

Existing project loans payables (3/31/25) =	\$167,790,294	
Existing binding commitments (3/31/25) =	\$ 21,407,014	
2 percent set-aside for Technical Assistance	\$ 500,000	
4 percent set-aside for Program Administration	\$ 0	
10 percent set-aside for State Program Management	\$ 1,493,149	
15 percent set-aside for Local Assistance	<u>\$ 4,823,825</u>	
		<u>\$196,014,282</u>

**Net available funds during FY2026 = \$ 56,073,278**

Notes:

The matches should be received from the IJDC by July 2025.

\*These are estimates at this time. Project funding will be adjusted to accommodate the actual funds received.

\*\* No match is required

# SECTION III

## Goals

---

### A. Short Term Goals

1. Fill vacant staff positions within the OEHS, Environmental Engineering Division (EED). There are currently multiple positions vacant throughout the Division.
2. Work with appropriate agencies in the implementation of West Virginia's PFAS Protection Act.
3. Work with Public Water Systems (PWS) to reduce health-based violations.
4. DEP and OEHS will continue to work together to implement House Bill 561 and implement the agreed upon Memorandum of Understanding.
5. Maintain a pace goal of at least 95%.
6. Work with EPA to amend the Operating Agreement.

### B. Long Term Goals

1. Assist with and fund the necessary infrastructure to upgrade water quality for existing public water customers and to provide water to individuals whose water currently does not comply with the SDWA or is unreliable.
2. Ensure the DWTRF program operates in perpetuity at its maximum level to provide financial assistance to qualified entities.
3. Continue implementation of the Capacity Development Strategy including assisting public water systems in acquiring and maintaining the technical, managerial, and financial capacity to comply with the SDWA. Provide assistance to ensure that all new community water supplies and new non-transient non-community supplies have the technical, managerial, and financial capacity to comply with current regulations and those regulations likely to be in effect, when the proposed systems initiate operations.
4. Funding will be provided to OEHS from available set-aside funding to continue development, enhancement, and improvement of the PWSS through improved methodology and consistency of the sanitary surveys. This includes completion of a full complement of staffing in the district offices and implementation of an automated data collection system for laboratories to forward results to OEHS.

5. Funding will be provided to OEHS from available set-aside funding to protect source water from future contamination through Source Water Assessment and Protection (SWAP) and Well Head Protection (WHP) programs.
6. Funding will be provided to OEHS from available set-aside funding to continue to implement an operator training continuing education program focusing on training course/instructor criteria and operator training requirements.
7. Funding will be provided to OEHS for implementation of new regulations.
8. Continue to strive to minimize unliquidated obligations (ULOs) by expeditiously disbursing both set-aside and construction funds in a timely manner. The goal is to attain a half year or less balance for the 2%, 10% and 15% set-asides at the time a new grant is awarded. Set-aside amounts requested in this IUP are supportive of minimizing ULOs.

## SECTION IV

### Project Priority List

---

Projects requesting DWTRF funding assistance are prioritized using the DWTRF Project Priority Ranking System. Three categories (public health, regulatory compliance, and affordability) are used to determine project scoring. Projects that apply for DWTRF funding are ranked and are listed in the Comprehensive Project Priority List (Appendix A). The highest ranked projects on the PPL are contacted concerning their project status to determine if funding from the DWTRF is appropriate and the project is ready to proceed. The PPL ranking system allows for higher public health ranking for utilities that have multiple violations as reflected in the Enforcement Targeting Tool (ETT). The ranking system allows coordination of projects funded with consideration of the enforcement agency.

Projects expecting to receive assistance from the DWTRF are on the Fundable Project Priority List (Appendix B). These projects include distribution system upgrades, water treatment plant improvements, extensions to new customers, lead service line inventories, lead service line replacements, and emerging contaminant treatment. Projects solely for economic growth or projects solely for fire protection are not eligible for DWTRF assistance.

Projects that rank lower on the PPL may still receive funding should one or more of the higher ranked projects be bypassed using the bypass procedure described below.

#### **A. Bypass Procedure**

Prior to implementing the bypass procedure, projects listed on the PPL will be reviewed to determine their readiness to proceed. Based on the review, DWTRF staff will determine whether to bypass the project and select another project for funding commitment consideration. A project will be bypassed based upon readiness to proceed and ability to receive a binding commitment prior to the end of the term of this IUP. To be considered

ready to proceed and eligible to receive a BCL, the project must be able to meet all DWTRF programmatic requirements, have approved plans and specifications, have all funding sources identified, and be ready to proceed to construction within six months of receiving the BCL. If, during the year, a project that was anticipating funding based upon Appendix B, has a substantial scope change that would require it to be re-ranked below another project that is ready to proceed, this project will be re-evaluated for funding at a later date. Any projects with any technical, managerial, and financial capacity concerns that are not expected to be resolved by the proposed project will only be considered after the issues have been resolved.

When a project is bypassed, the project will remain on the PPL for consideration at a future time. DEP will provide technical assistance (as needed) with bypassed projects to assist them in becoming eligible for future funding.

If additional projects are developed during the fiscal year that do not appear on the list, but would like to be considered, they may be added to the list after adequate public notification procedures have been completed. This procedure generally takes 60 days.

## **B. Emergency Projects**

Projects to remediate an imminent significant hazard to a community's public health may be considered an emergency project if approved by OEHS and DEP. An emergency project may not be required to be on the approved PPL if the evaluation by OEHS and DEP verifies the urgency of the project execution to avoid a major impact to the water system's customers. Emergency projects may be reviewed and approved by the IJDC.

## **C. Requirements for a Binding Commitment**

Once it has been determined that a project can realistically proceed to construction within six months, a formal commitment of DWTRF funding will be made that may include such terms and conditions as deemed necessary. At a minimum, the plans and specifications must be approved, and consideration will be given to the status of rights-of-way and real estate obtainment and other items on the pre-bid checklist during this process.

# SECTION V

## Fund Activities

---

The SDWA regulations, 40CFR35.3525(a)(5), require that at least 15% of the funds in the DWTRF must go to systems serving less than 10,000 total persons. The SDWA allows banking the prior year's excess above the 15% toward meeting the current fiscal year's requirement. In accordance with the above regulation, the projects listed in the Funding List, Appendix B, will ensure that at least 15% of the funds will meet this requirement.

West Virginia legislation establishes the DWTRF requirement for disadvantaged communities. *"The Department of Environmental Protection shall, in accordance with the provisions of the*



*federal Safe Drinking Water Act, establish a program for loan subsidies to disadvantaged communities. Thirty percent of the federal capitalization grants made to this state shall be dedicated to the funding of projects for disadvantaged communities” (WV Code §22-36-2(d)).* The 30% requirement will be calculated on a cumulative basis of awarded grants. The projects listed on the Fundable List, Appendix B, will ensure that this requirement has been met.

Disadvantaged communities are those that have a monthly water user rate equal to or greater than 1.5% of the MHI and/or receive principal forgiveness because of income, unemployment, population loss, providing service to failing and/or unserved areas, and poverty rates.

#### **A. Interest rates for PWS loans**

The eligibility criterion for low interest loan consideration will be based upon 3,400 gallons of monthly water usage. The DEP will use this criterion to determine the interest rate on loans. The maximum allowable term\* of the loans will be determined using the following range of user rates and MHI data:

*Less than 1.5% MHI: Terms will be based upon the 25-Bond Revenue Index. At BCL issuance, the DWTRF will use the last published rate less 5 basis points (.05) for a 20-year term. At no point will the terms exceed 2.75% interest rate, .25% annual admin fee, 20-year term\**

*1.5% to 1.74% MHI: 1.75% interest rate, .25% annual admin fee, 21 - 30-year term*

*1.75% to 2.0% MHI: .75% interest rate, .25% annual admin fee, 21 - 30-year term*

*Greater than 2% MHI: .25% interest rate, .25% annual admin fee, 31 - 40-year term*

The MHI data is derived from the 2020 census data published by the U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Census Bureau. Interest rates will not exceed 2.75%. For public service districts, the MHI to be used will be the lowest of either the county(s) or magisterial district(s) that is most appropriate for the project area. Magisterial district and county information can be found in Appendix D. Municipal MHI data is contained in Appendix D1.

Due to concerns over the 2020 Census data, the use of income surveys to verify the MHI of individual communities will be allowed. Please see the website for further guidance. <https://dep.wv.gov/WWE/Programs/SRF/Pages/default.aspx>

Should Congress amend the SDWA or pass reform legislation that affects small, disadvantaged communities, the DEP may revise this interest rate policy to consider other factors as required by federal law.

\* The term of the loan will start on the date of the loan closing.

\*\* For extension projects, a 30-year term will be considered if a substantial rate impact can be documented.

**B. Additional subsidization for disadvantaged communities**

This year's base funding allocation for West Virginia is \$10,906,000. A portion of each capitalization grant must be used for additional loan subsidization. Therefore, the DEP will be setting aside an amount up to \$5,343,940 (14% - \$1,526,840 and SDWA - \$3,817,100) from the base capitalization grant to be used as principal forgiveness.

This year's IJA (also known as BIL) funding allocation for West Virginia is \$24,898,000. IJA requires 49 percent of the allotment (\$12,200,020) to be in the form of additional subsidy as a principal forgiveness loan.

Principal forgiveness of all or part of a loan will be the mechanism that will be used to supply the additional subsidization. Additional loan subsidization is a last resort for disadvantaged communities and will only be provided when other funding options within the DWTRF program are not practical to make the project financially affordable (i.e. 40-year loan terms, graduated principal repayments, adjusting debt service coverage, etc.). A requirement of additional subsidy eligibility will be that the project must be able to show a reduction in the rate to be charged to the project related customers with an award of principal forgiveness. The agency may ask for a specific calculation showing the rate difference with or without the additional subsidy.

Additional subsidization eligibility will be on the following basis:

Income based upon %MHI – Based upon the 2020 Census data for 3,400 gallons of water usage.

<u>MHI</u>	<u>Point</u>
1.25% - 1.74%	20
1.75% - 1.99%	30
2.0% - 2.4%	40
2.5% or greater	50

Unemployment Data – As published by WorkForce West Virginia, the State's average unemployment rate was 3.6% in 2024. See Appendix E.

<u>Locality's Unemployment Rate (UR)</u>	<u>Points</u>
UR < West Virginia's UR	0
UR 0% - 2% above West Virginia's UR	10
UR > 2% above West Virginia's UR	20

Population Trends – Based upon the percentage change for the period from 2020 to 2023 (calendar years) by county as published by the Census. See Appendix F.

<u>Change in Population</u>	<u>Points</u>
Greater than +2%	0
0 to +2%	10
Less than 0%	20

Consolidation and extensions to serve unserved areas and failing systems: 10 Points

Poverty Rate greater than or equal to 20% as found on the following Census site:  
10 Points <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/>

For applicants that receive at least 40 points, the project is eligible for the lesser of 50% of the total eligible DWTRF project costs or \$1,000,000 in principal forgiveness.

For applicants that receive at least 70 points, the project is eligible for the lesser of 100% of the total eligible DWTRF project costs or \$1,500,000 in principal forgiveness.

The final amount of the subsidy will be determined after receipt of bids, documentation of rate impact as a result of the subsidy, and after a formal application is submitted. Note: For wrap loans, as existing debt is retired, the dedicated revenue stream will rollover to pay the amount of any deferred loan.

### **C. Lead Service Line Replacement Loans**

The DWTRF program will provide Lead Service Line Replacement Project loans at 0% interest for both disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged community water systems. Disadvantaged community water systems will also be eligible for principal forgiveness loans to complete service line inventories. These projects will be subject to the same loan term lengths as described previously. This year's IJJA funding allocation for West Virginia is \$28,650,000\*. IJJA requires 49 percent of the allotment (\$14,038,500\*) be in the form of additional subsidy as a principal forgiveness loan. Principal forgiveness will be distributed in the same method as described in paragraph B above. A list of potential lead service line projects is included in Appendix G of this document.

For a project to be eligible for this funding, it must meet the following definition: Any part of a service line made of lead, which connects the water main to the building inlet. A lead service line may be owned by the water system, owned by the property owner, or both. A galvanized service line is considered a lead service line if it ever was or is currently downstream of any lead service line or service line of unknown material. This can include the replacement of lead goosenecks, pigtails, and connectors as eligible expenses, whether standalone or connected to a lead service line. Lead service line inventories are also eligible for this funding.

For FFY 2024 and 2025 LSL funding, galvanized lines that are currently or ever were downstream of known lead service lines or components are the only galvanized lines eligible for this funding. Therefore, if the galvanized lines are downstream of a service line of unknown material, these lines are not eligible for this funding from the FFY 2024 and 2025 LSL allotment, but are still eligible for funding from the base or supplemental allotments.

To assist communities with projects, the DEP and DH used set-aside funds to hire two contractors to assist communities with completing their lead service line inventories. These services are free of charge to the communities and are still available to those that did not complete the inventory by the due date.

- Systems with a service population of 1,000 or less, please reach out to Mary Hutson, WV RCAP at [mhutson@wvrcap.org](mailto:mhutson@wvrcap.org) if you are in the St. Albans and Beckley

Districts. If you are in the Fairmont, Kearneysville, and Wheeling Districts, please contact Chris Hannah, Stantec, at [chris.hannah@stantec.com](mailto:chris.hannah@stantec.com) or Richard Gaines, Stantec, at [richard.gaines@stantec.com](mailto:richard.gaines@stantec.com).

- Systems with a service population of 1,000 to 10,000, please reach out to the WV Rural Water Association at [LSLI@wvrwa.org](mailto:LSLI@wvrwa.org).
- Systems with a service population greater than 10,000, please contact Kathy Emery, DEP, at [katheryn.d.emery@wv.gov](mailto:katheryn.d.emery@wv.gov) if you need funding to hire a contractor to complete your inventory.

#### **D. Emerging Contaminants**

The IJA created a DWTRF funding stream for projects that address emerging contaminants. The funding must be in the form of additional subsidy as a principal forgiveness loan. West Virginia's allotment is \$7,640,000. This allotment requires that at least 25 percent of the grant be awarded to a disadvantaged community or a public utility serving a population less than 25,000. A list of potential emerging contaminants projects is included in Appendix H of this document.

For a project to receive funding under this program, it must be DWTRF eligible and the primary purpose must be to address emerging contaminants in drinking water with a focus on perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS). Projects that address any contaminant listed on any of EPA's Contaminant Candidate Lists are eligible (i.e., CCL1 – CCL5). Projects for which the primary purpose is to address contaminant(s) with a National Primary Drinking Water Regulation (PFAS exception) are not eligible. Eligible applicants must be a PWS, and sampling is only eligible when used as part of project design and construction for eligible projects. Applicants do not need to be eligible for additional subsidization under Section V.B to be eligible for this funding.

#### **E. Set-Aside Activities**

In addition to the DWTRF construction fund, there are four "set-aside" or non-project accounts administered by DEP and OEHS. These separate accounts include Administration of the Loan Program, Technical Assistance, State Program Management, and Local Assistance. In addition to funding for staff expenses, there are also programs being undertaken to improve the health and safety of State water systems.

The goals, objectives, methods, outputs, and outcomes for these set-asides are located in the grant work plans.

##### **Anticipated Set-Asides (Non-Project Funds)**

Technical Assistance (up to 2%) IJA & LSLR	\$ 500,000
DWTRF Admin. Expenses (up to 4%)	\$ 0*
Drinking Water Program Support (up to 10%) Base & IJA	\$ 1,493,149
Local Assistance/State Activities (up to 15%) Base, IJA, LSLR	\$ 4,823,825

\*DWTRF Admin. Expenses will be funded from the Administrative Fee account.

The State is reserving the amounts unused from this grant to be potentially used at a later date and will apply for it when needed.

**F. Annual Administrative Fees on PWS loans**

Administrative fees are charged on all loans as a means of supporting the administrative costs of operating the DWTRF in perpetuity. These fees are maintained in a separate account outside of the DWTRF. The annual administrative fee is initially calculated using the outstanding principal amount of the loan over its life but repaid over the term of loan in equal installments as contained in the loan amortization schedule. The chart in Section V(A) will be used to determine the annual administrative fee on each loan. This fund will also be used to support the Capacity Development Program staff and other administrative expenses.

**G. Design Loans**

The DWTRF can provide design loans to eligible public water systems for projects on the PPL. The loans will be offered at the terms for which the applicant is eligible at the time of the design loan closing. See Section V(A). Design loan repayment will begin within the first quarter after the anticipated design submittal date.

**H. Cyber Security**

Free vulnerability assessments are available through CISA at [www.cisa.gov/water](https://www.cisa.gov/water) and EPA is providing additional resources at [www.epa.gov/waterresilience/epa-cybersecurity-water-sector](https://www.epa.gov/waterresilience/epa-cybersecurity-water-sector). Any necessary upgrades resulting from an assessment are eligible project expenses.

**I. Federal Requirements**

As a recipient of DWTRF funds, the DEP must apply federal requirements to loans equal to the amounts of all the federal capitalization grants. Recipients of Congressionally Directed Spending grants from Congress are required to meet these federal requirements for the entire project, including any DWTRF funds.

The projects listed in Appendix B that have been designated as equivalency projects are required to comply with federal requirements including, but not limited to, the Single Audit Act, DBE, FFATA, Buy America Build America Act (BABAA), etc. These projects total more than the Base and IIJA FFY 2025 capitalization grants.

**J. Green Infrastructure (Green Project Reserve – GPR)**

The Capitalization Grant does not require any of the funds provided for projects to be used for water efficiency, energy efficiency, green infrastructure, or other environmentally innovative activities. However, these projects are encouraged and are eligible for funding.

**K. Asset Management Implementation Effect on Loan Rates**

The DWTRF program has required loan recipients to implement an approved asset management plan (AMP) since 2009 to help ensure sustainability of water systems by properly managing their assets. The systems that have maintained, updated, and implemented their AMP are eligible for a reduction of the eligible loan rate by up to 0.5% on their next project.

**L. Loan Prepayment**

DWTRF loan prepayment may be allowed under certain conditions upon prior written approval from the DEP and the WDA. All requests will be evaluated against Program policy and will not be considered earlier than ten years from loan closing unless under special circumstances. Refinancing through the DWTRF will be the preferred option.

**M. Financial Status**

The DWTRF will be managed by DEP with assistance, through a contract agreement, from the West Virginia Water Development Authority (WDA). The WDA maintains the financial records and ensures bond conditions and audit requirements are met. DEP oversees OEHS's expenditure of the grant set-aside funds. The DWTRF program financial status is healthy with a principal and interest repayment stream from loans at approximately \$9,900,000 per year. The Administrative fee from loans has an annual income stream of approximately \$800,000 which will be used for the administrative costs of managing the DWTRF.

## SECTION VI

### Assurances

---

- Anticipated Cash Draw Ratio (Proportionality) – State match funds are disbursed prior to using capitalization grant funds.
- The DEP has agreed to provide EPA with information for the public health results for all loans closed during this fiscal year. This documentation is being requested by EPA to better ascertain the public health results of projects funded under the DWTRF program. The DEP also agrees to add any projects that count as a Green Project Reserve project into the database.
- Projects will be uploaded into EPA's SRF database at least quarterly.

## SECTION VII

# Public Participation

---

Comments will be received on the DWTRF IUP for FY2026 until June 30, 2025. A public meeting for both the CWSRF and DWSRF Intended Use Plans will be held at 9:30 am on June 18, 2025, at the WV DEP Headquarters in Charleston, WV. The option to attend virtually will also be offered. The notice will be legally advertised in newspapers throughout the State. In addition, the DEP issued a notice of the IUP comment period by sending a mass email directly to consulting engineers, regional councils, and other interested parties.

Appendix C will contain the public comment notice and a summary of the comments.

# APPENDIX A

## FISCAL YEAR 2026 COMPREHENSIVE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

---



# FY2026 Priority List

Project	SRF #	Ranking	PriorityPoints
Alderson, Town of	22DWTRFA013	60	45.00
Anmoore Water Department, Town of	22DWTRFA030	61	45.00
Athens, Town of	D-144026	168	20.00
Athens, Town of	D-144165	169	20.00
Belington, City of (Water Department)	D-144027	29	55.00
Benwood, City of	D-144124	96	35.00
Berkeley Springs Water Works (Town of Bath)	D-144166	123	30.00
Beverly, Town of	D-144087	151	25.00
Big Bend PSD	D-144088	124	30.00
Bingamon PSD	D-144180	170	20.00
Birch River PSD (Keener Ridge)	D-144089	77	40.00
Birch River PSD (Mill Creek Phase II)	D-144228	62	45.00
Branchland-Midkiff PSD (Six Mile Creek)	D-144090	63	45.00
Branchland-Midkiff PSD (Trace Fork & West Hamlin)	D-144094	64	45.00
Branchland-Midkiff PSD (Two Mile Creek)	D-144091	36	50.00
Buckhannon Water Department	D-144162	78	40.00
Buffalo Creek PSD (Lorado)	D-144092	125	30.00
Buffalo Creek PSD (South Man)	D-144093	126	30.00
Burnsville Public Utility Board (Rehab)	D-144095	171	20.00
Burnsville Public Utility Board (Route 4)	D-144230	127	30.00
Cameron, City of	D-144208	79	40.00
Canaan Valley PSD	D-144068	12	70.00
Century Volga PSD	D-144096	1	85.00
Chestnut Ridge PSD (Phase II)	D-144209	37	50.00
Clarksburg Water Board (Phase 3B)	D-144151	20	65.00
Clay-Roane PSD (Little Lefthand Rd)	D-144201	80	40.00
Clay-Roane PSD (Phase I Water System Improv.)	D-144126	30	55.00

Project	SRF #	Ranking	PriorityPoints
Cool Ridge-Flat Top PSD (Ellison Ridge Rd)	D-144030	128	30.00
Cool Ridge-Flat Top PSD (Water Loss)	D-144227	21	65.00
Cowen PSD	D-144183	81	40.00
Cowen PSD (Phase III)	D-144029	82	40.00
Cowen PSD (Pleasant Ridge)	D-144191	38	50.00
Craigsville PSD	19DWTRFA018	39	50.00
Crum PSD	D-144097	6	75.00
Danese PSD	D-144013	129	30.00
Davis, Town of	D-144129	13	70.00
Davy, Town of (Phase II)	D-144064	83	40.00
Elizabeth, Town of	D-144203	40	50.00
Ellenboro, Town of	D-144193	65	45.00
Enlarged Hepzibah PSD	D-144179	97	35.00
Fairview, Town of (Phase III)	D-144196	14	70.00
Flatwoods-Canoe Run PSD	D-144032	76	43.00
Fort Gay, Town of	D-144065	84	40.00
Fountain PSD	D-144159	41	50.00
Frankfort PSD	D-144216	66	45.00
Franklin, Town of (Entry Mountain Rd)	D-144195	130	30.00
Franklin, Town of (Phase II)	D-144197	152	25.00
Gary, Town of	D-144005	3	80.00
Gauley River PSD (Critical Needs)	D-144002	85	40.00
Gauley River PSD (Route 39)	D-144033	98	35.00
Gilbert Town of	D-144098	42	50.00
Glenville Utility Board, City of	D-144221	187	10.00
Grafton, City of (Downtown)	D-144200	182	15.00
Grafton, City of (Water Tank)	D-144199	183	15.00
Grant Town, Town of	D-144034	99	35.00

Project	SRF #	Ranking	PriorityPoints
Grantsville, Town of	D-144035	131	30.00
Greenbrier PSD # 2 (Phase III)	D-144190	43	50.00
Hardy County PSD (Baker)	D-144069	4	80.00
Hardy County PSD (PFAS)	D-144184	2	85.00
Harman, Town of	D-144157	44	50.00
Harpers Ferry, Corporation of-Harpers Ferry Water Works	D-144234	132	30.00
Harrisville, Town of (Mellin Ridge)	D-144132	100	35.00
Hodgesville PSD	D-144010	153	25.00
Hughes River Water Board	D-144133	172	20.00
Ice's Run Route 250 PSD	D-144160	45	50.00
Jane Lew PSD	D-144204	154	25.00
Jumping Branch-Nimitz PSD (Broomstraw Rd)	22DWTRFA027	33	53.00
Jumping Branch-Nimitz PSD (Madams Creek)	D-144024	58	48.00
Kanawha Falls PSD	D-144039	67	45.00
Kermit, Town of	D-144202	133	30.00
Keyser, City of	D-144134	7	75.00
Kingwood Water Works	D-144164	173	20.00
Lashmeet PSD (Brick Yard)	D-144058	68	45.00
Lashmeet PSD (Hiwatha-Springton)	D-144154	31	55.00
Lashmeet PSD (Nubbins Ridge-Stovall Ridge-Camp Cree	D-144222	46	50.00
Lavalette PSD (Big Lynn)	D-144099	134	30.00
Leadsville PSD	D-144194	22	65.00
Lewis County Economic Development Authority	D-144135	47	50.00
Lewis County Economic Development Authority (Phase II	D-144198	86	40.00
Lincoln County PSD	D-144018	135	30.00
Lincoln PSD (Phase IV)	D-144189	136	30.00
Lincoln PSD (Phase VII)	D-144188	137	30.00
Lincoln PSD (Water System Improvements)	D-144001	101	35.00

Project	SRF #	Ranking	PriorityPoints
Logan County PSD (Big Ugly - Phase 1)	D-144100	174	20.00
Logan County PSD (Greenville WTP)	D-144101	155	25.00
Logan County PSD (Island Creek)	D-144102	156	25.00
Logan County PSD (Justice Addition)	D-144104	138	30.00
Logan County PSD (Northern Regional WTP)	D-144084	8	75.00
Logan County PSD (Stollings & McConnell)	D-144103	139	30.00
Logan Utility Board, City of	N/A	32	55.00
Lubeck PSD (Pine Run Rd)	D-144206	5	80.00
Lubeck PSD (WTP Upgrades)	D-144205	48	50.00
Marlinton, Town of	D-144025	69	45.00
Marshall County PSD No. 4 (Water System Improv.)	D-144040	140	30.00
Mason County PSD (Ashton)	D-144173	175	20.00
Mason County PSD (Lakin)	D-144172	176	20.00
Mason County PSD (North Phase I)	D-144137	157	25.00
Mason County PSD (North Phase II)	D-144170	177	20.00
Mason County PSD (North Phase III)	D-144168	178	20.00
Mason County PSD (South Phase I)	D-144167	179	20.00
Mason County PSD (South Phase II)	D-144169	180	20.00
Mason, Town of	D-144042	102	35.00
McDowell County PSD (Anawalt-Phase I)	D-144020	24	60.00
McDowell County PSD (Bradshaw)	D-144043	70	45.00
McDowell County PSD (Elkhorn Phase III)	D-144044	103	35.00
McDowell County PSD (Elkhorn Phase IV)	D-144105	71	45.00
McDowell County PSD (Jolo Phase V)	D-144106	49	50.00
McMechen, City of (Phase II)	D-144138	181	20.00
Meadow Creek PSD	D-144153	72	45.00
Mercer County PSD (McComas)	D-144045	15	70.00
Mercer County PSD (Pocahontas)	22DWTRFA135	158	25.00

Project	SRF #	Ranking	PriorityPoints
Mercer County PSD (Route 19)	D-144107	104	35.00
Milton Municipal Utilities Commission	D-144219	141	30.00
Milton Municipal Utilities Commission	D-144211	50	50.00
Mingo County PSD (Hanover)	D-144085	142	30.00
Mingo County PSD (Ikes Fork Phase IV)	D-144108	105	35.00
Mingo County PSD (Little Huff Creek Phase II)	D-144109	106	35.00
Mingo County PSD (Naugatuck)	D-144233	16	70.00
Mingo County PSD (North Spring Phase III)	D-144110	107	35.00
Mingo County PSD (Pigeon Creek)	D-144231	51	50.00
Mingo County PSD (Rockhouse Br Phase V)	D-144111	108	35.00
Mingo County PSD (Upper Gilbert Creek)	D-144112	87	40.00
Monumental PSD	D-144163	9	75.00
Morgantown Utility Board (Meter)	D-144214	184	15.00
Morgantown Utility Board (Storage Tank)	D-144212	185	15.00
Morgantown Utility Board (Water Main)	D-144213	25	60.00
Morgantown Utility Board (WTP)	D-144215	73	45.00
Nettie-Leivasy PSD	D-144014	88	40.00
New Creek Water Association	D-144220	52	50.00
New Haven PSD (Lucas Rd)	D-144048	94	38.00
New Haven PSD (Old Gwinn Rd)	D-144049	59	48.00
New Haven PSD (White Rd)	D-144050	34	53.00
New Martinsville Water & Sanitary Sewer Board (Beechw	D-144174	143	30.00
New Martinsville Water & Sanitary Sewer Board (PFAS)	D-144210	26	60.00
Norton-Harding-Jimtown PSD	D-144071	109	35.00
Nutter Fort, Town of	D-144140	159	25.00
Oceana, Town of (Phase II)	D-144008	110	35.00
Parkersburg Utility Board	D-144051	17	70.00
Parsons, City of	D-144052	111	35.00

Project	SRF #	Ranking	PriorityPoints
Paw Paw, Town of	D-144077	112	35.00
Pax, Town of	D-144086	53	50.00
Pennsboro, City of	D-144182	89	40.00
Pineville, Town of (Brenton-Baileysville-JPBS)	D-144141	113	35.00
Pineville, Town of (In-Town Final)	20DWTRFB010	160	25.00
Preston County PSD No. 1	D-144150	90	40.00
Rainelle, Town of	D-144229	23	65.00
Raleigh County PSD	D-144113	161	25.00
Ravenclyff-Mcgraws-Saulsville PSD (Glen Rogers)	D-144078	162	25.00
Richwood, City of	D-144178	18	70.00
Romney, Town of (Secondary Source)	D-144142	74	45.00
Romney, Town of (Water Line Extension)	D-144181	35	53.00
Rupert, Town of	D-144114	114	35.00
Salem, City of	D-144207	91	40.00
St. Marys, City of (PFAS)	D-144226	10	75.00
Sugar Creek PSD (Water Ext. Phase I)	D-144186	144	30.00
Sugar Creek PSD (WTP)	D-144185	163	25.00
Summersville County Commission (Forest Hill Ph I)	D-144225	115	35.00
Summersville, City of	D-144080	188	10.00
Summit Park PSD	D-144083	164	25.00
Sun Valley PSD	D-144081	95	38.00
Terra Alta, Town of (Water Meter)	D-144143	116	35.00
Thomas, City of (Phase 1)	D-144060	54	50.00
Tomlinson PSD	D-144235	55	50.00
Union Williams PSD	D-144192	11	75.00
Union, Town of (Waterline)	D-144072	117	35.00
Union, Town of (WTP)	D-144073	118	35.00
Walton PSD (Camp Shepard)	D-144144	119	35.00

Project	SRF #	Ranking	PriorityPoints
Walton PSD (PFAS)	D-144176	120	35.00
Walton PSD (Storage Tanks)	D-144177	165	25.00
Wardensville, Town of	D-144217	92	40.00
Weirton Water Board (Pressure Alleviation)	D-144146	186	15.00
Welch, City of (Jr. Poca)	D-144082	56	50.00
Wellsburg, City of	D-144187	27	60.00
West Hamlin, Town of (Phase I)	D-144147	121	35.00
West Hamlin, Town of (Phase II)	D-144175	145	30.00
West Virginia American Water	D-144218	28	60.00
White Sulphur Springs, City of (Big Draft)	D-144232	146	30.00
White Sulphur Springs, City of (Lewisburg Connection)	D-144115	147	30.00
White Sulphur Springs, City of (Mapledale)	D-144116	148	30.00
White Sulphur Springs, City of (Ridges)	D-144117	93	40.00
White Sulphur Springs, City of (Villa Park)	D-144118	149	30.00
White Sulphur Springs, City of (Waterline Replacement)	D-144119	122	35.00
White Sulphur Springs, City of (WTP Improvements)	D-144120	150	30.00
Wilderness PSD (Water Syst. Improv. Ph II)	D-144121	57	50.00
Williamson, City of (Water System Upgrades)	D-144122	166	25.00
Williamson, City of (Waterline Replacement Phase II)	D-144123	167	25.00
Williamstown, City of (PFAS)	D-144224	19	70.00
Worthington, Town of (Phase II)	D-144067	75	45.00

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Century Volga PSD</b>	<b>\$4,577,000</b>	<b>\$4,577,000</b>
1			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144096	Transmission & Distribution	The existing system is old and deteriorating and experience problems in various areas.	
<b>County:</b>			
Barbour			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
3300107		The project includes the replacement/extensions of water line infrastructure along AudraRoad, Acorn Lane, and Werner Road. The project also proposes to include meterreplacements, emergency generator installation, Talbott PRV replacement, Stewarts RunPRV Replacement, Master Meter (Stewarts Run), 400,000 gallon water storage tank, existing tank demolition, telemetry upgrades, mower with trailer, and related appurtenances.	
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
85.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Hardy County PSD (PFAS)</b>	<b>\$3,450,000</b>	<b>\$3,450,000</b>
2			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144184	Treatment	The Hardy County PSD's water supply has consistently elevated levels of PFOA. There are also elevated levels of PFBS, PFOS, and PFOA in the finished water.	
<b>County:</b>			
Hardy			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
3301607		This project proposes to upgrade the existing water treatment plant with a new pretreatment system utilizing ion exchange to remove PFAS from the water supply.	
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
85.00			



# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Gary, Town of</b>		<b>\$500,000</b>	<b>\$3,821,000</b>
3				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144005	Transmission & Distribution	<p>Part 1 of the project includes 1) Water study on existing mine outlets in the area conducted by the USGS in order to evaluate water quality and quantity from each. 2) Bureau for Public Health will be addressed. 3) Water loss survey will be conducted to try to identify and reduce water loss in the Gary Distribution System. 4) Lead and copper service line inventory will be prepared. 5) Emergency Interconnect will be re-established between Gary and McDowell PSD. 6) Select water lines will be rehabilitated.</p>		
<b>County:</b>	Storage			
McDowell	Planning and Design			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302420		<b>Solution</b>		
<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>The project will address Sanitary Survey deficiencies, aging infrastructure, and raw water concerns.</p>		
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
80.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Hardy County PSD (Baker)</b>		<b>\$3,665,000</b>	<b>\$3,965,000</b>
4				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144069	DW Source Change	<p>The Parker Hollow Impoundment has proven to be susceptible to algae blooms, some of which testing has shown are toxic anabaena algae containing cyanotoxins. Should an algae bloom containing cyanotoxins occur in the area around the water intake, the Bureau for Public Health would require a 'do not use' advisory to be issued until the bloom passes. Without a secondary water source, such an advisory would leave PSD customers without access to a safe water supply.</p>		
<b>County:</b>				
Hardy				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3301613		<b>Solution</b>		
<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>This project proposes to develop a new secondary groundwater source on SCC property adjacent to the Baker water treatment plant. Up to three test wells would be drilled to evaluate their potential as a secondary source. The cumulative desired yield of the well(s) is 200 gallons per minute. Based on the test well analyses, one or more of the wells may be converted to a production well. The cost estimate includes the conversion of all three test wells to production wells, as well as the installation of the raw water line and all related appurtenances necessary for a complete and operable system.</p>		
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
80.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b> 5	<b><u>Lubeck PSD (Pine Run Rd)</u></b>	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
<b>SRF #:</b> D-144206  <b>County:</b> Wood  <b>PERMIT #WV:</b> 3305404  <b>Binding Date:</b> 6/30/2026  <b>Points</b> 80.00	<b>Needs Categories:</b> Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design Other	<b>Problem</b> There are approximately 10 residents within Lubeck's Service Area along Pine Run Rd that have wells contaminated with different PFOA/PFAS Analyte. Pine Run Rd currently does not have access to potable water from the PSD, but the PSD has existing water lines adjacent to Pine Run Rd. Samples from the wells in this area have resulted in PFOA levels of up to 190 Parts Per Trillion (PPT) while the MCL for this analyte is 4 PPT. These residents also live adjacent to the Dry Run Landfill which was the source location of the contamination in this area.  <b>Solution</b> This project is proposing to install approximately 18,000 linear feet of 6" water line to provide safe water service for approximately 10 residential customers in the area of Pine Run Road.	
<b>Rank</b> 6	<b><u>Crum PSD</u></b>	\$3,500,000	\$16,561,000
<b>SRF #:</b> D-144097  <b>County:</b> Wayne  <b>PERMIT #WV:</b> 3305010  <b>Binding Date:</b> 6/30/2026  <b>Points</b> 75.00	<b>Needs Categories:</b> Treatment Source Change	<b>Problem</b> Crum PSD, due to purchasing water from other municipalities, lacks security in its source of water. Potential rate increases due to projects within other systems could cause the rate paid for water by Crum to increase with little added benefit to Crum's system. In addition, CrumPSD has been asked by its water providers to pause or reduce pumping, causing shortages within its system.  <b>Solution</b> The proposed project involves constructing a water treatment plant to serve Crum PSD's existing customers, expected development, and Fort Gay Water Works.	

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Keyser, City of</b>		<b>\$6,500,000</b>	<b>\$17,500,000</b>
<b>7</b>				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144134	Treatment	The water treatment plant was originally constructed in the 1920's and has been upgraded throughout the years but was most recently upgraded in 2015. Even with the upgrades throughout the years, the water plant is still showing signs of aging and needing upgraded again, partially replaced, or totally replaced. Recently the water treatment plant had cryptosporidium samples collected and results of the highest arithmetic mean during 12 consecutive months of the 24 source water samples collected was 0.426 oocysts/L.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mineral		Keyser wishes to continue providing potable water service to existing customers through water treatment plant and system upgrades, proposing only partial replacement of the water treatment plant. The upgrades to the water treatment plant works remove the Cryptosporidium parasite from the treated water and bring the City into compliance with the West Virginia Bureau of Public Health. The City also proposes to upgrade the water distribution system by replacing and relocating the Old Orchard pump station. Telemetry will also be added at both the Old Orchard and Limestone pump stations/tanks.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302915				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
75.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Logan County PSD (Northern Regional WTP)</b>		<b>*</b>	<b>\$8,687,000</b>
<b>8</b>				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144084	Treatment Storage	The 2020 WV Department of Health and Human Resources Sanitary Survey generally concludes that the existing plant is in good condition' however, it does identify several problem areas. It notes that the plant pumps on average, 16 to 17 hours per day. It notes and recommends correction of leaks in the 1,500,000 gallons finished water storage tank and the 254,000 gallons clearwell. The media in the existing filters is rounded and needing replaced. The Survey states that the 371,000 gallons pre-sedimentation basin is largely filled with silt which significantly reduces settling time.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Logan		The project will consist of two construction contracts. Contract 1 will consist of upgrading the existing 2,800 gpm water treatment plant including increasing capacity to 5,600 gpm. Contract 2 will consist of construction of a new 800,000 gallons finished water storage tank and refurbishing an existing 1,500,000 gallons water storage tank.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302364				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2025				
<b>Points</b>				
75.00	*Are considering adding SRF funding.			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Monumental PSD</b>		<b>\$3,887,000</b>	<b>\$4,887,000</b>
9				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144163	Transmission & Distribution	<p>Average monthly unaccounted for water loss in this area of the system (as measured with a master meter dedicated solely to this area) is 35%. Most existing waterlines and water meters are located away from public roads on private property without rights-of-way. Therefore, access and maintenance are not convenient. Though there is a water storage tank dedicated to the Plum Run service area, there are no fire hydrants because there are no waterlines greater than 4" diameter. There is no existing interconnection with the Downs PSD, which could provide emergency water supply one to another due to a service outage.</p>		
<b>County:</b>	Planning and Design			
Marion	Land Acquisition			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>	Other			
3302517		<b>Solution</b>		
<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>Waterline and meter service replacement project. The old undersized lines will be replaced with new, larger diameter piping relocated to the public right-of-way wherever possible. New meter settings will be relocated similarly. The main transmission waterlines will be 8" and 6" diameter and will be capable of supporting fire hydrants and fire flow. Fire hydrants would be installed. Project also includes a 4,000 ft extension to the neighboring Downs PSD water system providing an opportunity between the two water systems to exchange water in the event of a service outage.</p>		
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
75.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>St. Marys, City of (PFAS)</b>		<b>\$9,500,000</b>	<b>\$9,500,000</b>
10				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144226	Treatment	<p>Wells have PFAS contamination.</p>		
<b>County:</b>				
Pleasants				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3303704		<b>Solution</b>		
<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>Install greensand filters for iron and manganese control and granular activated carbon filters for PFAS removal.</p>		
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
75.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Union Williams PSD</b>		<b>\$5,000,000</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
11				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144192	Treatment	The Union Williams PSD's groundwater supply wells have consistently elevated levels of PFAS, specifically PFOA.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Wood		This project proposes to upgrade the existing Union Williams Water Treatment Plant with a new pretreatment system utilizing ion exchange to remove PFAS from the groundwater supply.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3305410				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
75.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Canaan Valley PSD</b>		<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$11,000,000</b>
12				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144068	Treatment DW Source Change	-The T4SU infrastructure is not currently meeting existing customer demand, and treatment times are continuing to lengthen. Costly repairs are required on a regular basis to continue operations. -The water treatment plant utilizes Well #5 as the primary source, and Well #4 for emergency backup use. The production rate of Well #5 has slowly decreased over time. Well #4 will soon be taken out of service due to land development, located on private property. There is a need to develop a new water source to provide reliable water service to T4SU customers.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Tucker		This project proposes to construct a new 300 GPM water treatment plant (WTP) to provide reliable water service to T4SU customers. This project would also develop a new source water well to supply an additional 125 GPM to the plant. Approximately 3,000 linear feet (LF) of four inch HDPE water line and 2,550 LF of six inch HDPE water line would be installed to transmit raw water to the new WTP and tie-in the WTP effluent to the existing distribution system.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3304711				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
70.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Davis, Town of</b>	<b>\$600,000</b>	<b>\$1,260,000</b>
13			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144129	Transmission & Distribution DW Source Change	<p>Samples of raw water line to water treatment plant and section of distribution system line have shown lead concentrations in excess of the maximum contaminant limit. It is suspected that the water lines contain lead joints. There is also a failing section of asbestos cement pipe in distribution system. Also, Davis's primary water source, Weimer Run dam, is consistently leaking. The surface water intakes experience frequent clogging issues due to sediment buildup at their current location. The Blackwater River is considered an impaired river, and Davis has concerns regarding raw water supply and quality.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>This project will replace 3,000 LF of the raw water line with PVC to reduce the lead concentration of the raw water. 600 LF of failing and/or lead contaminated water lines in the distribution system are proposed to be replaced. The Weimer Run dam will be rehabilitated by conducting a ground penetrating radar study to identify voids in the structure, then injecting grout into the voids to stop the leaks. The surface water intakes will be upgraded with new screens and an airburst system to prevent sediment buildup. A hydrogeologic study will be conducted to evaluate the potential to develop a secondary groundwater source.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Tucker			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304701			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
70.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Fairview, Town of (Phase III)</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>
14			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144196	Transmission & Distribution	<p>Fairview (Town) has many sections of cast iron, galvanized steel, and asbestos concrete water mains that are prone to leaks causing water loss. Fairview's unaccounted for water loss is approximately 35%. The Town has multiple fire hydrants that are connected to a 4-inch water main instead of a 6-inch main. Also, Fairview has approximately three water customers that are on permanent boil water advisory due to lack of turnover on existing water line which is fed from a hydro-pneumatic booster station.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>Install new water line along Jesse's Run Rd, Grant St., Stone St., and Williams St. to replace the aging cast iron, and asbestos concrete water lines to address water loss. There are multiple fire hydrants in these locations which are currently fed from a 4" water main. The new water line will be a minimum of 6" in diameter and new fire hydrants will be installed on this line. Also, review hydraulics of Fairview's system and analyze effectiveness of smaller diameter water main, hydro-pneumatic pump size and possible automatic flushing hydrant to address customers on a permanent boil water advisory.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Marion			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302503			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
70.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b><u>Mercer County PSD (McComas)</u></b>		<b>\$712,500</b>	<b>\$3,396,000</b>
15				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144045	Transmission & Distribution	The existing community water system in place is antiquated and is experiencing issues.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mercer		The project proposes to construct and install approximately 15,075 linear feet (LF) of 6" waterline, 15,925 LF of 6" DIP waterline, 470 LF of 3" DIP waterline, 37 water valves, 55 water meters, 12 fire hydrants, and related appurtenances. The project will serve approximately 55 customers.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
0000000				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
70.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b><u>Mingo County PSD (Naugatuck)</u></b>		<b>*</b>	<b>\$10,000,000</b>
16				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144233	Treatment	Due to long term use of the components of the existing water treatment plant, some of the components have become worn, and do not work as efficiently as they used to. Additionally, some components are not operable or were not functioning as intended. Due to extensions of the existing Mingo County PSD Water System, there is also larger demand throughout Mingo County. The plant struggles to provide sufficient treated water to recover in the event of outages.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mingo		In order to address the larger demand and wearing of the water treatment plant, upgrades are necessary. The upgrades will include the installation of a new raw water intake, adding 2 new filters, replacement of the grit removal system, the chemical feed system, and the sludge handling system with all necessary appurtenances. This will help the water treatment plant run smoother and more efficiently. This upgrade will double the capacity of the plant which will reduce operating times and allow the system to recover from outages.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3303029				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
70.00				

\*Are considering adding SRF Funding

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Parkersburg Utility Board</b>	<b>\$21,584,100</b>	<b>\$21,584,100</b>
17			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144051	Treatment Land Acquisition	Testing has indicated the water system is receiving PFAS from the raw water supply.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Wood		Install granular activated carbon (GAC) filters following the sand filters to remove PFAS. Includes GAC pump station, new filter building, and chemical feed equipment(chlorine,fluoride, and phosphate).	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3305407			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
70.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Richwood, City of</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>
18			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144178	Transmission & Distribution Storage Planning and Design	The City of Richwood has many sections of Cast Iron, and Asbestos Concrete main water lines that were installed in the 1930's that are still in service today. The City has also received numerous deficiencies for the conditions of their potable water storage tanks, and an unaccounted for water loss of approximately 25%. Richwood has also expressed the need for Telemetry to help monitor system operations and a new sedimentation basin at their water treatment plant.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Nicholas		Evaluate the need for either potable water tank rehabilitation or the construction of new water storage tanks. Install telemetry between the water tanks and the booster stations and water treatment plant office. Coordinate with the City of Richwood to determine problem areas within the system and install new water line to replace the existing cast iron and asbestos concrete water lines.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3303401			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
70.00			



# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Williamstown, City of (PFAS)</b>		<b>\$5,210,000</b>	<b>\$5,210,000</b>
19				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144224	Treatment	PFAS has been detected in the wells. Also, iron and manganese treatment will be provided.		
<b>County:</b>				
Wood				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
3305412		New water treatment plant with greensand filters and granular activated carbon filters.		
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
70.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Clarksburg Water Board (Phase 3B)</b>		<b>\$15,952,000</b>	<b>\$30,000,000</b>
20				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144151	Transmission & Distribution	The proposed Phase 3B project will eliminate approximately 1,235 identified lead servicelines as well as the replacement of select main line water lines associated with those leadservice lines, and the replacement of the other non-lead service lines associated with those select main line water lines. These main line replacements were determined along segments of existing water lines where a minimum of two thirds (67%) of the existing utility side leadservice lines are lead. The Clarksburg Water Board deemed it prudent to replace the main line water lines and non-lead service lines in addition to the lead service lines.		
<b>County:</b>				
Harrison				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
3304306		This Phase 3B Water System Improvements (Lead Service Line Replacement andAssociated Main Line Water Line Replacement) proposed project will replace water lines in the areas of Adamston, Arbutus Park, Broad Oaks, and Broadway areas of the Clarksburg Water Board system. This will replace main line water lines and non-lead service lines that will be identified as problematic lines by the Clarksburg Water Board.		
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
9/30/2025				
<b>Points</b>				
65.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b> 21	<b>Cool Ridge-Flat Top PSD (Water Loss)</b>	\$2,000,000	\$4,320,583
<b>SRF #:</b> D-144227  <b>County:</b> Raleigh  <b>PERMIT #WV:</b> 3304139  <b>Binding Date:</b> 6/30/2026  <b>Points</b> 65.00	<b>Needs Categories:</b> Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design	<b>Problem</b> Water loss in the system has exceeded 30% due to aging waterlines and difficult to locate leak conditions. The Cool Ridge-Flat Top PSD (PSD) is currently under contract to conduct a water loss analysis and leak detection study to locate the areas of leaks and identify constant and numerous repair areas to prioritize a line replacement project to improve water loss conditions. The PSD is a purchase water entity and the water loss has a direct effect on the financial welfare of the PSD and its customers.  <b>Solution</b> Replacement of leaking and weak lines throughout the system, update of system mapping, and improvements to the record keeping of the repairs in the field.	
<b>Rank</b> 22	<b>Leadsville PSD</b>	\$1,875,000	\$2,375,000
<b>SRF #:</b> D-144194  <b>County:</b> Randolph  <b>PERMIT #WV:</b> 3304215  <b>Binding Date:</b> 6/30/2026  <b>Points</b> 65.00	<b>Needs Categories:</b> Transmission & Distribution	<b>Problem</b> Leadsville PSD (PSD) is under an EPA Administrative Order (U.S. EPA Docket No. SDWA-03-2024-0030DS) for failure to maintain residual chlorine concentration downstream of the Cravens Run Road Booster Station. The PSD also has potential customers that do not currently have water service and could be served through extensions.  <b>Solution</b> Leadsville PSD (PSD) plans to replace their booster station as part of the project to complete compliance with EPA Order. The PSD is also looking to complete multiple extensions and incorporate a loop in their system as part of one of the extensions to provide water service to approximately 35 customers in the Ivy Hill School Road, Salt Lick Road, Schoolhouse Road and Left Fork of Cherry.	

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Rainelle, Town of</b>	*	\$2,300,000
23			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144229	Storage	Sanitary surveys on each system identified several deficiencies within each system. The Lilly Park tank is undersized and does not provide adequate pressure to certain customers, and each of the Rainelle system's tanks need re-coated.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Greenbrier		The proposed project will replace the existing Lilly Park tank with a 70,000-gallon tank, re-coat each of the existing Rainelle Tanks, and install a steel overlay on the floor of the out-of-commission tank.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		*Project is included for earmark eligibility.	
3301309			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
65.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>McDowell County PSD (Anawalt-Phase I)</b>	\$2,000,000	\$11,308,000
24			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144020	Transmission & Distribution	McDowell County PSD has experienced large amounts of water loss through their system due to the age of the system, and much of the water loss is coming from the Anawalt area.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
McDowell		The proposed Anawalt Water System Replacement Project will replace existing water infrastructure within the area (water storage tank, waterline, meters, etc.). The project also proposes to provide the availability of treated water services to 55 potential new customers.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302434			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
3/31/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
60.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Morgantown Utility Board (Water Main)</b>	<b>\$3,356,750</b>	<b>\$3,356,750</b>
25			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144213	Transmission & Distribution	There is a section of existing transmission water main in poor condition located on Monongahela Boulevard from Eighth Street to Patteson Drive. The existing watermain is in a wooded area with steep slopes, making replacement of the piping difficult and costly.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Monongalia		Three potential routes were evaluated. The proposed project would replace approximately 5,500 LF of 16-inch-diameter watermain in a new alignment which would run in the right-of-way on Monongahela Boulevard in an area with improved constructability and maintainability compared to the current alignment.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3303111			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
60.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>New Martinsville Water &amp; Sanitary Sewer Board (PFAS)</b>	<b>\$20,480,000</b>	<b>\$20,480,000</b>
26			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144210	Treatment	PFAS has been detected in the wells. Also, iron and manganese treatment will be provided.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Wetzel		New water treatment plant with greensand filters and granular activated carbon filters.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3305203			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
60.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Wellsburg, City of</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>
27			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144187	Transmission & Distribution	A vast majority of the water lines are original lines that were installed over 75 years ago. Over the years these water lines have started to encounter greater sediment and mineral deposit buildup that has severely constricted the usable diameter of the current waterlines. Throughout the years numerous breaks on the water mains have caused problems for the City of Wellsburg and its residence in being able to provide potable water. A 15-20 year comprehensive plan has been developed to address the needs of the city.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Brooke		The proposed project is going to address the aging infrastructure of the Wellsburg water distribution system. This project is addressing the area that has given the City of Wellsburg numerous problems throughout the last few years and is high on their priority list. The proposed water line upgrades will also address placing fire hydrants in the project area on adequate sized water lines that are required by state regulations.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3300517			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
60.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>West Virginia American Water</b>	<b>\$508,600</b>	<b>\$508,600</b>
28			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144218	Transmission & Distribution	The proposed project will remove and replace 18 identified lead or galvanized service lines in the Huntington water system.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Multiple		The proposed project consists of removing and replacing 18 identified lead or galvanized service lines in the West Virginia American Water Huntington water system. The identified lines have been field verified and are in need of replacement. West Virginia American Water will replace each of the 18 services from the main water line to the first connection inside of the customers home.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3300608			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
60.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Belington, City of (Water Department)</b>	<b>\$900,000</b>	<b>\$2,800,000</b>
29			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144027	Treatment	<p>Old infrastructure plagues the system and is needing addressed. Important components at the water treatment plant are needing upgraded and/or replaced.</p>	
<b>County:</b>	Transmission & Distribution		
Barbour			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3300101		<b>Solution</b>	
<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>The proposed project will replace existing undersized and outdated cast iron and galvanized pipes at several locations in the COB water distribution system. Except for the Century Volga PSD connection, all water distribution system construction concerns the replacement of existing pipes within the city limits. The work also includes the replacement/upgrades of equipment and other improvements at the water treatment plant.</p>	
3/31/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
55.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Clay-Roane PSD (Phase I Water System Improv.)</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$10,000,000</b>
30			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144126	Transmission & Distribution	<p>Aging and deteriorating infrastructure has led to repeated equipment failures, and over 125 major leaks in the Amma, Left Hand and Pigeon areas have occurred over the past ten years; those leaks have resulted in repeated water service interruptions in these areas. In addition, areas along the Elk River that were damaged by the 2016 flood have also failed repeatedly. The three existing Pressure Reducing Stations and three of the four booster pumping stations are deteriorating and need to be repaired or replaced. Existing water meters and isolation valves need to be replaced.</p>	
<b>County:</b>	Planning and Design		
Clay			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3300806		<b>Solution</b>	
<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>Water System Improvements and Repairs-Phase 1: project proposes to replace 50,000 LF of 2, 6, and 8 inch mains., 74 valves, 1000 meters, refurbish 3 PRS, and 3 booster stations.</p>	
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
55.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Lashmeet PSD (Hiwatha-Springton)</b>		<b>\$380,000</b>	<b>\$7,282,000</b>
31				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144154	Transmission & Distribution	No public water facilities exist within the Hiawatha and Springton areas of the proposed project along with a small section of Lamarr Mtn Rd. The project will provide water service to two unserved areas. Many residents in the area are connected to the Hiawatha Water Association's water system while others haul water from other areas for their home use and purchase bottled water for consumption.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mercer		The Hiawatha-Springton Waterline Extension Project includes connecting to the Lashmeet Public Service District's water line, installed as part of the Giatto-Weyanoke Water Extension project, near the intersection of Old Smokeless Rd. and State Route 10 near the community of Giatto and extending it along the right-of-way of State Route 10 to the communities of Hiawatha and Springton. Additionally, it will extend water service to customers along Lamarr Mtn Rd. just outside of to the northwest of Weyanoke.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302817				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2025				
<b>Points</b>				
55.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Logan Utility Board, City of</b>		<b>*</b>	<b>\$12,300,000</b>
32				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
N/A	Treatment DW Source Change Planning and Design Other	Logan's Water Treatment Plant (WTP) is more than 100 years old. Much of the equipment has reached the end of its useful life, and most structures are in varying states of decay and disrepair. Additionally, Logan does not currently have any alternative sources of water. If the WTP fails, or if some crisis results in contamination of the Guyandotte River, Logan has no other means of providing water to its customers.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Logan		Project proposes to make various upgrades and repairs to Logan's existing water treatment plant (WTP) and to provide Logan with two alternative sources of water, a groundwater source from an abandoned mine and an improved 2-way interconnection with Logan County PSD. Various equipment and structures at the WTP will be replaced and/or repaired. The WTP will also be expanded to add reverse osmosis equipment for desalination of the new mine water source. *Preserving eligibility to request SRF funds in the future if needed.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302331				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
55.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b><u>Jumping Branch-Nimitz PSD (Broomstraw Rd)</u></b>		<b>\$1,705,000</b>	<b>\$9,640,000</b>
33				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
22DWTRFA027	Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design	-The residents of the Broomstraw Ridge Road and Mark Meador Road areas in Summers County, WV have no access to public water.-The quality of the wells in the area, as well as, the quantity is inadequate for human use. Residents haul water for drinking, cooking, bathing and other domestic uses.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Summers		-This project is known as the Broomstraw Road/Mark Meador Road waterline extension.-The project is a waterline extension to cover the Broomstraw Ridge Road and Mark Meador Road areas in Summers County, WV.-The residents in those areas will be afforded the opportunity to acquire clean, safe,wholesome water for their homes.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
0000000				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
12/31/2025				
<b>Points</b>				
53.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b><u>New Haven PSD (White Rd)</u></b>		<b>\$5,240,138</b>	<b>\$6,615,000</b>
34				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144050	Transmission & Distribution	-The residents of the White Road and Richmond Chapel Road area in Fayette County, WV have no access to public water.-The quality of the wells in the area, as well as, the quantity is inadequate for human use. Residents haul water for drinking, cooking, bathing and other domestic uses.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Fayette		-The project is a waterline extension in the White Road and Richmond Chapel Road areas in Fayette County, WV.-The residents in those areas will be afforded the opportunity to acquire clean, safe,wholesome water for their homes.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
0000000				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
53.00				



# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Romney, Town of (Water Line Extension)</b>		<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$3,000,000</b>
35				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144181	Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design	Customers outside of the Town of Romney's (Town) existing water system have requested water service from the Town due to issues with their water wells or because they must haul water for potable water supply.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Hampshire		The Town of Romney is proposing a project to evaluate and construct a water line extension to customers in need of a reliable source of potable water.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3301405				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
53.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Branchland-Midkiff PSD (Two Mile Creek)</b>		<b>\$6,894,000</b>	<b>\$6,894,000</b>
36				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144091	Transmission & Distribution	Residents of the project area currently make use of individually owned water wells as a source of potable drinking water. This is a public health and safety concern as water wells are more easily contaminated by nearby septic tanks, overland flow, improper storage of fuels and residential oil sources, and improper maintenance and construction of the well itself. The installation of public water sources would provide a higher quality and more dependable source of potable water.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Lincoln		The proposed Branchland-Midkiff Public Service District's Two Mile Creek Water Project will provide service to approximately 123 potential customers (310 persons) in the communities of Two Mile Creek, Little Laurel, Bee Branch and surrounding areas of Lincoln County. The project consists of the construction of approximately 42,010 feet of 6-inch and smaller diameter water mains, fire hydrants, valves, customer services and other related appurtenances.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302202				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
50.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Chestnut Ridge PSD (Phase II)</b>		<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>
37				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144209	Transmission & Distribution	The Chestnut Ridge PSD (PSD) has been experiencing problems with water loss primarily due to water main leaks. According to the 2024 WV Public Service Commission Annual Report, 58.5% of water purchased was lost due to unaccounted for water loss. The PSD's operators speculate that many of these water leaks are due to the improper fusing of the HDPE pipes when they were installed.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Barbour		Phase II of the Chestnut Ridge PSD Water System Improvement Project would see the replacement of approximately 18,000 linear feet of water line with all necessary appurtenances, road repair, and existing customer reconnections as necessary.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3300102				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
50.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Cowen PSD (Pleasant Ridge)</b>		<b>\$2,600,000</b>	<b>\$5,850,000</b>
38				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144191	Transmission & Distribution	The District has developed a phased approach to address their aging system as well as to economically extend water service to as many residents as possible within their service area. The proposed project area along Pleasant Ridge Rd is not currently served by a public water system and fire service is also unavailable. The households in these areas have been utilizing unreliable private wells for all their water needs and have expressed a sincere desire for access to public water. Many of the customers wells have either run dry or have a significant amount of iron which makes it difficult to treat.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Webster		The proposed waterline extension would provide approximately 20 new customers along Pleasants Ridge Road with potable water service. This project also consists of connecting the existing waterline along Pleasant Ridge Rd on the Bolair side of Cowen to the upperglade side. This will allow the District to circulate water throughout their system and significantly reduce the amount of water they have to blow off to maintain proper chlorine levels.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3305103				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
50.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Craigsville PSD</b>	<b>\$7,486,000</b>	<b>\$16,410,000</b>
39			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
19DWTRFA018	Treatment	<p>Craigsville's WTP is quite old and has reached the end of its useful life. Existing aboveground settling tanks are prone to leaks and require frequent repairs. The gravity filters require nearly daily backwashing in order to function. Moreover, even if the WTP were in like-new condition, the facility would need to run for nearly 14 hours/day to meet typical demand. Craigsville's water storage tanks are also aging and need repainted.</p>	
<b>County:</b>	Transmission & Distribution		
Nicholas	Storage		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3303402		<b>Solution</b>	
<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>This project proposes to construct a new 900 GPM WTP, construct a new water supply line from the WTP to Craigsville's main water storage tanks (to protect the existing system from increased supply pressure), decommission a smaller water storage tank and booster station in Cottle which will be made redundant by the new line, replacing them with a pressurereducing valve, and repaint Craigsville's remaining water storage tanks.</p>	
9/30/2025			
<b>Points</b>			
50.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Elizabeth, Town of</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$2,685,000</b>
40			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144203	Transmission & Distribution	<p>Various communities surrounding Elizabeth's service area do not have access to public drinking water service or fire protection. Residents in these areas are presumed to rely upon individual well systems with little, if any, treatment. The quality of water from these wells is not known at this time, but regardless of current water quality, lack of treatment leaves residents in these areas vulnerable to future contamination of the groundwater table, if and when it occurs.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Wirt			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3305302		<b>Solution</b>	
<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>This project proposes a waterline extension to service approximately 26 new customers along Three Bridges Road.</p>	
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
50.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Fountain PSD	\$4,393,000	\$7,393,000
41			
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem
	D-144159	Transmission & Distribution	-Residents from Headsville Road, Fried Meat Ridge Road, Rocky Lane, Old State Road, Millers Loop, and Sugar Hollow Loop Road have requested water service, signed users' agreements, and provided tap fees for 50 service connections.
	County:		-Up to an additional 13 customers may also be served along Dry Run Road and another portion of Fried Meat Ridge Road by the proposed waterline.
	Mineral		-No other water purveyors could reasonably serve the customers requesting service. The nearest other water purveyors are the City of Keyser and the Town of Romney.
	PERMIT #WV:		Solution
	3302942		Waterline extensions in the Headsville Road area including, but not limited to, Headsville Road, Fried Meat Ridge Road, Rocky Lane, Dry Run Road, Old State Road, Millers Loop and Sugar Hollow Loop Road to provide potable water service to at least 50 customer meters.
	Binding Date:		
	6/30/2026		
Points			
50.00			

Rank	Gilbert Town of	\$8,284,000	\$8,284,000
42			
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem
	D-144098	Treatment	Based on the latest Sanitary Survey conducted by the WV Department of Health and Human Resources dated February 8, 2024, there were major and minor deficiencies listed for thetown's water treatment plant, including an improper filter rate that is higher than the permitted design standard filter rate, a failure to meet 3-log giardia CT reduction at all times during the coldest water conditions, an inadequate number/volume of clarifier/flocculator basins, and an improper backwash process.
	County:		Solution
	Mingo		All components of the existing treatment plant will be demolished with the exception of the raw water intake, the newer settling basin and the raw water pumping vault. Replacements include the raw water, high service, and backwash pumps, the settling basins, clearwell, treatment units, decant basin, drying bed, electrical work, piping, and water storage tank.
	PERMIT #WV:		
	0103748		
	Binding Date:		
	6/30/2026		
Points			
50.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Greenbrier PSD # 2 (Phase III)</b>		<b>\$3,600,000</b>	<b>\$6,600,000</b>
43				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144190	Transmission & Distribution	There are customers who currently do not have access to public water or fire protection and are relying upon inadequate individual water systems.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Greenbrier		This project proposes extending water service to approximately 80 customers from the existing waterline at Sam Black Church along Sam Black Church Road and on to the unincorporated community of Smoot.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3301302				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
50.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Harman, Town of</b>		<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>
44				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144157	Treatment Transmission & Distribution DW Source Change Planning and Design	1)Some additional upgrades to the water treatment plant are needed now to allow it to continue to properly function. 2)Well #1 will need to be abandoned since the existing water treatment plant is not equipped to treat water from a GWUDI well. 3)A waterline extension was made along Pennington Road as part of the 2017 water system improvements project. Some of the customers at the higher locations have reported low water pressures. 4)There are 15 fire hydrants in the downtown area of Harman from the original 1980s construction. These are no longer functional.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Randolph		This project includes improvements to the existing water treatment plant, the development of a secondary raw water source to replace an existing water well that has recently been determined to be GWUDI, the addition of a constant run pump station along Pennington Road to improve pressure for existing customers, and the replacement of fire hydrants that have reached the end of their useful life.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3304204				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
50.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Ice's Run Route 250 PSD</b>	<b>\$2,262,000</b>	<b>\$2,762,000</b>
45			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144160	Transmission & Distribution	<p>Existing tanks are in average condition and have been repainted recently. The current tanks provide the required 72 hours of potable water storage. With the average daily use being just over 55,000 GPD, the water tanks contain over 3.5 days of water supply for the PSD when full. The existing water line from the Fairmont meter to the Goose Run Booster Station is 55 years old and needs to be replaced. Existing water lines in the Pine Grove Rd area must also be replaced. A roadway slip occurred in 2023 that damaged a section of the water line, and a temporary water line has been installed to restore service to existing customers.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>The proposed project consists of replacing existing water lines within the PSD's existing water distribution system to mitigate water loss, install 1 new booster station while making repairs at another, and install a new pressure-reducing valve vault and control valve station. This proposed project will be a Phase II - Water System Improvement project that will reduce the PSD's current water loss, reduce yearly O&amp;M costs associated with leaks/breaks, provide a backup water connection to the Town of Monongah, and upgrade the existing system.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Marion			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302508			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
50.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Lashmeet PSD (Nubbins Ridge-Stovall Ridge-Camp Creek)</b>	<b>\$4,500,000</b>	<b>\$14,529,000</b>
46			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144222	Transmission & Distribution Storage	<p>No public water facilities exist within the Nubbins Ridge, Stovall Ridge, and Camp Creek (Exit 20) areas of the proposed project along with the Dunns Road area. The project will provide water service to three unserved areas. Many residents in the area haul water from other areas for their home use and purchase bottled water for consumption.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>The Nubbins Ridge-Stovall Ridge-Camp Creek Waterline Extension Project is proposed to be multi-phased, including connecting to the Lashmeet PSD's water line near the community of Beeson and extending it along the rights-of-way of County Routes 5/1, 5/2, 5, 19/7, and US Route 19, through the communities of Nubbins Ridge and Stovall Ridge to serve the Camp Creek area. Future phases will extend service from the main trunk line into the outer parts of the Nubbins Ridge, Stovall Ridge, and Dunns Road areas.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Mercer			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
WVG640175			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
50.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Lewis County Economic Development</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$5,700,000</b>
47	<b>Authority</b>		
	<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>
	D-144135	Transmission & Distribution	Lack of adequate water infrastructure in certain areas of Lewis County, including unserved residential homes, businesses, and developable land along Corridor H. Currently, these areas rely on wells, cisterns, or hauled water, leaving approximately 50 customers without critical fire protection due to undersized or non-existent water lines. This infrastructure gap has also hindered business development, as several large projects have been unable to proceed due to the lack of essential services.
	<b>County:</b>	Storage	
	Lewis	Planning and Design	
	<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>	Land Acquisition	
	3302104	Other	<b>Solution</b>
	<b>Binding Date:</b>		Expand water infrastructure in Lewis County, providing potable water, fire protection, and essential utilities to unserved homes, businesses, and land along Corridor H. It involves installing almost 5 miles of new water line, 157,000 gallon water storage tank, replacing inadequate infrastructure, and adding fire hydrants to improve safety. The expansion also supports economic development by enabling business growth along Corridor H, fostering job creation, and addressing past limitations due to insufficient utilities.
<b>Points</b>	6/30/2026		
50.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Lubeck PSD (WTP Upgrades)</b>	<b>\$14,500,000</b>	<b>\$15,000,000</b>
48	<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>
	D-144205	Treatment	Lubeck PSD's source water is from seven groundwater wells. The aquifer in this area is contaminated with PFOA/PFAS. These individual wells are costly to operate and maintain. The raw water intake line from the wells to the water treatment plant (WTP) was installed in an inaccessible area which Lubeck does not have the capability to maintain or fix in the event of a leak. The roof of the WTP is leaking at multiple different locations causing water damage within the WTP. The clearwell basin at the WTP is constructed of cinder block which are now crumbling and in need of repair. There is no GAC filtration on backwash, etc.
	<b>County:</b>	Planning and Design	
	Wood		
	<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		
	3305404		<b>Solution</b>
	<b>Binding Date:</b>		Evaluate the benefits of a Ranney Well, Install a new raw water intake to the water treatment plant (WTP), perform other necessary upgrades to the WTP such as roof repair, additional Granular Activated Carbon filtration to remove PFOA/PFAS from drinking water and backwash, upgrade HVAC, clearwell, Greensand Filters, etc.
<b>Points</b>	6/30/2026		
50.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>McDowell County PSD (Jolo Phase V)</b>		<b>\$1,753,000</b>	<b>\$7,752,000</b>
49				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144106	Transmission & Distribution	The areas proposed to be served by the Jolo Phase V currently lack treated water services and rely on well water. There is potential for the water in the area to be contaminated, as the vicinity has been used for mining activities in the past.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
McDowell		The proposed Jolo Phase V project will provide water service to 119 potential new customers, divided between four areas. The first area, "Rockridge", begins at the northern end of the previously constructed Jolo Phase 3 project along County Route 5/1 and includes 54 potential customers. The second area, "Panther Creek Road", begins at the western end of the previously constructed Jolo Phase 4 project along County Route 3/2 and includes 31 potential customers. The third area, "Baker Ridge", begins at the end of the previously constructed Jolo Phase 4 project along County Route 83/11 and includes 9 customers.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302434				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
50.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Milton Municipal Utilities Commission</b>		<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>
50				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144211	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The existing waterline suffers failures on a regular basis. Sections of lines are undersized and in deteriorating condition. The city also has had its tanks inspected and all sites require repairs to increase the life of the tanks.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Cabell		This project proposes repair/replacement of various waterlines within Milton's distribution system. It also proposes to make repairs to the tanks.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3300609				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
50.00				



# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mingo County PSD (Pigeon Creek)</b>		<b>\$2,000,000</b>	<b>\$2,000,000</b>
51				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144231	DW Source Change	During large rain events, the Tug Fork River is prone to increased water surface elevation and possible flooding. During these events, it is possible for the Tug Fork River water intake to become clogged with sand which prevents the water treatment plant from efficiently drawing water from the Tug Fork River. The Tug Fork River is also prone to icing due to the shallow depth throughout the winter months.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mingo		The project being proposed expresses the need for an alternate water intake to the water treatment plant from Pigeon Creek, located approximately 800 feet NW of the water treatment plant (WTP). The alternate intake will give the WTP options for where to draw the source water.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3303029				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
50.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>New Creek Water Association</b>		<b>\$2,000,000</b>	<b>\$3,410,000</b>
52				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144220	Transmission & Distribution	Frequent and reoccurring water breaks along the line from Apple Ridge to Hooker Hollow and occasional water breaks along the water line installed in 1973 on Linden Drive, which leads to customer service outages, boil water notices, and increased operating costs for repairs. Service outages experienced along US 220 between Rosemary Drive and Pine Swamp Road cause a service outage to WVU's Potomac Valley Hospital. The hospital does not have a water storage tank for reserve supply in an outage, resulting in the disruption of life saving medical care.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mineral		The proposed project includes replacing the water line on Hooker Hollow Road with 2,500 LF of 6" water line in a location easier to maintain, replacing the water lines on Linden Drive with 3,500 LF of 6" water line and 1,500 LF of 2" water line, and upgrading the water line on Seneca Lane from a 2" to 6", whereby creating a loop for the hospital to maintain water service. The project also includes miscellaneous fire flow improvements based on the results of the hydraulic model which is currently underway.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302920				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
50.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Pax, Town of</b>	*	\$1,780,000
53			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144086	Transmission & Distribution	The Town of Pax is currently purchasing water from the Beckley Water system. The waterline in this section is constructed of antiquated piping, and the Town has concerns of breaks, wash outs from flooding, and other failures that are imminent to the waterline. This would leave the Pax customers without water for an unknown period of time which is a public health and safety concern.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Fayette		This project will involve replacing sections of water main between the Beckley Water Company connection point and the Pax water tank. This will renew the useful life of this critical section of waterline and will continue to distribute treated water for the Town of Pax customers. If installed properly this can reduce the amount of water loss for the system and decrease the probability of leaks and breaks for this section of line.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
0000000			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
50.00		*Are considering adding SRF funding.	

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Thomas, City of (Phase 1)</b>	\$2,500,000	\$5,500,000
54			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144060	Transmission & Distribution	Thomas (City) currently experiences 32% water loss according to the 2023 West Virginia Public Service Commission (WVPSC) Annual Report. This was due to the City experiencing 9,732,000 gallons of unaccounted water loss. The "acceptable" number for water loss is 15% meaning that the City is exceeding this figure and needs to address water loss issues throughout their distribution to replace existing water lines within the City's existing water distribution system to mitigate water loss as well as replace an existing booster pumping station.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Tucker		The proposed project will be a Phase I - Water System Improvements project that will serve to reduce the City's current water loss as well as reduce O&M costs associated with leaks/breaks within the system. The line replacements included in this proposed project are 1) Water Line Replacement from existing Water Treatment Plant to Brown Street and Grant Street 2) Water Line Replacement from Post Office to Cortland Acres 3) Water Line Replacement from Cortland Acres to Tucker County High School.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304709			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
50.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Tomlinson PSD</b>	<b>\$1,443,150</b>	<b>\$14,343,150</b>
55			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144235	Transmission & Distribution	The Newell System is currently in a state of disrepair. Residents of the community currently have unhealthy/contaminated water often of a brown/black appearance. Additionally, the Newell company is no longer in a position to maintain the system and Tomlinson PSD has been ordered to take control and upgrade the system as necessary.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Hancock		Phase II consists of the upgrades to the existing distribution system, which will included replacing approximately 17,500 ft. of 8-inch water main, 6,000 ft. of 6-inch water main, 2,500 ft. of 2" water main, 40 fire hydrants, 34 gate valves, 555 water meters and all necessary appurtenances.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3301519			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
50.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Welch, City of (Jr. Poca)</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$5,144,000</b>
56			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144082	Transmission & Distribution	The distribution system is in need of repair or replacement of certain sections of the watermain. Two areas of the greatest need are the North Welch and Jr. Poca areas. Thereplacement of lines in these areas is due to the condition of the main. The City has repaired 71 main breaks in the North Welch area over the last 20 years. In that same time frame, the City repaired 42 main breaks in the Jr. Poca area.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
McDowell		The North Welch area includes approximately 15,362 LF of 8" water main that will be repaired or replaced. The Jr. Poca area includes approximately 5,287 LF of 8" water main that will be repaired or replaced.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302421			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
50.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Wilderness PSD (Water Syst. Improv. Ph II)</b>		<b>\$5,319,500</b>	<b>\$6,819,500</b>
57				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144121	Transmission & Distribution Storage	<p>The existing water distribution system is needing upgrades to provide adequate flow and pressure to Cherry Lane, Shafer Road, Honeysuckle Lane, Mt. Nebo Grade School, Jones Road, Dietz Road, Lower Anglins Creek/Runa, Oak Grove Cut-off, and Miranda Lane. Water storage tanks are needing cleaned, repainted, new generators, and automatic transfer switches. Snow Hill and Nicholas Booster stations are needing improvements. Telemetry system is antiquated and needing improvements. Water treatment plant is needing improvements as well.</p>		
<b>County:</b>	DW Source Change			
Nicholas				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3303405		<b>Solution</b>		
<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>The proposed project will include of replacement of approximately 17,755 feet of 2-inch water line with new 4-inch PVC line, 3,735 feet of 6-inch AC water line with 6-inch PVC line, 23 gate valves, 3,095 feet of service line, 2 pressure reducing stations, surface restoration and all necessary appurtenances. The project will also include development of new raw water intakes on both Meadow River and Anglins Creek, installation of a spiral staircase on the existing pre-sedimentation basin, replace the existing telemetry system, clean and repaint all of the water storage tanks, make improvements to the Snow Hill.</p>		
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
50.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Jumping Branch-Nimitz PSD (Madams Creek)</b>		<b>\$1,826,934</b>	<b>\$5,400,000</b>
58				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144024	Transmission & Distribution	<p>The residents of the Madams Creek area in Summers County, WV have no access to public water. The quality of the wells in the area, as well as, the quantity is inadequate for human use. Residents haul water for drinking, cooking, bathing and other domestic uses.</p>		
<b>County:</b>				
Summers				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
0000000		<b>Solution</b>		
<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>This project is known as the Madams Creek waterline extension. The project is a waterline extension to cover the Madams Creek area in Summers County, WV. The residents in those areas will be afforded the opportunity to acquire clean, safe, wholesome water for their homes.</p>		
12/31/2025				
<b>Points</b>				
48.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>New Haven PSD (Old Gwinn Rd)</b>	<b>\$2,784,677</b>	<b>\$5,132,565</b>
59			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144049	Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design	-The residents of the Old Gwinn Road area in Fayette County, WV have no access to public water.-The quality of the wells in the area, as well as, the quantity is inadequate for human use.Residents haul water for drinking, cooking, bathing and other domestic uses.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Fayette		-The project is a waterline extension Old Gwinn Road areas in Fayette County, WV.-The residents in those areas will be afforded the opportunity to acquire clean, safe, wholesome water for their homes.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
0000000			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
48.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Alderson, Town of</b>	<b>\$2,150,000</b>	<b>\$10,317,000</b>
60			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
22DWTRFA013	Treatment Transmission & Distribution	The Town of Alderson's existing water distribution system is currently experiencing a need for renovations due to the multiple components reaching the end of their service lives. The scope of this work includes but is not limited to replacing undersized main lines, replacing systemvalve that no longer operate properly, replacing meter tubs that are in disrepair, and replacing system fire hydrants. These system renovations will allow the Town to better service theirexisting customers and continue to provide them with proper water service and fire protection.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Greenbrier		Project consists of rehabilitating and replacing components of the Town's existing WTP and distribution system, as well as extending service to 165 customers in the Riverside Rest area and installing an interconnection with Big Bend PSD, which provides service to 45 customers. Project will replace the 150,000 gallon Monroe Storage Tank, rehabilitate the Muddy CreekStorage Tank, and replace 13,000 LF of water line, 19 gate valves, 850 meters, and 40 firehydrants. The extension and interconnection work includes the installation of 56,350 LF ofwater line, and 74 gate valves, 210 meter settings, and 33 fire hydrants.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3301315			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
9/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
45.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Anmoore Water Department, Town of</b>		<b>\$1,150,000</b>	<b>\$3,550,000</b>
61				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
22DWTRFA030	Transmission & Distribution	The existing distribution system in the areas of concern is mainly comprised of old lines. The lines are aging, beginning to fail, and need replaced. The replacement of the lines in question, will allow Anmoore to provide their customers with adequate potable water and also help address the water loss throughout their service area. The water meters that the Townutilizes are old and occasionally difficult to read accurately, which often results in unplanned additional hours returning to the water meters for additional readings.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Harrison		The proposed project is going to replace the main distribution lines on Linden Ave, Parkway Ave, Plainfield Ave, Brushy Fork Area, and County Route 21/3 Improvements. The proposed project is also going to replace all water meters within the Town of Anmoore's service area.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3301701				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
9/30/2025				
<b>Points</b>				
45.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Birch River PSD (Mill Creek Phase II)</b>		<b>*</b>	<b>\$1,625,000</b>
62				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144228	Transmission & Distribution	There are approximately 24 customers in the project area along Mill Creek Road and Crites Mountain Road that currently do not have access to dependable potable water. These customers have unreliable wells or must haul water from another location.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Nicholas		This project consists of extending the existing Birch River PSD water distribution along Mill Creek Road and Crites Mountain Road to the proposed 24 customers that do not currently have a reliable source of potable water.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3303413				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
45.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Branchland-Midkiff PSD (Six Mile Creek)		\$3,932,000	\$3,932,000
63				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144090	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The Six Mile Creek Project area currently has 47 potential customers (120 persons).Residents in the area complain of water quality and quantity problems. Complaints include allegations that the well water contains iron, corrodes pipes and fixtures, stains cloths, has a strong sulfur odor and tastes bad. Some residents utilize home treatment units in an effort to improve the quality of water. The District has received several reports that wells in the area get low or go dry during certain times of the year. The BMPSD's existing water system abuts the project area and can facilitate the future extension of water service.	
	County:			
	Lincoln			
	PERMIT #WV:			
	3302202			
	Binding Date:		Solution	
	6/30/2026		Proposed project consists of the construction of approximately 27,610 feet of 6-inch and smaller diameter main, one water storage tank, fire hydrants, valves, customer services and other related appurtenances.	
Points				
45.00				

Rank	Branchland-Midkiff PSD (Trace Fork & West Hamlin)		\$2,627,000	\$2,627,000
64				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144094	Transmission & Distribution	Residents of the project area currently make use of individually owned water wells as a source of potable drinking water. This is a public health and safety concern as water wells are more easily contaminated by nearby septic tanks, overland flow, improper storage of fuels and residential oil sources, and improper maintenance and construction of the well itself. The installation of public water sources would provide a higher quality and more dependable source of potable water.	
	County:			
	Lincoln			
	PERMIT #WV:			
	3302202			
	Binding Date:		Solution	
	6/30/2026		The project consists of the construction of approximately 27,700 feet of 10-inch and smaller diameter water mains, one booster station, fire hydrants, valves, customer services and other related appurtenances. The project will connect to the District's existing water system along WV Route 10 at its connection to the Town of West Hamlin and extend to the community of Branchland, including Trace Fork and its tributaries.	
Points				
45.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Ellenboro, Town of</b>	<b>\$1,275,000</b>	<b>\$1,775,000</b>
65			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144193	Transmission & Distribution	Residents along Highland Road are not currently served by a public water utility and rely on private wells for domestic water supply. Many of the residents have experienced low or no water in their wells along with possible contamination and have requested to be served by the Town of Ellenboro's public water system.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Ritchie		This project proposes to install approximately 11,500 LF of 6" water main line to serve approximately 20 customers along Highland Road with potable water and provide fire flow to the area. This project proposes to tie into the existing Ellenboro water system at Lamberton Road and follow Highland Road to tie into the proposed City of Pennsboro system on Bonds Creek Road.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304302			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
45.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Frankfort PSD</b>	<b>\$5,478,000</b>	<b>\$6,478,000</b>
66			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144216	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The Dawn View water storage tank is deteriorating and not able to be replaced as part of an earlier project phase due to limited funding. The project also involves the construction of a water line loop for improving the hydraulic conditions for sending water to the northern portion of the system currently served by undersized water mains as well as extending water service to approximately 74 new customers, 51 of which are currently on public sewer.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Mineral		1) The project includes a water line extension along Knobley Road from the end of the current line for approximately 1 mile. This extension will serve approximately 24 customers who already have sewer service from the PSD. 2) Replacement of the existing 239,000 gallon Dawn View Water Storage Tank with a new water storage tank. 3) Construction of a connector line between the Baker Hollow Tank and the water line on Rt 28, construction of a water line along Knobley Road to serve 27 new customers, and construction of a water line along Rt 46 to serve 23 new customers.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302911			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
45.00			



# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Kanawha Falls PSD</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>	<b>\$11,459,000</b>
67			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144039	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The December 30, 2021 sanitary survey indicated significant deficiencies in the distribution system and water treatment plant.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Fayette		The project proposes line replacements in the areas of Gauley Bridge Hill, New Boomer Hill, Charlton Heights, Main Street to Railroad Street, Upper Gauley Bridge, Scrabble Creek, Falls View, Glen Ferris, and Kanawha Falls areas. The project will also include the construction and installation of a new Low Tank in Gauley Bridge.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3301037			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
3/31/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
45.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Lashmeet PSD (Brick Yard)</b>	<b>\$1,468,302</b>	<b>\$9,348,000</b>
68			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144058	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The Lashmeet Public Service District has received numerous requests for potable waterservice from the residents of Brickyard. These requests indicated a lack of water, and/or poor-quality water.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Mercer		The Brickyard Road Waterline Extension Project includes connecting to the Lashmeet Public Service District's water line, installed along State Route 7 (Spanishburg-Athens Road) near Gardner (North of Princeton) and extending it along the right-of-way of State Route 7, County Route 16 (Brickyard Road) and State Route 7/3 (Old Gardner Road) to the community of Brickyard Road.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302817			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
45.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Marlinton, Town of</b>	<b>\$5,580,000</b>	<b>\$5,580,000</b>
69			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144025	Transmission & Distribution	The existing water distribution system that serves the downtown area of Marlinton is over 100 years old. Extensions were made in 1992 to serve 37 customers. Water losses from the distribution system were traced to the previously inoperable telemetry system that had allowed the tanks to overflow; that system was replaced with the 2019 upgrade project, and water loss has fallen to 50%. Much of the rest of the water loss can be attributed to deteriorating galvanized and cast iron mains, some of which are over 100 years old and have reached the end of their useful lives.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Pocahontas		Water Systems Improvement Project: The oldest sections of Marlinton's distribution system will be replaced to reduce water loss and improve service conditions. This will include replacement of 20 fire hydrants, replace/install 41 2-inch and 6-inch valves, replace 10,000 LF of existing 1-1/4 and 2-inch water mains with new 2-inch PVC mains, replace 10,000 LF of existing 4-inch mains with 6-inch mains, install 10 leak detection meters and place 300 meters, setters and meter wells.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3303803			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
45.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>McDowell County PSD (Bradshaw)</b>	<b>\$32,606</b>	<b>\$2,753,000</b>
70			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144043	Transmission & Distribution Restructuring	The Town of Bradshaw is experiencing problems with maintaining and operating their water system. Financially, the town does not have enough resources to maintain the system properly. The town over the years has experienced a decline in the customer base (decrease in revenue), increase of maintenance issues and cost, need for updated equipment and facilities, and other related issues.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
McDowell		The proposed project will involve changing the raw water supply from the Bradshaw water well to the Bartley water well, install new meters and waterline, and decommission the Bradshaw Water Storage Tank and the Bradshaw Water Treatment Plant.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302434			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
45.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>McDowell County PSD (Elkhorn Phase IV)</b>		<b>\$7,160,000</b>	<b>\$7,160,000</b>
71				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144105	Transmission & Distribution Restructuring	The area proposed to be served by the project lacks access to treated water services at the current time.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
McDowell		The proposed Phase IV Elkhorn Creek Water Project will upgrade the existing water system from the recently completed Elkhorn Creek Phase II Water Project in the Town of Keystone and extend west along Route 52 to the community of Big Four just outside the City of Welch. This project is the last of four phases providing safe and dependable potable water along Route 52 from the Mercer County line to the City of Welch. The proposed project will remove three outdated and problematic water treatment plants and distribution systems from service. This project will provide service to approximately 375 customers in the communities.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302434				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
45.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Meadow Creek PSD</b>		<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$12,987,000</b>
72				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144153	Transmission & Distribution	The communities of Meadow Creek and Sandstone currently have no water service. The wastewater service was provided due to contaminated water wells. Additionally, it is the District's understanding that these wells show signs of a lack of water and/or poor-quality water.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Summers		Meadow Creek PSD wishes to construct a water system in the Sandstone and Meadow Creek areas of Summers County in order to provide water service and fire protection to the residents in these areas. The initial phase of the project will include getting water to the community of Sandstone, which includes the National Park Service's Visitor Center. The design will include extending service into the Meadow Creek area, which will be constructed as a future part of the project.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
0000000				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
45.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Morgantown Utility Board (WTP)</b>		<b>\$46,155,750</b>	<b>\$46,155,750</b>
73				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144215	Treatment	The project will address concerns with existing equipment at the end of its useful life along with improvements to meet increasing projected future demands. Within the water system, improvements are needed to sustain the water treatment plant high service pumps, yard piping, chemical storage and disinfection, SCADA, VFDs and controls. In addition, an expansion and reconfiguration of the existing administrative space is needed to improve lab space, access for visitors, and security for IT infrastructure.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Monongalia		Construct a new High Service Pump Station with new high service pumps; improve yard piping; construct a New Chlorine Building; rehabilitate existing sedimentation basins and equipment, replace alum storage and feed system; refurbish existing quicklime system; replace compressors and driers in Chemical Building; replace compressors and valves in Membrane Building; expand existing chemical building for more space for administration; reconfigure existing chemical rooms and replace equipment; replace backwash waste pumps; replace VFDs and PLC; SCADA improvements; and site security improvements.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3303111				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
45.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Romney, Town of (Secondary Source)</b>		<b>\$2,900,000</b>	<b>\$3,500,000</b>
74				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144142	DW Source Change Planning and Design	Romney only has one reliable water source to service their customers.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Hampshire		This project proposes to evaluate alternatives to establish a secondary water source for the Town of Romney.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3301405				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
45.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Worthington, Town of (Phase II)		\$1,050,000	\$4,800,000
75				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144067	Transmission & Distribution	The proposed Phase 2 project is necessary to complete the rehabilitation of the distribution system that serves the Four States area. A previous project, completed in 2010, replaced most of the 6-inch mains in Four States, but did not replace the 2-inch mains, service lines, meter wells and settings or the 6-inch main connecting Four States to the Town of Monongah's water storage tank. Completion of the project will minimize water lost from the system, as well as substantially improving the reliability and resiliency of the distribution system.	
	County:		Solution	
	Marion		This project covers the various work which needs to be done in the Four States area. The smaller waterlines would be replaced in the Four States area, as well as an existing gate valves in the area. Approximately 32,600 LF of 2-inch and 6-inch waterline would be replaced. Four new valves will be installed and 20 will be replaced. Also, several fire hydrants would be replaced. A total of ten leak detection meters would be installed to provide an additional source of information regarding potential leaks in the system.	
	PERMIT #WV:			
	3302524			
	Binding Date:			
	6/30/2026			
Points				
45.00				

Rank	Flatwoods-Canoe Run PSD		\$2,717,000	\$2,717,000
76				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144032	Transmission & Distribution	Approximately 45 potential customers utilize wells as their primary source for drinking water. These potential customers utilize on-site sewage disposal systems. The Braxton County Health Department conducted a survey in the area and found that 65% of water supplies contained coliform bacteria, an indication of widespread surface water contamination.	
	County:		Solution	
	Braxton		The proposed project would supply an estimated 45 additional customers with potable water and fire protection services by Flatwoods-Canoe Run Public Service District. The proposed project would consist of the construction of approximately 18,840 LF of 8-inch waterline, 12,525 LF of 6-inch waterline, 1,375 LF of 2-inch waterline, fire hydrants, valves, meters and other related appurtenances.	
	PERMIT #WV:			
	3300402			
	Binding Date:			
	6/30/2026			
Points				
43.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Birch River PSD (Keener Ridge)</b>	*	\$2,625,000
77			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144089	Transmission & Distribution	There are approximately 29 customers along Keener Ridge Road that do not have access to a dependable source of drinking water. Residents either have wells, haul water from other locations, or a mixture of both.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Nicholas		The proposed project includes replacing the aged existing waterline through the installation of 16,500 linear feet (LF) of 6-inch PVC, 6,200 LF of 4-inch PVC, 500 LF of 2-inch PVC, 635 LF of service line, 16 fire hydrants, gate valves, customer meters, and other related appurtenances.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		*Are considering adding SRF Funding.	
3303413			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
40.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Buckhannon Water Department</b>	\$3,000,000	\$41,300,000
78			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144162	Treatment Transmission & Distribution Land Acquisition	The existing plant suffers from several major deficiencies and violations, including potential flooding at the raw water pump station, undersized rapid mix/flocculation basin, structural deterioration, inadequate washwater and sludge disposal processes, risk of inadvertent and excessive chemical release from the 1-ton chlorine cylinders, and the physical plant is severely outdated. Replacement of the existing plant with construction of a new facility is very cost competitive in the short term, and is, by a wide margin, the most cost-effective choice in the long term. Replacement of aged, leaking water system lines is also proposed.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Upshur		As recommended in the 12/11/23 Water Treatment Plant (WTP) Feasibility Report, existing WTP will be replaced with a newly constructed facility. Proposed project location has shifted to the east side of Wood St., and will require acquisition of Parcel 102, and part of Parcel 105, each being adjacent to the Buckhannon's existing parcel 103. This location will allow an efficient plant layout, and will include the raw water pump station within the proposed WTP. Replacement of aged, leaking water system lines is also proposed.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304902			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
40.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Cameron, City of</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>
79			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144208	Transmission & Distribution	<p>The City of Cameron has been experiencing water loss throughout their system and through this proposed project would like to alleviate some of the water loss in the particular areas of their service area. The City of Cameron does not have a secondary source of water supply, this project will include a connection to Marshall County PSD #4, allowing Cameron to receive water during times of need.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>The project will consist of installing various sized water lines throughout the project area. New fire hydrants will be installed as part of this project to replace the current hydrants that are installed on old lines or on undersized lines. The project will also install new services with new water meters as the City of Cameron (City) starts to replace the old meters with new. Finally, the project will install a connection to Marshall County PSD #4 with a master meter that will allow the City to purchase water from them in time of need.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Marshall			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302603			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
40.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Clay-Roane PSD (Little Lefthand Rd)</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$3,500,000</b>
80			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144201	Transmission & Distribution	<p>Approximately 25 homes along Little Lefthand Road currently utilize substandard wells and do not have access to fire hydrants. The wells can be undependable because of fluctuations in the water table at their elevations. For health and safety reasons, residents need for a safe, reliable supply of potable water at these locations.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>In order to provide water services to unserved areas of Little Lefthand Road, approximately 3.5 miles of 6" water main and appurtenances will be constructed from the end of the current water line on Little Lefthand Road up the unserved portion of the road (25 houses). The extension will not require additional booster pumping or storage. Adequate storage already exists in the Lefthand Tank.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Clay			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3300806			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
40.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Cowen PSD</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>
81			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144183	Transmission & Distribution	Project proposes to replace old waterline which has been prone to consistent leaks. Many of the fire hydrants and gate valves are also outdated and in need of replacement. This section of distribution line is original to the system (1960s).	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Webster		This project proposes existing line replacement, new generators at Bolair, Nursing Home Booster Stations and new telemetry.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3305103			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
40.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Cowen PSD (Phase III)</b>	<b>\$7,480,000</b>	<b>\$14,980,000</b>
82			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144029	Treatment Transmission & Distribution	Cowen PSD's Water Treatment Plant (WTP) is showing signs of significant age. The WTP is over 50 years old and beyond its recommended service life. The WTP is currently facing foundational issues, cracks in the basins, equipment inefficiency, roof leakage, and other minor problems. The WTP is currently running an average of 13 hours a day to keep up with demand due to unaccounted for water and the inefficiency of the aging equipment.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Webster		This project consists of line replacement and building new water treatment plant structures to increase facility capacity.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3305103			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
40.00			



# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Davy, Town of (Phase II)</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$8,168,000</b>
83			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144064	Transmission & Distribution	<p>Except for the upper portion of Asco Hollow and Twin Branch, the transmission and distribution lines are aged (ranging from 30 to 70 years old). Water loss is a major issue, currently at 59%. The Asco pump station lies within the 100 year flood plain. The fire hydrants are aged and inefficient. Of the existing 29 fire hydrants on the system, 11 have malfunctioned. A sanitary survey dated February 9, 2023 listed the water loss and pump station flood plain location as Significant Deficiencies.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>This project is designated as Davy Water Phase 2. All the transmission and distribution lines except for the upper portion of Asco Hollow and Twin Branch, which are approximately 14 years old, will be replaced. Installation of new lines will greatly reduce water loss. The Asco booster pump station will be relocated to a site outside of the flood plain.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
McDowell			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302425			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
40.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Fort Gay, Town of</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$2,650,000</b>
84			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144065	Transmission & Distribution Storage	<p>Residents located at higher service elevations often have inadequate or no service and fire flows are substandard. Booster pumping and storage to the high service gradient is inadequate. The Consent Decree and WV Bureau for Public Health sanitary survey findings also require the Town to develop and implement a staffing evaluation, a cross connection control program, an operation and maintenance manual for the water system, an emergency response and emergency notification program, and a record retention system.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>Project will include 6" PVC Class 200 Water Main, 2" PVC Water Line, Water Meters, Connection to Existing Water System, Hot-tap Connection to Existing, 6" Gate Valves, 2" Gate Valves, Blow-off Assembly, Fire Hydrant, Water Booster Station, and 150,000 Gallon Water Storage Tank (Doss Hill).</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Wayne			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3305004			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
40.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Gauley River PSD (Critical Needs)</b>		<b>\$922,500</b>	<b>\$1,845,000</b>
85				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144002	Transmission & Distribution	<p>In a preliminary review of the water distribution system, it is evident many of the components of the system require immediate repair or replacement. The waterline along Route 39 is undersized in areas and is nearing the end of its useful service life and regularly experiences leaks, line breaks, and customer service interruptions. This line is directly responsible for serving Mount Olive Correctional Complex (MOCC) if water is flowing from the City of Summersville. In this project the District desires to have the ability to serve MOCC from both the Kanawha Falls PSD as is current and the City of Summersville.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>The proposed project includes waterline replacement in one of the oldest portions of the system and areas which may be in need of replacement due to the relative age, portions of under-classed and undersized pipe, and improper installation. The project will also allow flow from the City of Summersville source to the Mount Olive Correctional Complex.</p>		
<b>County:</b>				
Fayette				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3301042				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
12/31/2025				
<b>Points</b>				
40.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Lewis County Economic Development Authority (Phase II Ext.)</b>		<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,950,000</b>
86				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144198	Transmission & Distribution	<p>There are rural areas of Lewis County continuing to request public water service. Many of these residents have attended public meetings for other projects. Some of these residents have poor quality or unreliable water wells.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>The Lewis County EDA is proposing a project to construct water line extensions to rural residents in Lewis County currently requesting service.</p>		
<b>County:</b>				
Lewis				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302104				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
40.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mingo County PSD (Upper Gilbert Creek)</b>	*	\$20,241,850
87			
	<b>SRF #:</b> D-144112 <b>County:</b> Mingo <b>PERMIT #WV:</b> 3303029 <b>Binding Date:</b> 6/30/2026	<b>Needs Categories:</b> Transmission & Distribution Storage Planning and Design Land Acquisition Other	<b>Problem</b> Extend dependable potable water service to approx. 541 residences in areas of Upper Gilbert Crk, Fourpole Crk, Isaban, and Wyoming City. Though Mingo Co. population is not projected to increase in the future, extension of utilities to underdeveloped communities provides a need for system growth at present and near future. These areas will greatly benefit from installation of water service as dependable water both decreases public health and safety risks and increases potential for economic growth and development as areas using well water are not appealing to potential residents or commercial businesses.
<b>Points</b>			<b>Solution</b> Upper Gilbert Creek Waterline Extension Project will connect to existing Twisted Gun Waterline System and extend southeast to Gilbert Creek. Waterline will continue generally southeast to Isaban, where it will follow Fourpole Creek Rd to Wyoming City. In the Project is installation of storage tanks, sized at 200,000 and 300,000 gallons. They are to be placed off Rte 13 near Whippoorwill Dr. and at the end of Left Fork Gilbert Creek Rd. Also, a booster pump station is to be placed at intersection of State Rte 13 and Left Fork Gilbert Creek Rd. *Are considering adding SRF Funding.
40.00			
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Nettie-Leivasy PSD</b>	\$5,837,775	\$6,337,775
88			
	<b>SRF #:</b> D-144014 <b>County:</b> Nicholas <b>PERMIT #WV:</b> 3303403 <b>Binding Date:</b> 3/31/2026	<b>Needs Categories:</b> Transmission & Distribution	<b>Problem</b> The first 25,000 LF of main along Ward Road (which connects Nettie to Canvas) was constructed of 4-inch, Class 160 PVC, and is not large enough to support fire hydrants; 6-inch and 8-inch mains feed and are supplied by the section of 4-inch main. Also, a 2,000 LF section of 2-inch main that originally served 6 homes along McCutchen Lane when constructed in 1976 now serves 29 homes, and that main is undersized. Low pressure complaints in this area. Road will require mains to be upgraded and/or booster stations to be installed. Current lines are undersized and leak-prone.
<b>Points</b>			<b>Solution</b> Ward Road/McCutchen Lane Waterline Replacement Project: Replace 28,000 LF of 4-inch Class 160 PVC with 6-inch DR14 PVC along Ward Road and replace 2,000 LF of 2-inch PVC and 1,000 LF of 1-inch PVC with 2,000 LF of 6-inch DR14 PVC and 1,000 LF of 2-inch DR13.5 PVC to resolve issues of low pressure, leaks, and need for fire protection.
40.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Pennsboro, City of</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>	<b>\$4,800,000</b>
89			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144182	Transmission & Distribution	Residents along Bonds Creek Road are not currently served by a public water utility and rely on private wells for domestic water supply. Many of the residents have experienced low or no water in their wells along with possible contamination and have requested to be served by the City of Pennsboro's public water system.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Ritchie		This project proposes to install approximately 60,000 linear feet (LF) of water main lines with all necessary appurtenances and road repairs as necessary to serve approximately 70 customers along Bonds Creek Road. The proposed system will have an interconnection with the Town of Ellenboro's Highland Road project.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304306			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
40.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Preston County PSD No. 1</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
90			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144150	Treatment	The current water treatment plant owned and operated by the Preston County County PSD#1, which is rated to run at 700 gallons per minute, has an undersized sediment basin which does not allow the PSD to operate efficiently. To obtain proper detention time the plant is currently only able to treat 480 gallons per minute. Operating at only 480 gallons per minute requires the plant to run for upwards of 16 hours per day to meet the demands of the system.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Preston		A new sediment basin will be designed to handle the desired flow rate while still achieving the required detention time. A new sludge removal system will also be designed.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3303912			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
40.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Salem, City of</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$2,000,000</b>
91			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144207	Transmission & Distribution	The City of Salem (City) has been experiencing high percentages of water loss throughout their system. According to the 2023 Annual PSC Report the City of Salem is experiencing 69.95% water loss. Some of the existing water distribution lines are made of galvanized material that has been found to have significant tuberculation on the interior of these lines. Deterioration on the exterior of the galvanized lines has been noted when conducting repairs throughout the system. Areas in the City also lack operable valves and fire hydrants.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Harrison		The proposed water system improvements project for the City of Salem (City) consists of replacing the deteriorated galvanized water lines with all necessary appurtenances, road repair, and existing customer reconnections as necessary to reduce the amount of water loss the City of Salem is experiencing. This project would also involve replacing problematic fire hydrants and valves to ensure the City has proper fire protection and to reduce water loss.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3301720			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
40.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Wardensville, Town of</b>	<b>\$3,622,000</b>	<b>\$5,772,000</b>
92			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144217	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The Town of Wardensville's (Town) Warden Acres storage tank is in poor condition and needs to be replaced to provide adequate water supply to the Town.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Hardy		The project includes replacement of the Warden Acres water storage tank. The project also includes extension of the water system to approximately 34 new customers along Waites Run Road who are currently served by wells.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3301603			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
40.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>White Sulphur Springs, City of (Ridges)</b>	*	\$2,000,000
93			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144117	Transmission & Distribution	Existing pumping system in the area known as The Ridges is quite complex, involving a set of four pump stations operating in a series to provide the residents and businesses potable water. These four pump stations, along with a separate pump station operating at Wilson Ridge Rd, all have differing designs and operating procedures. Each pump station is operating with a single pump, with some stations containing two and three inoperable pumps. The pump stations operate with discontinued equipment, which has rendered the failing pumps irreparable and left the system with no fail safes.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Greenbrier		The selected project proposes the replacement of the pumps, motors, appurtenances with electrical issues, control systems, in the existing booster pump stations along with the replacement of pumps, motors, control equipment, and electrical equipment in the City of White Sulphur Springs Water Treatment Plant while utilizing the existing infrastructure to maintain the current operation and maintenance practices the operators have become familiar with. *Are considering adding SRF funding.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3301314			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
40.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>New Haven PSD (Lucas Rd)</b>	\$3,539,897	\$4,758,130
94			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144048	Transmission & Distribution	-The residents of the Lucas Road area in Fayette County, WV have no access to public water.-The quality of the wells in the area, as well as, the quantity is inadequate for human use.Residents haul water for drinking, cooking, bathing and other domestic uses.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Fayette		-The project is a waterline extension in the Lucas Road areas in Fayette County, WV.-The residents in those areas will be afforded the opportunity to acquire clean, safe, wholesome water for their homes.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
0000000			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
38.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Sun Valley PSD</b>	*	\$1,900,000
95			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144081	Transmission & Distribution	Residents on Indian Run Road (County Route 5/7) are in need of water service, as many of their wells have been contaminated. Residents have been hauling water to their homes, in order to have safe, reliable water.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Harrison		The Sun Valley Public Service District is proposing to extend water service to the customers who are in need of safe, reliable water service.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		*Are considering adding SRF Funding.	
3301726			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
38.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Benwood, City of</b>	\$4,000,000	\$5,000,000
96			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144124	Treatment Land Acquisition	The City of Benwood has been experiencing issues with Iron and Manganese within their groundwater supply source. While this issue has been ongoing a study conducted by the USGS and US EPA was completed to test the amount of PFAS and PFOS within the drinking water source. Benwood's source water was determined to be affected by these chemicals. A project is being proposed to remove the Iron, Manganese, and PFAS and PFOS Chemicals from the drinking water.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Marshall		The proposed project is going to address the Iron and Manganese issue as well as the PFAS Chemicals. A new treatment building will be constructed to house the required treatment options to address the problems that the City of Benwood is currently dealing with. To do so three pressure filters will be installed to address the Iron and Manganese, while a technology to be determined after pilot testing has been completed will be installed to address the PFAS and PFOS chemicals.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302618			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
35.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Enlarged Hepzibah PSD</b>		<b>\$4,200,000</b>	<b>\$5,200,000</b>
97				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144179	DW Source Change Storage	Hepzibah PSD is proposing to extend a water line along Lumberport Road (County Route 18) to provide water to the Town of Lumberport, whose existing water treatment plant is planned to be decommissioned. Lumberport has evaluated their existing water treatment plant and dam reservoir and they have been deemed to be in poor condition. Hepzibah PSD also has an aging tank that has a number of customers near the hydraulic grade line of the tank, thus causing customers to normally have low water pressure and very low water pressure at times.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Harrison		This project proposes a water line extension to interconnect with the Town of Lumberport and provide water to them. The project also proposes a water booster station and new water storage tank to provide water to the Town of Lumberport, as well as provide improved water pressure to their own customers.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3301709				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Gauley River PSD (Route 39)</b>		<b>\$4,850,500</b>	<b>\$5,350,500</b>
98				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144033	Transmission & Distribution	In a preliminary review of the water distribution system, it is evident many of the components of the system require immediate repair or replacement. The waterline along Route 39 is at or nearing its useful service life and regularly experiences leaks, line breaks, and customerservice interruptions.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Fayette		The proposed project includes waterline replacement in the oldest portions of the system and areas which may be in need of replacement due to the relative age, portions of under-classed pipe, and improper installation. This proposed water system improvement project shouldenable the PSD to decrease their non-revenue water by reducing water loss, improve water use accountability, correct deficiencies, and improve efficiency within their system.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3301042				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				



# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Grant Town, Town of</b>	<b>\$1,600,000</b>	<b>\$2,600,000</b>
99			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144034	Transmission & Distribution Storage	Approximately 2.9 miles of the Town's water distribution system is undersized and needsreplaced. Of the two existing tanks the 100,000 gallon tank is not in service and needsreplaced due to it being undersized and not located on the same hydraulic grade line as the newer 200,000 gallon tank, making it difficult to operate under current conditions. The Woods Run booster pump station is undersized/outdated and needs to be replaced in order to correct residual pressures and peak flows and improve pumping efficiency.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Marion		The Town of Grant Town is proposing to replace 1,000 feet of 2", 5,125 feet of 4", 3,100 feet of 6", and 5,300 of 8" water lines, replace the Woods Run booster pump station includingemergency backup power, new telemetering system, and replace an existing 100,000 gallon storage tank with a new 200,000 gallon storage tank.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304908			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
9/30/2025			
<b>Points</b>			
35.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Harrisville, Town of (Mellin Ridge)</b>	<b>\$2,200,000</b>	<b>\$3,200,000</b>
100			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144132	Transmission & Distribution	Residents in the Mellin Ridge area currently rely on private wells for their water supply, which are unreliable as a potable water source. These private wells can become contaminated with bacteria, or run dry due to overuse or environmental factors. Residents whose wells have run dry must have potable water hauled in from a private company. This alternative is costly and unreliable, as inclement weather can halt deliveries and leave residents without water fordays. Residents of Mellin Ridge have petitioned the Town of Harrisville to extend their water distribution system and provide service to the Mellin Ridge community.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Ritchie		This project proposes to extend the water line west from the Washburn Pump Station alongGillespie Run Road and Mellin Ridge Road. The proposed extension will provide potablewater service to approximately 60 new customers. The extension will consist of the installation of approximately 51,500 linear feet (LF) of new water pipe ranging in size from six inches totwo inches in diameter. The existing Washburn pump station will also be upgraded to support the increased water distribution demand due to this project.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304303			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
35.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b> 101	<b>Lincoln PSD (Water System Improvements)</b>	\$9,630,000	\$10,130,000
<b>SRF #:</b> D-144001  <b>County:</b> Lincoln, Kanawha  <b>PERMIT #WV:</b> 3302205  <b>Binding Date:</b> 6/30/2026  <b>Points</b> 35.00	<b>Needs Categories:</b> Transmission & Distribution Storage	<b>Problem</b> <p>The PSD's water system is aging. Large segments have reached the end of their useful lives. Water line leaks and breaks are frequent occurrences. These issues contribute to the PSD's significant proportion of non-revenue water (63.04%).</p> <b>Solution</b> <p>This project proposes to remove and replace approximately 40,000 LF of waterlines ranging between 2" and 8" in diameter. The project will also repaint the PSD's Sumerco and Sodwater storage tanks.</p>	
<b>Rank</b> 102	<b>Mason, Town of</b>	\$2,500,000	\$3,030,000
<b>SRF #:</b> D-144042  <b>County:</b> Mason  <b>PERMIT #WV:</b> 3302708  <b>Binding Date:</b> 6/30/2026  <b>Points</b> 35.00	<b>Needs Categories:</b> Transmission & Distribution	<b>Problem</b> <p>The water distribution system in the Clifton Area has not been included in a significant improvements project. The current project lines are original extension projects. There are approximately 778 existing customers in Town and 153 of those are in the Clifton Area which will continue to be served by the Town's Water Utility.</p> <b>Solution</b> <p>The proposed project for the Town includes the replacement of lines, line extensions, to better serve existing customers, and looping systems to increase water quality. The project would include the replacement of approximately 9,800 linear feet of water line, radio-read water meters, and replacement of an existing CSX Railroad Crossing.</p>	

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>McDowell County PSD (Elkhorn Phase III)</b>		<b>\$856,500</b>	<b>\$17,627,650</b>
103				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144044	Treatment	The raw water source from Elkhorn Phase I does not have as much flow as expected, so a new treatment plant is needed.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
McDowell		The proposed Elkhorn Phase III Project will construct an additional Water Treatment Plant to supplement the treatment plant completed in phase I. The proposed Elkhorn Creek Phase III project will construct the necessary water infrastructure required to provide dependablepotable water and fire protection to the existing residences and businesses as well as provide the additional capacity needed to attract new businesses to the area.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302434				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mercer County PSD (Route 19)</b>		<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$7,477,500</b>
104				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144107	Transmission & Distribution	The potential customers are currently utilizing groundwater wells for their water supply. Public water systems are close to the area that could eliminate the need for groundwater wells.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mercer		The project proposes to construct and install approximately 33,675 linear feet (LF) of 8" PVC waterline, 12,990 LF of 6" waterline, 11,985 LF of 2" PE waterline, 31 water valves, 69 water meters, 20 fire hydrants, and related appurtenances. This will serve approximately 68customers in the project area.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
0000000				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mingo County PSD (Ikes Fork Phase IV)</b>		*	\$5,300,000
105				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144108	Transmission & Distribution	The residents in the area complain of water quality and quantity problems. Complaints include allegations that the well water contains iron, corrodes pipes and fixtures, stains cloths, has a strong sulfur odor and tastes bad. Many residents use home treatment units to improve the quality of water. The residents in the project area also have no public sewage system and use on-site sewage disposal systems such as septic tanks which could contaminate their water.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mingo		The Ikes Fork water extension project is estimated to serve approximately 192 residences/businesses in the Ikes Fork/Muzzle Branch area of western Wyoming County, West Virginia. The project would require an 80 GPM booster pump station and 100,000 gallon water storage tank.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		*Are considering adding SRF Funding.		
3303029				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mingo County PSD (Little Huff Creek Phase II)</b>		*	\$5,500,000
106				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144109	Transmission & Distribution	The residents in the area complain of water quality and quantity problems. Complaints include allegations that the well water contains iron, corrodes pipes and fixtures, stains cloths, has a strong sulfur odor and tastes bad. Many residents use home treatment units to improve the quality of water. The residents in the project area also have no public sewage system and use on-site sewage disposal systems such as septic tanks which could contaminate their water.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mingo		The Little Huff Creek water extension project will serve approximately 213 residences and businesses in the Little Huff Creek area of Wyoming County, West Virginia. The project area would be supplied by a 150 GPM booster pump station and a 400,000 gallon water storage tank, constructed as part of the Hanover Phase I project.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		*Are considering adding SRF Funding.		
3303029				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mingo County PSD (North Spring Phase III)</b>		*	\$4,100,000
107				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144110	Transmission & Distribution	The residents in the area complain of water quality and quantity problems. Complaints include allegations that the well water contains iron, corrodes pipes and fixtures, stains cloths, has a strong sulfur odor and tastes bad. Many residents use home treatment units to improve the quality of water. The residents in the project area also have no public sewage system and use on-site sewage disposal systems such as septic tanks which could contaminate their water.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mingo		The North Spring water extension project is estimated to serve approximately 102 residences/businesses in the North Spring/Little Cub Branch area of western Wyoming County, West Virginia. The project would be supplied by the 150 GPM booster pump station and 400,000 gallon water storage tank constructed as part of the Hanover Phase I Project.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		*Are considering adding SRF Funding.		
3303029				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mingo County PSD (Rockhouse Br Phase V)</b>		*	\$3,000,000
108				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144111	Transmission & Distribution	The residents in the area complain of water quality and quantity problems. Complaints include allegations that the well water contains iron, corrodes pipes and fixtures, stains cloths, has a strong sulfur odor and tastes bad. Many residents use home treatment units to improve the quality of water. The residents in the project area also have no public sewage system and use on-site sewage disposal systems such as septic tanks which could contaminate their water.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mingo		The Rockhouse Branch water extension project is estimated to serve approximately 63 residences/businesses in the Rockhouse Branch/Lincoln area of western Wyoming County, West Virginia. The project would be supplied by the 150 GPM booster pump station and 400,000 gallon water storage tank constructed as part of the Hanover Phase I Project.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		*Are considering adding SRF Funding.		
3303029				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b><u>Norton-Harding-Jimtown PSD</u></b>	<b>\$8,330,000</b>	<b>\$15,330,000</b>
109			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144071	Treatment	<p>The PSD's water treatment facility and main water infrastructure were constructed in the 1970's and the water treatment plant was upgraded in the later 1990's. Over the last 20 to 30 years, the system has started to show signs of aging infrastructure and is in need of rehabilitation and upgrades to accommodate growth in the PSD's service area. The PSD's service area has experienced significant growth due to the expansion and anticipated completion of the US Route 48 Corridor H project, allowing for higher traffic volumes through the area.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>This project proposes a 500 gallon per minute Water Treatment Plant to replicate the treatment process but utilizing more modern and efficient water treatment equipment. The proposed WTP consists of the following: all necessary site work, piping, mechanical and electrical systems, green sand pressure filters, carbon filters, chemical injection equipment, pumps, controls and a new clearwell. The whole system will incorporate a supervisory SCADA monitoring system which will provide the system operator information and control of the elements within the potable water distribution system.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Randolph			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304213			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
35.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b><u>Oceana, Town of (Phase II)</u></b>	<b>\$3,000,000</b>	<b>\$5,552,185</b>
110			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144008	Treatment Transmission & Distribution Storage	<p>The project proposes to (1) replace old water mains and service lines to reduce water loss, (2) upgrade water booster stations (Chestnut Street, Poplar Lane, and Kopperston #1), (3) replace the Lynco Water Tank that did not get replaced on the Phase 1 Project, (4) upgrade existing water treatment facilities including refurbishing two existing water filters, repairs to existing settling basin, existing clearwell tank, raw water facilities, and related work, (5) Replace existing water meters with radio-read water meter for more efficient use of manpower.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>Replace old ACP and steel water mains and old galvanized steel water service lines mainly in City limits along Route 10, Route 85, Poplar Lane and Sawmill Road. -Replace old Lynco Water Tank and repair existing chlorine contact tank at plant. -Upgrade existing 700 gpm water treatment plant including rehab of two existing 350 gpm steel water treatment plant units, chlorine containment upgrade, settling basin repairs, and other related work. -Replace existing touch read meters with radio read meters. -Upgrade telemetry system on tanks and booster stations.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Wyoming			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3305516			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
3/31/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
35.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Parsons, City of</b>	<b>\$3,484,000</b>	<b>\$3,984,000</b>
111			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144052	Transmission & Distribution	<p>-Parsons existing system contains portions of aging waterlines originally installed in 1896, which are susceptible to breaks and leaks. These portions have required numerous spotrepairs making the system less reliable to operate. According to the 2023 WVPSC Annual Report, the unaccounted for lost water is 17.41%. -The backup generators utilized by Parsons are also aging. The operator is unable to readily find parts for repairs, which makes this equipment unreliable and outdated. The backup generators are required to maintain water service during times of power outages.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>This project consists of utilizing conventional open trench methods for approximately 21,500 LF of Waterline Replacement, 6,000 LF of ¾" Service Tubing, Removal and Replacement of 17 EA Fire Hydrants, 3 EA New Fire Hydrant Assemblies, 22 EA Tie-Ins to Existing Waterline, 210 EA New Meter Setting from Existing Line, 80 EA Water Meter Setting Complete from New Line, 26 EA Gate Valves, various road repairs, and other necessary appurtenances. This project also proposes to purchase 2 new backup generators and install a leak detection system.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Tucker			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304707			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
35.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Paw Paw, Town of</b>	<b>\$3,650,000</b>	<b>\$4,750,000</b>
112			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144077	Treatment	<p>No improvements to the water treatment plant (WTP) have been made since construction in 1980 and only half of the distribution system has been replaced. Equipment within the WTP is in poor condition and needs to be replaced/upgraded to provide adequate and reliable water for the Town. The Town also needs to locate a secondary water source in the event the primary source of the Potomac River experiences a water quality issue due to chemical spill, etc.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>The project consists of upgrades to the water treatment plant, rehabilitation of the Town's two water storage tanks, installation of a SCADA system, rehabilitation of the raw water intake and access road, replacement of the remaining original distribution system, and development of an additional water source.</p>	
<b>County:</b>	Transmission & Distribution		
Morgan	Storage		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>	DW Source Change		
3303308			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
12/31/2025			
<b>Points</b>			
35.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Pineville, Town of (Brenton-Baileysville-JPBS)</b>		<b>\$1,912,371</b>	<b>\$9,498,871</b>
<b>113</b>				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144141	Transmission & Distribution	<p>The Town of Pineville, in cooperation with the Region One Planning and Development Council, has been working for many years to provide water services to the Baileysville Elementary School and to the residents of Mariana, Brenton, and Doublecamp Branch Road. The current plan is to provide water to these areas by installing new distribution lines in the areas previously served by the Brenton Public Service District (PSD), Green Camp PSD, and Marianna Community Water, as well as areas not currently served by any public water source.</p>		
<b>County:</b>	Storage			
Wyoming	Planning and Design			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>	Land Acquisition			
3305517	Other	<b>Solution</b>		
<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>This project will serve unserved customers including Baileysville Elementary School, and the replacement of systems that have had reliability and quality issues in the past.</p>		
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Rupert, Town of</b>		<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$2,000,000</b>
<b>114</b>				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144114	Transmission & Distribution	<p>The existing water distribution system in the Town of Rupert was installed decades ago and much of the system is approaching the end of reasonable service and is highly susceptible to leaks and breakages. Based on the most recent annual report unaccounted for water loss is nearly 31%.</p>		
<b>County:</b>				
Greenbrier				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3301311		<b>Solution</b>		
<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>The proposed project includes replacing the aged existing waterline through the installation of 2,700 linear feet (LF) of 8-inch PVC, 7,000 LF of 6-inch PVC, 4,000 LF of 4-inch PVC, 2,000 LF of 2-inch PVC, 4,000 LF of service line, 8 fire hydrants, gate valves, customer meter re-connections, and other related appurtenances.</p>		
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				



# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Summersville County Commission (Forest Hill Ph I)</b>		<b>\$13,000,000</b>	<b>\$16,447,000</b>
115				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144225	Transmission & Distribution	<p>-Construct a transmission and distribution water system for the project area. Finished water will be supplied by an existing water treatment plant, which plant is to be determined.</p> <p>-The unserved area at Forest Hill includes over one thousand potential new customers, 1,006 by map count. The initial proposal for the entire area would include five phases.</p>		
<b>County:</b>	Storage			
Summers	Planning and Design			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
0000000		<b>Solution</b>		
<b>Binding Date:</b>		Design and construct a public water system for the Forest Hill area.		
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Terra Alta, Town of (Water Meter)</b>		<b>\$488,500</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>
116				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144143	Transmission & Distribution	<p>The Town of Terra Alta is experiencing water loss in their distribution system well over the desired limit of 15%. Part of this large volume of water loss can be attributed to the overall age and lack of calibration of the water metering infrastructure. The existing water meters were installed in the early 1990's with a few being installed in the early 2000's. The Town currently has one employee who manually reads these meters and takes about five days to complete the reading of meters. Terra Alta is interested in having a more efficient and accurate way of reading their water meters.</p>		
<b>County:</b>				
Preston				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3303917		<b>Solution</b>		
<b>Binding Date:</b>		The proposed project is to replace all of the metering system within the Town's service area, this will consist of replacing approximately 800 water meters. The Town is interested in using Cellular AMI as the new system to replace their outdated and inefficient water meters. In this project the Town will not only replace the water meters, they will also integrate a new billing software that will help them be more efficient in getting bills prepared and sent to customers.		
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Union, Town of (Waterline)</b>		*	\$5,460,000
117				
	<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
	D-144072	Treatment	<p>The leading issue that faces the Town of Union's public water system is the geographically sparse sources of adequate and reliable raw water. The Town currently operates a spring-fed water treatment plant and a backup groundwater treatment plant. With these sources, the Town is only able to cover the needed supply of water by a small margin. As a result, the Town has conducted extensive studies to locate additional water sources. A large spring has been identified that could meet the town's present and future needs.</p>	
	<b>County:</b>	Transmission & Distribution		
	Monroe	Storage		
	<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>	DW Source Change		
	3303207		<b>Solution</b>	
	<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>The project being proposed is a water system extension, water storage tank installation, and water treatment plant development. This extension will provide water service and fire protection to residents along the way and allow the Town an additional flow of supply water into their main system.</p>	
<b>Points</b>	6/30/2026		*Project is included for earmark eligibility.	
35.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Union, Town of (WTP)</b>		\$1,575,000	\$7,975,000
118				
	<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
	D-144073	Treatment	<p>The Town of Union operates a secondary groundwater treatment plant to supply their system during times of diminished capacity of the primary spring-fed water treatment plant. The treatment facility was the original water supply for the Town and was operated full time until system expansion allowed the addition of the spring-fed facility. However, this secondary facility is of vital importance to the Town and needs to be immediately available due to historically sudden fluctuations in spring source water capacity. Due to the age of this facility, many components are beyond their useful life span and in need of replacement.</p>	
	<b>County:</b>			
	Monroe			
	<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
	3303207		<b>Solution</b>	
	<b>Binding Date:</b>		<p>In addition, the building that contains the treatment equipment has developed multiple issues due to its age. As a result, the project being proposed is a full replacement of this facility. An adjacent piece of property allows construction of this facility and minimal added construction to reconnect the proposed facility to the existing wells and water system. Although this water treatment facility is extremely important to the Town of Union, it is unlikely that the Town will be able to replace this facility once it fails without immense financial burden.</p>	
<b>Points</b>	6/30/2026			
35.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Walton PSD (Camp Shepard)</b>		<b>\$3,200,000</b>	<b>\$3,200,000</b>
119				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144144	Transmission & Distribution	There was no fire service to the Camp Shepard 4-H camp, nor any water service to eighthomes along Wolf Run, which is adjacent to Camp Shepard. Camp Shepard was served by a 2-inch line, and the homes on Wolf Run were not served at all. There was no water service to approximately 20 customers along Quarry Road.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Roane		Camp Shepard-Quarry Run Waterline Extensions Project, for the Walton PSD in RoaneCounty, proposes to extend its potable water service along Quarry Road and Wolf Run and also provide fire service to the Camp Shepard 4-H Campsite.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3304407				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Walton PSD (PFAS)</b>		<b>\$3,500,000</b>	<b>\$5,450,000</b>
120				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144176	Treatment	The existing water treatment plant was constructed in 1980 and various equipment and controls have exceeded their expected useful life. There is also PFAS contamination in the raw water source.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Roane		This project would implement GAC filtration to remove PFAS and refurbish or replace existing filter media and controls, electrical service, pumps, backwash basins, presedimentation basin and the filter building.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3304407				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
35.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	West Hamlin, Town of (Phase I)	\$3,145,000	\$3,145,000		
121				Problem	
SRF #:		Needs Categories:		Treatment plant was upgraded around 1980, and the storage tanks are between 16-54 years old, and in reasonably good condition. Corrosion, however, has become evident on steelstructures in the treatment plant, and paint on storage tanks has oxidized and rust has begun to appear on the tank walls. The plant also has only one pre-sedimentation basin and nopermanent emergency generator. The raw water intake and pump station have experienced mechanical difficulties, and there is currently no alternate source of raw or potable watershould the Guyandotte River ever become contaminated or otherwise.	
D-144147		Transmission & Distribution			
County:		Storage			
Lincoln		Planning and Design			
PERMIT #WV:					
3302203				Solution	
Binding Date:				Phase 1-Water System Improvement project: Refurbish booster pumping station, 3 welded water storage tanks and 1 glass-lined tank. Build additional water storage tank, add second sedimentation basin and on-site emergency generator. Reestablish the inter-connection with Salt Rock Water Public Service District's water main. Design only in Phase 1 for upgrade to the water treatment facility (including the building, raw water pump station, filters,sedimentation basin and clearwell). Water treatment plant upgrades will be done in Phase 2.	
6/30/2026					
Points					
35.00					

Rank	White Sulphur Springs, City of (Waterline Replacement)	*	\$3,000,000		
122				Problem	
SRF #:		Needs Categories:		Replacement of old and deteriorated lines in the City of White Sulphur Springs existing water system to alleviate leaks and water loss.	
D-144119		Transmission & Distribution			
County:		Other			
Greenbrier					
PERMIT #WV:					
3301314				Solution	
Binding Date:				The proposed project consists of replacing existing water lines throughout the area of White Sulphur Springs (City) in Greenbrier County, West Virginia. This will solve the problem by implementing new pipes into the City's water system in order to lessen leaks and water loss which will improve water quality and quantity to the City and its community.	
6/30/2026					
Points				*Are considering adding SRF funding.	
35.00					

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Berkeley Springs Water Works (Town of Bath)</b>		<b>\$2,537,500</b>	<b>\$2,537,500</b>
123				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144166	Treatment	Water Treatment Plant reliability-Components like pumps and filtration system need upgraded or replaced to support the extended operational demands. Electronics and control panels are obsolete. Electrical backup generator is unreliable.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Morgan		Water Treatment Plant Upgrade-In the process to awarding an Engineering Firm for an Indefinite Delivery/Indefinite Quantity contract to address mutiple needs. The first task is to layout the upgrade plan for the the Water Treatment Plant. This upgrade would most likely be a multi-year endeavor, addressing the most accute needs first.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3303301				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
30.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Big Bend PSD</b>		<b>*</b>	<b>\$3,432,000</b>
124				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144088	Treatment Transmission & Distribution	The existing water treatment plant has many of its original components when the plant was constructed in the 1970s. Critical plant components are past their useful life and needing replaced. The Hilldale booster station currently does not have an emergency generator on standby during power outage events. A problematic stream crossing is needing addressed near the Talcott Bridge in Talcott, WV. The Pence Springs Water Tank altitude valve isneeding replaced.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Summers		The project proposes to make improvements to Big Bend Public Service District Water Treatment Plant, Hilldale Booster Station, Pence Springs Water Tank, Greenbrier River crossing replacement, and related appurtenances.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3304507				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
30.00		*Are considering adding SRF Funding.		

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Buffalo Creek PSD (Lorado)</b>	<b>\$3,799,500</b>	<b>\$3,799,500</b>
125			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144092	Treatment	Based on the latest Sanitary Survey conducted by the WV Department of Health and Human Resources dated November 2, 2017, three minor deficiencies were noted. These were lack of day tanks on the Delpac and Hypo-chloride chemical basins, the effective size of the sand and anthracite in the filters were below required, and well #2 needs a watertight seal. The filter media will be replaced as part of the project outlined in this report.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Logan		Project proposes replacing filter media, underdrains, a filter air scour blower and effluent turbidity meter, and converting an existing backwash holding tank into an additional clearwell. Additionally, we are installing new monitoring systems like an effluent chlorine analyzer, backwash valve, and flow meter. Construction will involve creating new basins, a sludge dewatering building with geotextile dewatering bag, replacing and relocating high-service pumps while replacing/expanding SCADA system and addressing electrical needs. Project will also ensure structural integrity by replacing plant building roof.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302347			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
30.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Buffalo Creek PSD (South Man)</b>	<b>\$5,735,000</b>	<b>\$5,735,000</b>
126			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144093	Transmission & Distribution	The BCPSD Water Upgrade Project area currently serves the residents of South Man, Hensley Heights, Kistler, Man, and Amherstdale. The project proposes to relocate and eliminate failing sections of waterline that increase the volume of water loss throughout the system, as well as upgrade customer meters, fire hydrants, and other related appurtenances.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Logan		Project consists of the construction of approximately 16,140 feet of 10-inch and smaller diameter water line, valves, fire hydrants, customer services and other related appurtenances.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302347			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
30.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Burnsville Public Utility Board (Route 4)</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$7,470,000</b>
127			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144230	Transmission & Distribution Storage	Currently, there are at a minimum 53 households along Gauley Turnpike that wish to have publicly available potable water. The residents in this area currently have personal wells or must haul water from an external source. The proposed project also aims to provide fire protection for the area as well.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Braxton		The proposed project includes the installation of approximately 34,600 LF of 8-inch PVC, 4,850 LF of 6-inch PVC, 11,600 LF of 2-inch PVC, 2,450 LF of service line, a booster pump station, and an estimated 38,000-gallon water storage tank. The project will locate the distribution system in the West Virginia Department of Highways Right of Way as much as possible.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3300408			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
30.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Cool Ridge-Flat Top PSD (Ellison Ridge Rd)</b>	<b>\$1,364,000</b>	<b>\$5,160,000</b>
128			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144030	Transmission & Distribution	The proposed project will consist of work in three areas of the District's existing system and an extension along Ellison Ridge Road, for simplicity and reference in this report the three areas will be designated as: Ellison Ridge Road, Joe Cooper Farm Road and the Route 19 Upgrade.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Raleigh		In the Ellison Ridge Road area, the District proposes to extend water service on Ellison Ridge Road to provide water utility service to fifteen new residential customers and one commercial customer. In the Joe Cooper Farm Road area, the District proposes to extend the existing line approximately 6,900 feet and will provide the District with the potential to add five new residential customers and one commercial customer, Makor K9 of West Virginia, a dogtraining and kennel facility. In the Route 19 area, the project proposes to replace 13,000 ft. of undersized pipe with 8" C-900 DR-14 PVC pipe.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304139			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
30.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Danese PSD</b>	<b>\$7,675,000</b>	<b>\$8,200,000</b>
129			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144013	Transmission & Distribution	The existing water distribution system in the Danese – Maplewood area was installed decades ago and much of the system was constructed with asbestos cement pipe and is highly susceptible to leaks and breakages. Based on a recent metering check in April 2022, Danese PSD estimated their water loss to be approximately 62% for their whole system and approximately 76% within the proposed project area.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Fayette		The proposed project includes replacing the aged existing waterline through the installation of 11,850 linear feet (LF) of 10-inch PVC, 21,400 LF of 8-inch PVC, 27,750 LF of 6-inch PVC, 735 LF of 4-inch PVC, 20,000 LF of 2-inch PVC, 10,440 LF of service line, 30 fire hydrants, gate valves, a pressure reducing station, customer meters and other related appurtenances.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3301008			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
9/30/2025			
<b>Points</b>			
30.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Franklin, Town of (Entry Mountain Rd)</b>	<b>\$1,200,000</b>	<b>\$1,700,000</b>
130			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144195	Transmission & Distribution	The Town has experienced issues with its distribution that serves the Entry Mountain area of Franklin. The distribution system serving the Entry Mountain area of Franklin has the old Class 160 PVC pipe that failed several times during a recent (April 2023) forest fire that threatened the area. Those failures compromised fire service, which imperiled both homes and firefighters.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Pendleton		This project includes upgrading the water distribution system for Entry Mountain Road.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
WVG640022			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
30.00			



# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Grantsville, Town of	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000
131			
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem
	D-144035	Transmission & Distribution	The Town of Grantsville water distribution system is in need of repair. The Town has experienced significant water loss in its water distribution system (approximately 40% within the Town). This is mostly due to the galvanized waterlines within the system that are nearing the end of their useful lives. There are also transite lines that should be replaced due to age, difficulty of repair, and environmental concerns. Several fire hydrants have also reached the end of their useful lives or are attached to mains less than 6 inches in diameter. The 200,000 gallon welded steel storage tank is beginning to corrode due to age.
	County:		Solution
	Calhoun		Grantsville Water System Improvements Project: Project replaces 4500 LF of 2", 7000 LF of 6", 900 LF of 8", and 5500 ILF of 3/4" service line in Town of Grantsville's aged water system, clean and paint 200,000 gallon storage tank and replace 300 meters.
	PERMIT #WV:		
	3300701		
	Binding Date:		
	7/30/2026		
Points			
30.00			

Rank	Harpers Ferry, Corporation of-Harpers Ferry Water Works	*	\$3,670,125
132			
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem
	D-144234	Transmission & Distribution	The utility experiences unaccounted water loss from leaking, aged pipes such that for every gallon of water produced, almost half never reaches a household/customer. 7650 liner feet of line replacement would reduce water loss and improve water quality. The area of Prospect Avenue experiences low water pressure from tank elevation constraints and requires eliminating these customers to have booster pumps in their homes to distribute water to their taps.
	County:		Solution
	Jefferson		Replacement of the aged water lines will contribute to reduction in unaccounted water loss. Current unaccounted water loss exceeds the Public Service Commission allowance of 15%. The booster pump station would improve water pressure to an area experiencing low water pressure from tank elevation constraints, eliminating requirements for these customers to have booster pumps in their homes to distribute water to their taps.
	PERMIT #WV:		*Project is included for earmark eligibility.
	3301912		
	Binding Date:		
	6/30/2026		
Points			
30.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Kermit, Town of</b>	<b>\$289,000</b>	<b>\$578,000</b>
133			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144202	Storage	The existing Kermit water storage tank is approximately 100,000 gallons and serves as the primary water storage tank for the system. This tank is critical to the Kermit's operations and allows for water service to be provided to approximately 3,200 individuals which includes the Tulsa High school, Crum K-8 School and all commercial customers located in the service area. The existing Kermit tank has developed multiple leaks which threaten to result in a catastrophic failure which would render the existing customers without water service.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Mingo		This project proposes replacing Kermit's existing water storage tank.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3303003			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
30.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Lavalette PSD (Big Lynn)</b>	<b>\$9,690,641</b>	<b>\$9,690,641</b>
134			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144099	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The area proposed to be covered by the project does not currently have access to reliable water services.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Wayne		The proposed Big Lynn Creek Waterline Extension Project will extend water services to 43 potential new customers, and add a water storage tank and booster pump station to the system.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3305006			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
30.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Lincoln County PSD</b>		<b>\$500,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>
135				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144018	Treatment	The existing raw water intake is aging and is in need of replacement. The existing screen and gravity piping was recently replaced with a new hydroburst air scour system. There was not sufficient funding to install the air piping and air compressor. The existing pumps have been rebuilt several times are in need of replacement, as are the gate valves. The gate valves are old and do not fully seat. All of these components are being replaced.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Lincoln		This project consists of new raw water pumps, discharge piping, valves, air scour piping, air compressor, and all other necessary appurtenances for a fully functional raw water intake system.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302205				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
3/31/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
30.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Lincoln PSD (Phase IV)</b>		<b>\$595,000</b>	<b>\$1,095,000</b>
136				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144189	Treatment	The 2021 flood revealed that the Lincoln Water Treatment Plant is systemically vulnerable to flooding. Infiltration of sand and debris into the raw water pump station after the 2021 flood has accelerated the wear on Lincoln's raw water pumps, which were already approaching the end of their useful lives.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Lincoln/Kanawha		This project proposes to replace the pumps, controls, discharge piping, valves, an air scour cleaning system, a new diversion wall, and a 300 kW generator.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302205				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
30.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Lincoln PSD (Phase VII)</b>		<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>
137				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144188	Transmission & Distribution	Lincoln's existing system was originally installed in the 1960's. With the existing water meters reaching the end of their useful life, Lincoln needs to replace them. If the replacements do not occur, this aging/deterioration will continue and the probability of significant failure of an individual meter will continue to increase, as will the maintenance costs in association with the operation of the distribution system.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Lincoln/Kanawha		This project proposes replacing approximately 2,600 water meters in Lincoln's service area.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302207				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
30.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Logan County PSD (Justice Addition)</b>		<b>\$5,095,000</b>	<b>\$5,095,000</b>
138				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144104	Transmission & Distribution	Residents of the project area currently make use of individually owned water wells as a source of potable drinking water. This is a public health and safety concern as water wells are more easily contaminated by nearby septic tanks, overland flow, improper storage of fuels and residential oil sources, and improper maintenance and construction of the well itself. The installation of public water sources would provided a higher quality and more dependable source of potable water.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Logan		Extend water to 1090 customers in multiple communities along Island Creek in Logan County. Lines, fire hydrants, valves, services, etc.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302329				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
30.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Logan County PSD (Stollings &amp; McConnell)</b>		<b>\$3,264,000</b>	<b>\$3,264,000</b>
139				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144103	Transmission & Distribution	Extend water to 135 customers in the communities of Stollings and McConnell in Logan County.		
<b>County:</b>				
Logan				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
3302341		Project proposes construction of roughly 7,600 LF of water mains, 5,200 LF of service lines, valves, hydrants, and other related appurtenances.		
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
30.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Marshall County PSD No. 4 (Water System Improv.)</b>		<b>\$3,500,000</b>	<b>\$5,500,000</b>
140				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144040	Transmission & Distribution Storage	MCPSD #4's Distribution System is comprised of aging water lines which have surpassed their useful life. These portions of the system experience frequent line breaks and leaks. Along Cameron Ridge, there is a portion of waterline that is undersized which is causing issues with customer service pressure during periods of line flushing. MCPSD #4 is also dealing with aging Pressure Reducing Valves which have surpassed their useful life. The existing Bowman Ridge Tank is also experiencing leaks in the base ring.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Marshall		This project consists of replacing approximately 39,000 LF of Water Mains, Replacing 9 EA Pressure Reducing Valves, Installing 1 EA new Rock Lick Booster Pump, Repairing the existing Bowman Ridge Tank, and Constructing a new Bowman Ridge Tank #2.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302609				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
30.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Milton Municipal Utilities Commission</b>	<b>\$425,000</b>	<b>\$850,000</b>
141			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144219	DW Source Change	In August 2023, the West Virginia American Water Company (WVAWC) terminated their existing service agreement with the Milton Municipal Utilities Commission (Milton) effective January 13, 2024. As a result, if Milton experiences an emergency where they can't meet the demand of their customers, they have to purchase water from WVAWC at their wholesale rate. The increase in expenses because of the newly implemented WVAWC wholesale rates has resulted in Milton looking at options for an emergency backup supply.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Cabell		This project proposes an emergency connection to Milton's water system from Mason County PSD.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3300609			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
30.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mingo County PSD (Hanover)</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>\$7,875,000</b>
142			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144085	Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design Other	The Hanover project area currently has approximately 213 potential residential and commercial customers, the USACOE facility and Huff Consolidated School. The residents in the area complain of water quality and quantity problems. Complaints include allegations that the well water contains iron, corrodes pipes and fixtures, stains cloths, has a strong sulfur odor and tastes bad. Many residents use home treatment units to improve the quality of water. The residents in the project area also have no public sewage system and use on-site sewage disposal systems such as septic tanks which could contaminate their water.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Mingo		The project consists of the construction of approximately 45,850 linear feet of 10-inch and smaller diameter water main, two water storage tanks, one 250 gallon per minute booster station, fire hydrants, valves, individual customer services and other related appurtenances. Water for the project will be purchased from the Town of Gilbert (Town)/Logan County Public Service District until the Town's planned water treatment facility upgrade is completed. The planned plant upgrade will provide service to future phases of the proposed waterline extension project. *Are considering adding SRF Funding.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3303029			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
30.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>New Martinsville Water &amp; Sanitary Sewer Board (Beechwood)</b>		<b>\$2,650,000</b>	<b>\$2,650,000</b>
143				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144174	Transmission & Distribution	The existing booster station was planned to serve only a few homes in the Beechwood vicinity however development continued to 20+ homes. Due to this high demand the booster pumps cycle on and off rapidly leading to premature failure and the need to keep a spare available. Additionally, the New Martinsville Water & Sewer Board is proactively providing fire protection for the existing subdivision to address proposed Public Service Commission Fire Hydrant Rules.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Wetzel		Install a new booster station to feed a proposed standpipe located on the north side of the booster station.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3305203				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
30.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Sugar Creek PSD (Water Ext. Phase I)</b>		<b>\$2,000,000</b>	<b>\$4,050,000</b>
144				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144186	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The PSD has received requests to extend water to unserved customers on Frametown Herold Road. This request serves as phase 1 of the project.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Braxton		Extend water to the unserved customers on Frametown Herold Road by starting at the existing Sugar Creek PSD Frametown Tank and constructing a new 100 gpm booster and new 150,000 gallon water storage tank to replace an existing booster and tank that are too small to serve the new customers, replace existing 4" and 2" watermains with new 6" lines to improve existing customers service and extend the water to the unserved customers.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3300404				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
30.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>West Hamlin, Town of (Phase II)</b>		<b>\$4,400,000</b>	<b>\$8,400,000</b>
145				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144175	Treatment	Treatment plant was last upgraded around 1980, and the storage tanks are between 16 and 54 years old, and are in reasonably good condition. Corrosion, however, has become evident on the steel structures in the treatment plant, and the paint on the storage tanks has oxidized and rust has begun to appear on the tank walls. Plant also has only one PE-sedimentation basin and no permanent emergency generator. The raw water intake and pump station have experienced mechanical difficulties, and currently no alternate source of raw or potable water should the Guyandotte River ever become contaminated or otherwise.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Lincoln		Phase 2 of this project includes construction of upgrades to the water treatment plant. This will include replacing the existing filters as well as the pre-settling basin, pump replacements, various electrical upgrades, and miscellaneous site work and building repairs.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302203				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
30.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>White Sulphur Springs, City of (Big Draft)</b>		<b>*</b>	<b>\$3,597,870</b>
146				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144232	Transmission & Distribution	The Big Draft project area currently has approximately 76 potential residential customers. The customers in the area currently do not have access to public water. Due to lack of public drinking water, the customers have well or cistern water which is not sanitary if not treated using an in-house treatment unit and can also be harmful if ingested without proper in-home treatment. This project will extend clean, drinkable water to the residents in this area.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Greenbrier		The proposed project extends water to approximately 76 customers in the Big Draft area of the City of White Sulphur Springs. The project proposed is an extension of the existing waterline and will consist of approximately 27,700 linear feet of waterline as well as fire hydrants, valves, and any other necessary appurtenances.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3301314				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
30.00	*Are considering adding SRF Funding.			



# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	White Sulphur Springs, City of (Lewisburg Connection)		*	\$1,108,197
147				
SRF #:		Needs Categories:	<b>Problem</b> The City of White Sulphur Springs and the City of Lewisburg operate water treatment plants within their respective city limits, however neither water plant has backup in place if their plants go down. Lewisburg Water Plant has been without power on 5 events since 2012 totaling 219 hours. White Sulphur Springs Water Plant has also been affected by loss of power on numerous occasions. A generator may help in certain circumstances, but many times storms or other disastrous events can cause source water to be contaminated or unusable. A more dependable backup is necessary to assure water plants remain in service.	
D-144115		Transmission & Distribution DW Source Change		
County:				
Greenbrier				
PERMIT #WV:				
3301314			<b>Solution</b> White Sulphur Springs and Lewisburg systems are approximately 7,000 LF apart on US Rte 60, making interconnection a viable option in establishing a backup water source for each utility. This connection would not serve as a permanent solution and each system could not fully serve the other system. Linking the White Sulphur system to the Lewisburg system will serve to greatly boost the efforts to mitigate such a deficiency should a disaster be realized. Safe and clean potable water is essential to recovery efforts after a disaster occurs, keeping medical and emergency facilities in operation. *Are considering adding SRF Funding.	
Binding Date:				
6/30/2026				
Points				
30.00				

Rank	White Sulphur Springs, City of (Maple Dale)		*	\$1,195,000
148				
SRF #:		Needs Categories:	<b>Problem</b> The fire hydrants along Rt. 92 in White Sulphur Springs was discovered to have an inadequate water supply to allow proper tire flow. The customers in the area also have an inadequate system flow, so their water will be renovated to be attached to the main distribution system from the City's water treatment plant.	
D-144116		Transmission & Distribution Storage		
County:				
Greenbrier				
PERMIT #WV:				
3301314			<b>Solution</b> Due to the lacking water supply in the area, a new 40,000 gallon water storage tank is proposed. This will allow proper fire flow for the project area which will make the area safer and improve quality of the City's distribution system due to increase amounts of water. This project also proposes a new 100 gallon per minute booster pump replacement as well as other appurtenances necessary for the project. Additionally, the existing customers in the area will be reconnected to the City's distribution system and supplied from the City's water treatment plant. *Are considering adding SRF funding.	
Binding Date:				
6/30/2026				
Points				
30.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>White Sulphur Springs, City of (Villa Park)</b>	<b>\$1,233,750</b>	<b>\$4,935,000</b>
149			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144118	Transmission & Distribution	The Villa Park project area is currently served with asbestos cement pipe and known lead service lines.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Greenbrier		The proposed project consists of replacing existing water lines throughout the Villa Park area of White Sulphur Springs in Greenbrier County, West Virginia. Approximately 14,400 LF of 6" waterline is to be installed as well as 2,450 LF of 2" waterline, 214 service re-connections, 13 fire hydrants, valves, and other necessary appurtenances.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3301314			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
30.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>White Sulphur Springs, City of (WTP Improvements)</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>\$1,171,500</b>
150			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144120	Transmission & Distribution Other	The existing water treatment plant is not running as adequately as it could. The project will allow it to have increased capacity as well as decreased run time.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Greenbrier		This project consists of the replacement of the caustic feed pumps and motors, a level gauge, waste valves, and filter media as well as installing a new air scout system in the filters, a new groundwater well, a new generator, and incorporating chlorine residual and the new well into the existing SCADA system and any necessary appurtenances.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3301314			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
30.00		*Are considering adding SRF funding.	

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Beverly, Town of</b>	<b>\$1,955,000</b>	<b>\$2,955,000</b>
151			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144087	Treatment Transmission & Distribution	The water treatment plant is needing upgrades throughout its plant so that it can continue to produce water that meets its current permit parameters.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Randolph		The project proposes to make improvements to its water treatment plant (WTP) and waterdistribution system. The following plant components will receive improvements due to theproject: Intake Structure and related items, Raw Water Pump Station, Static Mixer, Chemical Feed system, Plant piping, High Service Pumps, Electrical system, WTP tanks, Filter Media, Decant Basin, Site improvements, and related items. The distribution system will receivewaterline upgrades.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304202			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
25.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Franklin, Town of (Phase II)</b>	<b>\$3,443,000</b>	<b>\$4,625,000</b>
152			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144197	Transmission & Distribution	The Town of Franklin has experienced issues with its water treatment and distribution systems. There are several areas where line replacement and installations need to be made.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Pendleton		Dogwood Lane, Mill Road, Walnut Street, McClure Street, and Route 220 would all receive either replacements or installations that would address issues of aging and deteriorating pipe, valves, and hydrants.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
WVG640022			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
25.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Hodgesville PSD</b>		<b>\$1,813,000</b>	<b>\$7,230,000</b>
153				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144010	Transmission & Distribution	<p>-The system currently consists of portions of water lines which have surpassed their usefullife. These portions consist of water mains and service lines which are known to leak due to cracks in the pipe. The PSD also lacks the ability to accurately read water meters throughout the system. These issues are contributing to the PSD's 26.01% unaccounted for lost water.-Additionally, customers throughout the Lorentz area are experiencing low water pressure and reduced water. The Shumaker Tank does not have perimeter fencing, and the Hall Road Booster Pump Station does not have an emergency generator.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>This project is proposing to replace all existing water meters with radio read meters, replace approximately 2,500 LF of service lines in known problem areas, replace approximately 49,000 LF of Water Mains, install 2 EA new water storage tanks to address pressure issues, improve the existing Shumaker Tank Access Road and install perimeter fencing, and install 1 EA New Emergency Generator at the Hall Road Booster Pump.</p>		
<b>County:</b>				
Upshur				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3304908				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
12/31/2025				
<b>Points</b>				
25.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Jane Lew PSD</b>		<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>
154				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144204	Transmission & Distribution	<p>Sections of the system are undersized due to growth of the system over the years and some of the pipe is in poor condition and in need of replacement. The water tanks also need to be inspected to determine any repairs needed to ensure reliability.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>This project will evaluate and prioritize sections of the water system to be replaced or improved. This includes evaluating the tanks to determine any repairs needed as well as removal and replacement of water lines that are in poor condition or undersized.</p>		
<b>County:</b>	Storage			
Lewis	Planning and Design			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302103				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
25.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Logan County PSD (Greenville WTP)</b>		<b>\$9,707,000</b>	<b>\$9,707,000</b>
155				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144101	Treatment Storage	Project proposes to upgrade plant treatment capacity and install a 1,000,000 gallon waterstorage tank to reduce stress on the plant components, and the project will refurbish several worn treatment components to prevent component failure.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Logan		Plant upgrade to increase capacity from 700 gpm to 1400 gpm and replace several worn components (Raw water, high service, and transfer pumps, chemical feed systems, pre-sedimentation vault, existing filter unit, sludge handling facilities, electrical work, piping, telemetry, etc.). Also includes a 1,000,000 gallon storage tank.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3303205				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
25.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Logan County PSD (Island Creek)</b>		<b>\$16,609,000</b>	<b>\$16,609,000</b>
156				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144102	Transmission & Distribution	Residents of the project area currently make use of individually owned water wells as asource of potable drinking water. This is a public health and safety concern as water wells are more easily contaminated by nearby septic tanks, overland flow, improper storage of fuelsand residential oil sources, and improper maintenance and construction of the well itself. The installation of public water sources would provided a higher quality and more dependablesource of potable water.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Logan		Extend water to 1090 customers in multiple communities along Island Creek in Logan County. Approximately 85,000 LF of water main lines, fire hydrants, valves, services, etc.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302332				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
25.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mason County PSD (North Phase I)</b>		<b>\$2,855,000</b>	<b>\$3,355,000</b>
157				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144137	Transmission & Distribution	The District currently purchases from the Town of Mason to serve the Foglesong system, Gibbstown and Broad Run Road are bottlenecks within the system where newer 8" waterline is constricted by 4" segments, and the West Columbia Booster Station is in need of an upgrade and rehabilitation to meet the new demand within the system.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mason		This project proposes to connect the Foglesong System to the Lakin System with a 3" PVC water line and PRV. Additionally, this project proposes to replace the 4" segments of water line that are bottlenecking the system with 8" water line to match the newer line and toupgrade the West Columbia Booster Station from 260 GPM to 400 GPM.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302713				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
25.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mercer County PSD (Pocahontas)</b>		<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$9,189,000</b>
158				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
22DWTRFA135	Transmission & Distribution	The need for this project was identified in the 2021 leak detection report, with water loss as high as 88% in some areas. Underserved customers have no fire protection.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mercer		The project will consist of replacing the existing distribution system's waterlines in the same location as the existing waterlines are currently located. Also, replacing the existing watermeters with the same water meters the TCPSA currently uses in Virginia for consistency and to make the operation and maintenance easier on them by having the same water metersthroughout their entire system.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
1185625				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
3/31/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
25.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Nutter Fort, Town of</b>	<b>\$4,880,000</b>	<b>\$5,380,000</b>
159			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144140	Transmission & Distribution Storage	<p>The Town of Nutter Fort has evaluated their water distribution system. The Town has determined the need to upgrade their existing water system and install a water storage tank. Two areas within the Town's water system on the northern side of W.V. State Route 20 were evaluated. The areas were found to be in inadequate condition and needing replaced. The areas include sections along Maryland Ave, West Virginia Ave, Kentucky Ave, Washington Street, Jacobs Street, and Michigan Ave. In addition, the existing 8" main water line that runs parallel with W.V. State Route 20 needs replaced with a larger diameter line.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>-The Town is proposing to upgrade the current water distribution system by replacing existing water lines. The Town is also proposing to construct a 270,000-gallon water storage tank.- The proposed project will replace the existing 8" main water line that runs parallel with W.V. State Route 20 with new 12" water line. The proposed project will also provide additional tie in locations along the new 12" water line, with valves and hydrants at each of the locations. The valves will provide the town with more shut off options during repairs.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Harrison			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3301717		<b>Solution</b>	
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
25.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Pineville, Town of (In-Town Final)</b>	<b>\$1,550,000</b>	<b>\$8,487,000</b>
160			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
20DWTRFB010	Transmission & Distribution Treatment	<p>The Town of Pineville and the Region One Planning and Development Council have been working to provide its citizens with a more reliable domestic water supply, fire service, control water loss, and provide water services to unserved and under served residents of Brenton-Baileysville area. The current plan is to provide water to these areas by installing new distribution lines. In order to do this, upgrades will need to be made to the existing water treatment plant, as well as upgrading the storage tanks, lines, booster stations, and telemetry in the Town of Pineville.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>Replacement of all pneumatic valves with electric valves, repair of cracks in clarifier tanks, replacement of filter media, installation of a new SCADA system, generators to power the water system should electrical service be interrupted, renovations to the Water Treatment Plant Building, demolition of the old plant building, new electrical service at raw water, new jib crane for pump removal, new tank telemetry, new radio read meters, new booster station, and upgrade existing 6" line from downtown Pineville to new 10" line.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Wyoming			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3305517		<b>Solution</b>	
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
25.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Raleigh County PSD</b>	*	\$12,150,000
161			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144113	Treatment Transmission & Distribution	Raleigh County PSD needs to have more options to meet the growing needs of its customers. Future phases of the project propose to replace certain problem sections of the water distribution system. These projects will also provide the PSD with two additional routes to be able to bypass certain sections to keep water flowing during future line breaks.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Raleigh		-The Raleigh County PSD Upgrade – Capacity Development project will require the construction of a 2,100 gallon per minute (gpm) water treatment plant supplied by 4 wells drilled into the old workings of the Maple Meadows mine.-The project would also require a 16-inch diameter water main that would connect to the existing 12-inch diameter distribution system near the two 500,000 gallon water storage tanks located at Brunty Hollow. *Are considering adding SRF funding.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304123			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
25.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Ravenclyff-Mcgraws-Saulsville PSD (Glen Rogers)</b>	\$1,760,000	\$5,291,000
162			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144078	Transmission & Distribution	The proposed project will include improvements of the Glen Rogers water distribution system for the 85 serviced customers. The existing waterline system in Glen Rogers has reached its expected useful life, where it is now exhibiting signs of aging and deterioration. The customers of Glen Rogers are in much need of an improved consistent and dependable service to be provided.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Wyoming		Given the state of the existing system, the proposed plan for improvements will include the installation of approximately five miles of main water line along Glen Rogers Road, as well as, the replacement of fire hydrants, gate valves, and service connections.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3305518			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
25.00			



# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Sugar Creek PSD (WTP)</b>	<b>\$3,000,000</b>	<b>\$4,000,000</b>
163			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144185	Treatment	Currently, the existing 150 gpm water treatment plant (WTP) is in need of upgrades and replacements and is reaching the end of its useful life. It has been determined that construction of a new 300 gpm WTP is needed to meet future demand and lower the daily water pumping time from 10 to 12 hours down to under 8 hours per day. The existing WTP location does not allow for an expansion on the site. Construction of a new WTP while allowing the existing WTP to operate is the chosen option.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Braxton		Construct a new 300 gpm water treatment plant (WTP) to meet future demand and lower the daily water pumping time from 10 to 12 hours down to under 8 hours per day. The existing WTP location does not allow for an expansion on the site. Construction of a new WTP while allowing the existing WTP to operate is the chosen option.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3300404			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
25.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Summit Park PSD</b>	<b>\$7,230,000</b>	<b>\$7,230,000</b>
164			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144083	Transmission & Distribution	Many sections of the distribution system, including a secondary water connection to Clarksburg, are in failing condition, resulting in leaks that contribute to 18.9% water loss in the system. With the secondary connection to the PSD's water source in poor condition, the system is left vulnerable in the event there is a problem with the primary water connection to Clarksburg. The system also includes 32 fire hydrants that are in desperate need of replacement in order to provide adequate fire protection to the community.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Harrison		This project proposes to upgrade the PSD's existing water distribution system through the replacement of approximately 33,500 linear feet of main water line, the replacement of approximately 32 fire hydrants, and the rehabilitation of the existing secondary connection to the Clarksburg Water Board system. These upgrades are imperative to provide adequate fire protection to the community and improve the reliability of water service to PSD customers.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3301725			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
25.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Walton PSD (Storage Tanks)</b>		<b>\$1,300,000</b>	<b>\$2,300,000</b>
165				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144177	Storage	The water storage tanks were constructed during the following: Walton (1980), Ambler Ridge (1991), Gandeeville (1992), Long Ridge (1994), and Gabe (1999).		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Roane		This project would include the construction of a new tank at Camp Shepard and refurbishing 3 existing tanks (Walton, Long Ridge, and Gabe).		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3304407				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
25.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Williamson, City of (Water System Upgrades)</b>		<b>*</b>	<b>\$12,653,000</b>
166				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144122	Treatment Transmission & Distribution Storage	Williamson's water treatment and distribution system currently satisfies water quality standards and customer demand. Although, water storage tanks, and distribution system is aging, needing repairs or replacement. Current high service pumps in the treatment plant are wearing and due to be replaced along with sand and anthracite filter media. Additionally, distribution system contains numerous booster pump stations which contribute to higher operating costs and undersized and aging water storage tanks. Current system cannot easily support economic growth or prolonged emergency supply.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mingo		The proposed project will upgrade the water treatment, storage, and distribution system. Water for the project will be produced by the City of Williamson, which uses the Tug Fork River as the source for raw water.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3303009				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
25.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Williamson, City of (Waterline Replacement Phase II)</b>		*	\$26,000,000
167				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144123	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The City of Williamson Water Treatment and Distribution System currently satisfies water quality standards and demand by its customers. Although the system currently meets the standards and demand, the water storage tanks and distribution system is aging and in need of repair or replacement.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mingo		The proposed project will upgrade the City of Williamson's existing water system in order to provide more adequate and dependable water quality and quantity. This project proposes to replace the existing water system and will consist of approximately 55,583 LF of 6" waterline, 49,668 LF of 8" waterline, and 15,190 LF of 10" waterline throughout the project area.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>		*Are considering adding SRF funding.		
3303009				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
25.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Athens, Town of</b>		\$2,486,950	\$2,486,950
168				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144026	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The Town's existing distribution system is in adequate condition overall. This system is made up of cast iron, PVC, and galvanized piping. Predominantly, it contains SDR 21 PVC pipe which was rated for lower operating pressures and tends to be problematic over time. The Town's two welded steel water tanks are approaching 50 years old with only one known instance of repainting them. The existing tank has rust showing up around the edges due to its age. Each of the three tanks are also lacking some of the modern safety and security measures that are the industrial standard today.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mercer		The project will consist of: replacing approximately 6,500 LF of existing 6" PVC SDR 21 pipe with 10" PVC C900 to increase the hydraulic capacity between the treatment plant and the storage tanks, install a new PRV to allow the higher pressure system to feed the lower pressure system by gravity, install a new 500,000 water storage tank to provide a large volume of storage for fire flow and more consistent pressure in the system, and perform an inventory of the Town's existing lead service line and initiate a scheduled program for replacement of each.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
0000000				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
20.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Athens, Town of</b>	<b>\$5,661,000</b>	<b>\$5,661,000</b>
169			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144165	Treatment	Some of the treatment plant equipment is approaching the end of its useful life. Rehabilitation or upgrades are necessary to maintain compliance with current and future regulations.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Mercer		Upgrade at the raw water intake to include new controls and also move pumps/controls out of recently flooded location. Upgrade and/or replacement of existing equipment in the plant.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302801			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
20.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Bingamon PSD</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>
170			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144180	Transmission & Distribution	The PSD has a section of waterline approximately 1.5 miles long where the WVDOH is performing soil stabilization. When this happens, the PSD will be unable to access this section of waterline due to it being encased in concrete from the soil stabilization efforts from the WVDOH. If this section of line were to break, or go down for any amount of time, it would be a detriment to the system because this section of line is located along the main feed route from the purchase point with the City of Shinnston.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Harrison		The waterline would be relocated to the other side of the road where the WVDOH is performing soil stabilization as to avoid any potential damage to the line while the WVDOH performs this work as well as ensure the PSD is able to access and maintain the waterline in the future.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302525			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
20.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Burnsville Public Utility Board (Rehab)</b>	<b>\$6,000,000</b>	<b>\$6,000,000</b>
171			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144095	Transmission & Distribution	There is waterline running under Burnsville lake which has been an issue for some time now. The line has previously floated to the surface, and experienced leaks several times before. The placement of the line makes repair impractical, greatly increasing the time for waterservices to be restored downstream of the Burnsville Lake.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Braxton		The proposed project will remove the main line from the lake, and BPUB will purchase treated water from the nearby Flatwoods Canoe Run Public Service District to serve the existing customers downstream of the lake.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3300408			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
20.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Hughes River Water Board</b>	<b>\$3,000,000</b>	<b>\$4,000,000</b>
172			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144133	Treatment	The water treatment plant (WTP) is beginning to show signs of aging infrastructure. The WTP does not have an emergency generator and is left unable to operate during power outages. The WTP contains various components that are in need of replacement and rehabilitation in order for the Board to continue operating efficiently. This project proposes to rehabilitate the existing water treatment plant to allow the Board to continue serving its customers.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Ritchie		The upgrades proposed in the project are as follows: Emergency Generator, SupervisorySCADA, Replacing gravity sand filter media, Replacing gravity sand filter air piping, Replacing Stage 2 raw water pumps, Replacing electric check valves, Install Variable Frequency Drives on high service pumps, and Rehabilitation of the raw water well.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304307			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
20.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b> 173	<b>Kingwood Water Works</b>	\$600,000	\$4,361,779
<b>SRF #:</b> D-144164  <b>County:</b> Preston  <b>PERMIT #WV:</b> 3303908  <b>Binding Date:</b> 6/30/2026  <b>Points</b> 20.00	<b>Needs Categories:</b> Transmission & Distribution Storage	<b>Problem</b> -Frequent leaks and main breaks in the old water mains in the Downtown Area. -Nearby un-served areas with high demand for water. -Aging components in the distribution system (Tanks, meters).  <b>Solution</b> -Downtown system line replacements. -Rural Line Extensions are needed to serve previously unserved areas. -Replacement of two existing water storage tanks (McDonald St. Tank and Kinney Tank) -Replacement of approx.1,500 existing water meters.	
<b>Rank</b> 174	<b>Logan County PSD (Big Ugly - Phase 1)</b>	\$3,957,000	\$3,957,000
<b>SRF #:</b> D-144100  <b>County:</b> Logan  <b>PERMIT #WV:</b> 3302362  <b>Binding Date:</b> 6/30/2026  <b>Points</b> 20.00	<b>Needs Categories:</b> Tansmission & Distribution Storage Land Acquisition	<b>Problem</b> Residents of the project area currently make use of individually owned water wells as asource of potable drinking water. This is a public health and safety concern as water wells are more easily contaminated by nearby septic tanks, overland flow, improper storage of fuelsand residential oil sources, and improper maintenance and construction of the well itself. The installation of public water sources would provided a higher quality and more dependablesource of potable water.  <b>Solution</b> Project proposes the construction of 23,200 LF of waterline 8" and smaller, the installation of one 100 GPM booster pump station, one 100,000 gallon water storage tank, and other related appurtenances.	

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mason County PSD (Ashton)</b>		<b>\$5,000,000</b>	<b>\$11,000,000</b>
175				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144173	Treatment	<p>The Ashton Water Treatment Plant (WTP) facility currently operates in excess of 10 hours per day. Upgrades are necessary to reduce plant run times and allow for better service of the area. The plant also requires some improvements to address issues with the WTP in addition to increasing the capacity of the well field.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>Various upgrades to the Ashton water treatment plant to increase capacity including new raw water wells, upgrading the chemical feed systems, additional clearwell, and various water treatment plant building improvements.</p>		
<b>County:</b>				
Mason				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302717				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
20.00				

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mason County PSD (Lakin)</b>		<b>\$5,000,000</b>	<b>\$10,000,000</b>
176				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144172	Treatment	<p>The Lakin WTP currently operates in excess of 18 hours a day and needs to be upgraded to handle existing demand.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>This project proposes making various upgrades to the Lakin Water Treatment Plant to increase treatment capacity including an additional raw water well, increasing the capacity of the ion exchange treatment system, electrical upgrades and water treatment plant building improvements.</p>		
<b>County:</b>				
Mason				
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302712				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
20.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mason County PSD (North Phase II)</b>		<b>\$1,350,000</b>	<b>\$2,700,000</b>
177				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144170	Transmission & Distribution	A hydraulic modeling study was conducted on Mason County's South and North Water Systems to identify hydraulic constraints within the system. The waterline improvements proposed as part of this project would correct some of these identified hydraulic bottlenecks and would improve the District's storage and distribution capabilities.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mason		This project includes waterline improvements along Broad Run Road as well as upgrades to the Peniel Booster Station and Sandhill Tank.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302714				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
20.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mason County PSD (North Phase III)</b>		<b>\$1,875,000</b>	<b>\$3,750,000</b>
178				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144168	Transmission & Distribution	A hydraulic modeling study was conducted on Mason County's South and North Water Systems to identify constraints within the system. The waterline improvements proposed as part of this project would correct some of these identified hydraulic bottlenecks as well as serve approximately 30 new customers without reliable water service.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mason		Phase III of this project includes 3 smaller projects including: A waterline extension on Eddy Chapel Road, interconnecting the Letart system from the Racine Locks and Dam to Sandhill Road, and the Ambrosia waterline extension project.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302713				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
20.00				



# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mason County PSD (South Phase I)</b>		<b>\$500,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>
179				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144167	Transmission & Distribution	A hydraulic modeling study was conducted on Mason County's South and North Water Systems to identify constraints within the system. The improvements proposed as part of this project would upgrade undersized pumps as well as replace a section of pipe downstream of the pumps that is deteriorating and needs to be replaced to accommodate increased discharge pressure.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mason		This project includes upgrading the Hannan Booster Station and replacing the downstream line.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302712				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
20.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Mason County PSD (South Phase II)</b>		<b>\$1,250,000</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>
180				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144169	Transmission & Distribution	A hydraulic modeling study was conducted on Mason County's South and North Water Systems to identify constraints within the system. The improvements proposed as part of this project would upgrade undersized pumps and would also improve the District's storage as well as its distribution capabilities.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Mason		This project consists of upgrading the Apple Grove Booster Station and installation of a new water storage tank.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3302717				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
20.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>McMechen, City of (Phase II)</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>	<b>\$3,000,000</b>
181			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144138	Transmission & Distribution	<p>The aging infrastructure of the City's water system is adding problems to the City and its ability to provide potable water to its customer base. The City experienced unaccounted water loss of 24.32% based on the 2023 PSC Annual Report. In the Sanitary Survey performed in December of 2021, the WV Department of Health and Human Resources documented a minor concern of the galvanized piping within the water system.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>This project consists of replacing approximately 7,450 linear feet of 6-inch diameter waterline, 1,260 linear feet of 8-inch diameter waterline, 5,500 linear feet of 4-inch diameter waterline, approximately 230 new meter settings, approximately 40 tie-ins into existing line, removal, and replacement of 10 fire hydrants, 1 new post flushing hydrant, 8 gate valves, and various city street and trench repairs. The section of upgrades includes the streets of Marshall Street, Baltimore Street, East Baltimore Street, and 3rd Street through 8th Street.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Marshall			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3302610		<b>Solution</b>	
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
20.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Grafton, City of (Downtown)</b>	<b>\$1,900,000</b>	<b>\$2,400,000</b>
182			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144200	Transmission & Distribution	<p>Grafton (City) is currently in the design phase of a sanitary sewer rehabilitation project in the downtown area. The existing water lines in this area are cast iron or asbestos concrete and the City has constant issues with leaks. There are concerns that during construction of the sanitary and storm sewer lines that there will be issues with water main leaks and breaks throughout the city due to the condition of the water system.</p> <p><b>Solution</b></p> <p>Replace the existing asbestos concrete and cast iron water lines within the areas of the sanitary sewer project. This will help to prevent water main breaks and leaks during and after construction of the sewer project. Installing new water, sanitary, and storm lines in the same construction period would also prevent Grafton from needing to perform all restorations in two separate projects. This would allow all streets to be paved once. This project proposes to install new 4", 6", 8", and 12" water mains throughout the downtown area of Grafton.</p>	
<b>County:</b>			
Taylor			
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3304601		<b>Solution</b>	
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
15.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Grafton, City of (Water Tank)</b>		<b>\$1,100,000</b>	<b>\$3,500,000</b>
183				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144199	Transmission & Distribution Storage	Grafton currently operates a 525,000 gallon, underground water storage tank that was constructed in the 1950s. The tank is difficult to maintain and check for leaks. Some of the main distribution lines to this tank were also constructed over 50 years ago and are in need of replacement.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Taylor		This project proposes to replace the existing underground tank with a new, above ground tank to provide better hydraulic service to the system and allow for better maintenance. This project also proposes to replace existing 12" main water line that provides water to the existing tank to reduce the number of leaks and allow the City of Grafton to provide more reliable service to their customers.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3304601				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
15.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Morgantown Utility Board (Meter)</b>		<b>\$4,861,750</b>	<b>\$4,861,750</b>
184				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144214	Transmission & Distribution	Morgantown's (MUB) system includes over 28,000 water system meters used to bill customers on a regular basis for water service. Collecting this data manually is a time-consuming process and, in some cases, meters are in areas which are challenging for MUB's staff to access efficiently. Older less sophisticated meters have experienced wear and are losing their accuracy with use as they reach the end of their useful life.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Monongalia		Morgantown will begin a phased approach over several years to replace portions of their existing water system meters for residential, commercial, and industrial use, with meters equipped with improved drive-by automated meter reading technology. The first phase of replacements would include approximately 5,000 meter locations. Meters selected for this phase would include large-diameter meters and residential meters that are in areas that are difficult to access and measure the largest percent of customer demands within the water system.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3303111				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
15.00				

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Morgantown Utility Board (Storage Tank)</b>	<b>\$1,581,750</b>	<b>\$1,581,750</b>
185			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144212	Storage	Existing coatings on two elevated storage tanks (Greystone and Mileground) have begun to fail and need replacement. Miscellaneous improvements were also identified while evaluating the coating systems through on-site inspections of the storage tanks.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Monongalia		Perform a full blast and recoat of the tank interior and exterior and complete miscellaneous improvements, including vegetation removal, electrical and lighting replacement, and replacement of missing appurtenances such as bolts, gaskets, and screens. Due to the size of the tanks and scope of improvements, this work would be completed by a qualified contractor.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3303111			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
15.00			

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Weirton Water Board (Pressure Alleviation)</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$4,340,000</b>
186			
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>	
D-144146	Transmission & Distribution	Weirton has retained The Thrasher Group, Inc. to design a series of upgrades to the Weirton Water Treatment Plant (WTP) which will increase its capacity from 4.0 MGD to 8.0 MGD. Unfortunately, hydraulic modeling of Weirton's distribution system indicates that increasing the WTP to 8.0 MGD will increase pressures in portions of Weirton's distribution system beyond what the system can tolerate.	
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>	
Brooke/Hancock		This project proposes to upgrade Weirton's distribution system. Specifically, the project involves replacement of existing waterlines feeding Weirton's Lee Avenue water storage tanks. These waterlines run along Zeta Street, Mildren Avenue, and Walnut Street in downtown Weirton.	
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>			
3300516			
<b>Binding Date:</b>			
6/30/2026			
<b>Points</b>			
15.00			

# DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2026 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Glenville Utility Board, City of</b>		<b>\$3,000,000</b>	<b>\$3,500,000</b>
187				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144221	Treatment	The plant is professionally managed, but several upgrades are necessary to allow the water treatment plant (WTP) to be operated with more reliability, redundancy, equalization of flow, less operator interventions, better controls, and more uniformity and fine tuning of treatment. Glenville Utility is also studying increasing the flow at the WTP by constructing an addition to increase the flow at the WTP to more effectively handle peak demand flows.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Gilmer		Replace various components that have reached the end of their useful life; Install Variable Frequency Drives on raw water, intermediate and high service pumps; Improve mixing, replace static mixer and various valves and pumps, upgrade plant controls and misc. electrical work. Also, increase the water treatment plant flow by upgrading various components including adding an additional treatment train and increasing the pump sizes to better respond to high demand periods for the Town, Federal Correction Institute and Gilmer County PSD.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3301104				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
10.00				

  

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Summersville, City of</b>		<b>\$1,900,000</b>	<b>\$1,900,000</b>
188				
<b>SRF #:</b>	<b>Needs Categories:</b>	<b>Problem</b>		
D-144080	Transmission & Distribution	The City of Summersville provides safe, reliable water to its customers and nearby public service districts and has discovered a bottleneck within their existing system. This prevents the resale customers from expansion and pulling a higher demand from Summersville. It also prevents Summersville from being a sufficient backup source of water for the Mount Olive prison.		
<b>County:</b>		<b>Solution</b>		
Nicholas		The City of Summersville is proposing to up-size an existing waterline along Webster Road in order to eliminate a bottleneck in their system. This up-size should eliminate the bottleneck and allow Summersville to meet current and future demands.		
<b>PERMIT #WV:</b>				
3303404				
<b>Binding Date:</b>				
6/30/2026				
<b>Points</b>				
10.00				

**Intended Use Plan, July 1, 2025-June 30, 2026**

System: \_\_\_\_\_ County: \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Project#: \_\_\_\_\_ Project: \_\_\_\_\_

**DWTRF Project Priority Ranking System**

(1) \_\_\_\_\_ **PUBLIC HEALTH** (0 to 50 points - 50 points maximum)

Up to fifty points may be given to a project for public health. The public health categories are listed below. A project may apply to several categories. In such cases, the project will be given the highest rating.

(A) **50 points Projects to correct acute health hazards** - Fifty points will be given to projects that propose to eliminate a problem that poses an acute, ongoing health hazard to the consumer. Violations should be included in the Enforcement Tracking Tool (ETT). Examples are listed below.

- Projects that address documented nitrate or nitrite violations.
- Projects that address documented exceedances of primary inorganic MCL's
- Projects that address a problem where a system has significant turbidity violations. The project must ensure compliance to receive DWTRF assistance.
- Projects that address a problem where a system has significant microbiological violations. The project must ensure compliance to receive DWTRF assistance.
- Projects that propose filtration for surface water source that currently do not have filtration.
- Projects that propose disinfection for a system that currently do not have disinfection.
- Projects that address documented or potential water outages for extended periods (1 week or more) due to contamination or system/design deficiencies.

(B) **40 points Correct chronic health hazards** - Forty points will be given to projects that propose to eliminate a chronic health hazard to the consumer. Examples are listed below.

- Projects that address occasional turbidity violations for a system that has a moderate ETT score.
- Projects that address occasional microbiological violations for a system that has a moderate ETT score.
- Projects that address exceedances of the Lead and Copper Rule.
- Projects that address documented exceedances of primary organic MCL's.

- Projects that address documented exceedances of radiological MCL's.
- Projects that address treatment technologies for the SWTR.
- Projects that address documented or potential water outages (1 to 6 days) due to contamination or system/design deficiencies.
- Projects that enhance source water protection to prevent widespread contamination throughout the distribution system via alternate water sources or additional storage.

**(C) 30 points Correct periodic health hazards** - Thirty points will be awarded to projects that propose to eliminate a documented health hazard which has occurred periodically. Examples are listed below.

- Projects that address low chlorine residuals.
- Projects that address periodic exceedances of a primary MCL.
- Projects that address periodic water outages to some customers for at least a day due to design or system deficiency.
- Projects to bring existing facilities to current design standards which affect water quality: treatment, chemical application, pumping facilities, finished storage and distribution systems.

**(D) 20 points Correct potential health hazards** - Twenty points will be given to projects that propose to eliminate potential health hazards. Examples are listed below.

- Projects for line extensions to areas with poor water quality or limited quantity.
- Projects to develop new source to augment existing sources where there is no other health hazard associated with the project. Dams and reservoirs are not eligible.
- Projects for installation / upgrade of waste disposal facilities.

**(E) 10 points System Improvements** - Ten points will be given to projects that propose general system improvements. Examples are listed below.

- Projects to replace / repair old, undersized, or malfunctioning equipment.
- Projects to replace leaking water line.
- Projects to improve aesthetic quality of the water such as iron, manganese, taste and odor.

**(2) \_\_\_\_\_ REGULATORY COMPLIANCE (0 to 20 points, 20 points maximum)**

**(A) 20 points Correction of chronic non-compliance** - Compliance with administrative orders, agreements, statutes, or regulatory requirements.

**(B) 10 points Compliance with periodic and potential non-compliance** - Compliance with sanitary survey recommendations, NPDES permits, new

regulations, or design standards.

(C) **5 points**    **Protection against non-compliance** - Compliance with proposed regulations.

(D) **3 points**    **Line extensions with documented cases of fecal coliform**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_ **AFFORDABILITY** (0 to 30 points) (Based on post-project user rates)

Rates = 0% to 0.49% MHI (**0 points**)

**Projected Rate:**

Rates = 0.50% to 0.99% MHI (**5 points**)

**MHI:**

Rates = 1.00% to 1.24% MHI (**10 points**)

**Mun./County/Mag. Dist. Used:**

Rates = 1.25% to 1.49% MHI (**15 points**)

Rates = 1.50% to 1.74% MHI (**20 points**)

**% MHI:**

Rates = 1.75% to 1.99% MHI (**25 points**)

Rates  $\geq$  2.0% MHI (**30 points**)

Note: MHI = median household income by county or municipality as published by the West Virginia Infrastructure and Jobs Development Council (IJDC) at time of approval for funding by IJDC. If the project sponsor can clearly show that a magisterial district census income reflects the affordability more appropriately the magisterial district census data may be used in place of the county census data.

Rates are based on 3,400 gallons.

Public Health	
Compliance	
Affordability	
Total Points	

**Tie Breaker:**

If two or more systems have the same score, the following will be used as the tie- breaker.

1.      Whichever system has the highest public health rating will be ranked highest. **Shown in above table.**
2.      In the event there is still a tie, then the system with the smaller population served will be ranked higher.      **Population:**

**Definitions**

MCL -- Maximum Contaminant Level

ETT -- Enforcement Tracking Tool

SWTR -- Surface Water Treatment Rule

Notes:

WVDH Review:

Completed Date:

Reviewed by PM:

Completed Date:

Reviewed by Eng:

Completed Date:



## APPENDIX B

### FUNDABLE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

---

Appendix B - Fundable Project List  
Projects Budgeted for the Federal FY 2025 Base (\$10,906,000) and IIJA Grants (\$24,898,000)

Name	Project Number D-144	Base Program Loan \$9,077,435	Base Program Principal Forgiveness	IIJA General Supplemental \$7,963,991	IIJA Principal Forgiveness \$12,200,020	IIJA Emerging Contaminants \$7,640,000	LSLR Loan \$14,357,080*	LSLR Principal Forgiveness \$14,038,500*	System's Service Area Population	Binding Commitment Date
Anmoore	22DWTRFA030	\$944,500							951	
Clarksburg - Ph. 3B	151			\$600,000					18,006	
Craigsville PSD	19DWTRFA018	\$6,007,900			\$1,000,000				4,711	7/24/2025
Danese PSD	013			\$6,175,000	\$1,500,000				2,294	6/18/2024
Davis	129			\$600,000					884	
Hardy County PSD	184			\$1,950,000		\$1,500,000			150	
Hodgesville PSD	010	\$993,000			\$820,000				2,688	6/27/2024
Jumping Branch Nimitz PSD - Broomstraw	22DWTRFA027				\$1,705,000				214	
Jumping Branch Nimitz PSD - Madams Creek	024	\$1,021,361	\$770,214		\$35,359				214	
Kanawha Co. Regional Development Authority	156			\$9,209,240					103	
Lashmeet PSD - Mary's Branch Ext.	19DWTRFA011			\$946,453	\$514,661				1,833	9/8/2022
Lewis Co. EDA - Corridor H	135				\$1,500,000				1,144	
Lubeck PSD	206					\$1,500,000			10,377	
Marlinton - design loan	025	\$214,500							1,930	
Mercer Co. PSD	22DWTRFA135				\$1,000,000				123	
Milton	139				\$425,000				5,466	
Oceana - Ph. 2	008			\$1,950,000	\$700,000				3,796	
Nettie-Leivasy PSD - Ward/McCutchen	014			\$1,379,380	\$500,000				3,343	
Parkersburg	051	\$1,474,300							34,251	
Paw Paw PSD	077			\$950,000	\$1,500,000				1,257	10/1/2025
Pineville	20DWTRFB0010-01				\$1,000,000				2,162	5/28/2024
Williamstown	224			\$570,000		\$4,640,000			3,469	
Total Projects		\$10,655,561	\$770,214	\$24,330,073	\$12,200,020	\$7,640,000	\$0	\$0		

49.00%

Activity Codes and Binding Commitment dates    Equivalency Designation  
P - facilities planning underway - Summer 2026    E - Equivalency (See Section V. J. of the IUP for federal requirements)  
D - design underway - Winter 2026    NE - Non-Equivalency  
D2 - design under review at DEP - Fall 2025  
D3 - design approved by DEP/bid process underway - Summer 2025 unless a specific date is provided

Funding terms are established in the binding commitment letters issued to the project applicants when the project is ready to proceed.

Appendix B - Fundable Project List  
Projects Budgeted for the Federal FY 2024 Base (\$4,661,000) and BIL Grants (\$22,985,000)

Name	Project Scope	Project Number D-144	Activity Code	Equivalency Designation	Base Program \$3,690,799	BIL General Supplemental \$17,485,073	BIL Emerging Contaminants \$7,640,000	LSLR Loan \$12,292,950	LSLR Principal Forgiveness \$15,114,050	Binding Commitment Date
Parkersburg	PFAS Treatment	051	D2	E			\$7,640,000			
Equivalency Projects Closed to Date					\$3,690,799	\$17,485,073	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Projects					\$3,690,799	\$17,485,073	\$7,640,000	\$0	\$0	

Activity Codes and Binding Commitment dates

P - facilities planning underway - Summer 2026

D - design underway - Winter 2026

D2 - design under review at DEP - Fall 2025

D3 - design approved by DEP/bid process underway - Summer 2025 unless a specific date is provided

Equivalency Designation

E - Equivalency (See Section V. J. of the IUP for federal requirements)

NE - Non-Equivalency

Funding terms are established in the binding commitment letters issued to the project applicants when the project is ready to proceed.

Appendix B - Fundable Project List (amended 7/1/24)  
Projects Budgeted for the Federal FY 2023 Base (\$4,938,000) and BIL Grants (\$21,055,000)

Name	Project Scope	Proj Num D-144____	Activity Code	Equivalency Designation	Base Program \$3,802,500	BIL General Supplemental \$16,191,875	BIL Emerging Contaminants \$7,265,000	LSLR Loan #####	LSLR Principal Forgiveness \$14,038,500	Binding Commitment Date
Clarksburg - Ph. 3 B	LSL and watermain replacement	151	P	E				\$4,416,554	\$867,306	
Davis	LSL and watermain replacement	129	P	E					\$600,000	
Parkersburg	PFAS Treatment	051	P	E			\$7,265,000			
WV American Water Company - Huntington	LSL Replacement		P	E				\$508,600		
White Sulphur Springs - Villa Park	LSL Replacement		P	E				\$629,212	\$604,538	
Equivalency Projects closed to date					\$3,802,500	\$16,191,875				
Total Projects					\$3,802,500	\$16,191,875	\$7,265,000	\$5,554,366	\$2,071,844	

Activity Codes and Binding Commitment dates

P - facilities planning underway - Summer 2026

D - design underway - Winter 2026

D2 - design under review at DEP - Fall 2025

D3 - design approved by DEP/bid process underway - Summer 2025 unless a specific date is provided

Equivalency Designation

E - Equivalency (See Section V. J. of the IUP for federal requirements)

NE - Non-Equivalency

Funding terms are established in the binding commitment letters issued to the project applicants when the project is ready to proceed.

Appendix B - Fundable Project List (amended as of 7/1/24)  
Projects Budgeted for the Federal FY 2022 Base (\$7,008,000) and BIL Grants (\$17,992,000)

Name	Project Scope	Project Number 144____	D- Activity Code	Equivalency Designation	Base Program plus 4% SA \$5,115,840	BIL Supplemental plus 4% SA \$15,937,480	BIL Emerging Contaminants \$7,403,900	LSLR Loan \$10,680,517	LSLR Principal Forgiveness \$13,891,500	Binding Commitment Date
Clarksburg - Ph. 3B	LSL Replacement	151	P	E				\$3,412,966	\$6,655,174	
Glen Dale	PFAS Treatment	22DWTRFA002EC	D	E			\$3,286,000			
Parkersburg	PFAS Treatment	051	P	E			\$517,900			
Equivalency Projects closed to date					\$5,115,840	\$15,937,480	\$3,600,000	\$7,267,551	\$7,236,326	
Total Projects					\$5,115,840	\$15,937,480	\$7,403,900	\$10,680,517	\$13,891,500	

Activity Codes and Binding Commitment dates  
P - facilities planning underway - Summer 2026  
D - design underway - Winter 2026  
D2 - design under review at DEP - Fall 2025  
D3 - design approved by DEP/bid process underway - Summer 2025 unless a specific date is provided

Equivalency Designation  
E - Equivalency (See Section V. J. of the IUP for federal requirements)  
NE - Non-Equivalency

Funding terms are established in the binding commitment letters issued to the project applicants when the project is ready to proceed.

## APPENDIX C

### PUBLIC MEETING SUMMARY

---

The FY2026 IUP Public Meeting was scheduled for June 18, 2025, at 9:30am. The meeting took place at the WV DEP's Headquarters in Charleston, WV. An option to attend remotely or call-in was also given.

## APPENDIX D

### MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME BY COUNTY AND MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT

---

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME  
2020 CENSUS  
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

Magisterial District	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Barbour	\$38,906	40.53	48.63	56.74	64.84	81.05
North district, Barbour County	\$37,148	38.70	46.44	54.17	61.91	77.39
South district, Barbour County	\$40,087	41.76	50.11	58.46	66.81	83.51
West district, Barbour County	\$39,470	41.11	49.34	57.56	65.78	82.23
Berkeley	\$65,286	68.01	81.61	95.21	108.81	136.01
Adam Stephens district, Berkeley County	\$41,773	43.51	52.22	60.92	69.62	87.03
Norborne district, Berkeley County	\$68,544	71.40	85.68	99.96	114.24	142.80
Potomac district, Berkeley County	\$63,184	65.82	78.98	92.14	105.31	131.63
Shenandoah district, Berkeley County	\$68,007	70.84	85.01	99.18	113.35	141.68
Tuscarora district, Berkeley County	\$68,874	71.74	86.09	100.44	114.79	143.49
Valley district, Berkeley County	\$72,155	75.16	90.19	105.23	120.26	150.32
Boone	\$45,297	47.18	56.62	66.06	75.50	94.37
District 1, Boone County	\$47,530	49.51	59.41	69.31	79.22	99.02
District 2, Boone County	\$38,274	39.87	47.84	55.82	63.79	79.74
District 3, Boone County	\$51,151	53.28	63.94	74.60	85.25	106.56
Braxton	\$43,819	45.64	54.77	63.90	73.03	91.29
Eastern district, Braxton County	\$41,019	42.73	51.27	59.82	68.37	85.46
Northern district, Braxton County	\$39,803	41.46	49.75	58.05	66.34	82.92
Southern district, Braxton County	\$43,945	45.78	54.93	64.09	73.24	91.55
Western district, Braxton County	\$51,295	53.43	64.12	74.81	85.49	106.86
Brooke	\$48,168	50.18	60.21	70.25	80.28	100.35
Follansbee district, Brooke County	\$43,149	44.95	53.94	62.93	71.92	89.89
Weirton district, Brooke County	\$51,392	53.53	64.24	74.95	85.65	107.07
Wellsburg district, Brooke County	\$47,863	49.86	59.83	69.80	79.77	99.71
Cabell	\$41,472	43.20	51.84	60.48	69.12	86.40
District 1, Cabell County	\$44,500	46.35	55.63	64.90	74.17	92.71
District 2, Cabell County	\$26,474	27.58	33.09	38.61	44.12	55.15
District 3, Cabell County	\$30,835	32.12	38.54	44.97	51.39	64.24
District 4, Cabell County	\$50,013	52.10	62.52	72.94	83.36	104.19
District 5, Cabell County	\$53,699	55.94	67.12	78.31	89.50	111.87
Calhoun	\$38,668	40.28	48.34	56.39	64.45	80.56
District 1, Calhoun County	\$45,029	46.91	56.29	65.67	75.05	93.81
District 2, Calhoun County	\$40,556	42.25	50.70	59.14	67.59	84.49
District 3, Calhoun County	\$40,921	42.63	51.15	59.68	68.20	85.25
District 4, Calhoun County	\$38,125	39.71	47.66	55.60	63.54	79.43
District 5, Calhoun County	\$35,521	37.00	44.40	51.80	59.20	74.00
Clay	\$35,154	36.62	43.94	51.27	58.59	73.24
District A, Clay County	\$33,542	34.94	41.93	48.92	55.90	69.88
District B, Clay County	\$26,362	27.46	32.95	38.44	43.94	54.92
District C, Clay County	\$42,750	44.53	53.44	62.34	71.25	89.06
Doddridge	\$51,300	53.44	64.13	74.81	85.50	106.88
Beech district, Doddridge County	\$37,807	39.38	47.26	55.14	63.01	78.76
Maple district, Doddridge County	\$69,620	72.52	87.03	101.53	116.03	145.04



WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME  
2020 CENSUS  
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

<b>Magisterial District</b>	<b>2020 MHI</b>	<b>1.25%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>1.75%</b>	<b>2.00%</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
Oak district, Doddridge County	\$50,935	53.06	63.67	74.28	84.89	106.11
Pine district, Doddridge County	\$57,833	60.24	72.29	84.34	96.39	120.49
Fayette	\$43,722	45.54	54.65	63.76	72.87	91.09
New Haven district, Fayette County	\$46,316	48.25	57.90	67.54	77.19	96.49
Plateau district, Fayette County	\$42,921	44.71	53.65	62.59	71.54	89.42
Valley district, Fayette County	\$42,268	44.03	52.84	61.64	70.45	88.06
Gilmer	\$42,883	44.67	53.60	62.54	71.47	89.34
Center district, Gilmer County	\$39,693	41.35	49.62	57.89	66.16	82.69
City district, Gilmer County	\$34,250	35.68	42.81	49.95	57.08	71.35
De Kalb-Troy district, Gilmer County	\$44,280	46.13	55.35	64.58	73.80	92.25
Glenville district, Gilmer County	\$48,750	50.78	60.94	71.09	81.25	101.56
Grant	\$43,313	45.12	54.14	63.16	72.19	90.24
Grant district, Grant County	\$41,649	43.38	52.06	60.74	69.42	86.77
Milroy district, Grant County	\$41,321	43.04	51.65	60.26	68.87	86.09
Union district, Grant County	\$52,152	54.33	65.19	76.06	86.92	108.65
Greenbrier	\$39,807	41.47	49.76	58.05	66.35	82.93
Central district, Greenbrier County	\$45,283	47.17	56.60	66.04	75.47	94.34
Eastern district, Greenbrier County	\$35,338	36.81	44.17	51.53	58.90	73.62
Western district, Greenbrier County	\$41,261	42.98	51.58	60.17	68.77	85.96
Hampshire	\$48,528	50.55	60.66	70.77	80.88	101.10
Bloomery district, Hampshire County	\$66,930	69.72	83.66	97.61	111.55	139.44
Capon district, Hampshire County	\$62,228	64.82	77.79	90.75	103.71	129.64
Gore district, Hampshire County	\$54,732	57.01	68.42	79.82	91.22	114.03
Mill Creek district, Hampshire County	\$50,547	52.65	63.18	73.71	84.25	105.31
Romney district, Hampshire County	\$33,943	35.36	42.43	49.50	56.57	70.71
Sherman district, Hampshire County	\$47,578	49.56	59.47	69.38	79.30	99.12
Springfield district, Hampshire County	\$42,159	43.92	52.70	61.48	70.27	87.83
Hancock	\$48,140	50.15	60.18	70.20	80.23	100.29
Butler district, Hancock County	\$55,773	58.10	69.72	81.34	92.96	116.19
Clay district, Hancock County	\$45,966	47.88	57.46	67.03	76.61	95.76
Grant district, Hancock County	\$44,854	46.72	56.07	65.41	74.76	93.45
Hardy	\$46,513	48.45	58.14	67.83	77.52	96.90
Capon district, Hardy County	\$45,756	47.66	57.20	66.73	76.26	95.33
Lost River district, Hardy County	\$51,406	53.55	64.26	74.97	85.68	107.10
Moorefield district, Hardy County	\$47,500	49.48	59.38	69.27	79.17	98.96
Old Fields district, Hardy County	\$42,034	43.79	52.54	61.30	70.06	87.57
South Fork district, Hardy County	\$47,207	49.17	59.01	68.84	78.68	98.35
Harrison	\$52,134	54.31	65.17	76.03	86.89	108.61
Eastern district, Harrison County	\$75,050	78.18	93.81	109.45	125.08	156.35
Northern district, Harrison County	\$53,343	55.57	66.68	77.79	88.91	111.13
North Urban district, Harrison County	\$40,375	42.06	50.47	58.88	67.29	84.11

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME  
2020 CENSUS  
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

<b>Magisterial District</b>	<b>2020 MHI</b>	<b>1.25%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>1.75%</b>	<b>2.00%</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
Southern district, Harrison County	\$55,080	57.38	68.85	80.33	91.80	114.75
South Urban district, Harrison County	\$52,275	54.45	65.34	76.23	87.13	108.91
Southwest district, Harrison County	\$50,752	52.87	63.44	74.01	84.59	105.73
Jackson	\$49,115	51.16	61.39	71.63	81.86	102.32
Eastern district, Jackson County	\$45,818	47.73	57.27	66.82	76.36	95.45
Northern district, Jackson County	\$49,528	51.59	61.91	72.23	82.55	103.18
Western district, Jackson County	\$53,156	55.37	66.45	77.52	88.59	110.74
Jefferson	\$82,551	85.99	103.19	120.39	137.59	171.98
Charles Town district, Jefferson County	\$67,962	70.79	84.95	99.11	113.27	141.59
Harpers Ferry district, Jefferson County	\$76,905	80.11	96.13	112.15	128.18	160.22
Kabletown district, Jefferson County	\$115,469	120.28	144.34	168.39	192.45	240.56
Middleway district, Jefferson County	\$72,136	75.14	90.17	105.20	120.23	150.28
Shepherdstown district, Jefferson County	\$88,523	92.21	110.65	129.10	147.54	184.42
Kanawha	\$47,122	49.09	58.90	68.72	78.54	98.17
District 1, Kanawha County	\$43,831	45.66	54.79	63.92	73.05	91.31
District 2, Kanawha County	\$51,916	54.08	64.90	75.71	86.53	108.16
District 3, Kanawha County	\$50,204	52.30	62.76	73.21	83.67	104.59
District 4, Kanawha County	\$42,112	43.87	52.64	61.41	70.19	87.73
Lewis	\$43,894	45.72	54.87	64.01	73.16	91.45
Courthouse-Collins Settlement district, Lewis	\$34,800	36.25	43.50	50.75	58.00	72.50
Freemans Creek district, Lewis County	\$49,030	51.07	61.29	71.50	81.72	102.15
Hackers Creek-Skin Creek district, Lewis County	\$46,667	48.61	58.33	68.06	77.78	97.22
Lincoln	\$42,064	43.82	52.58	61.34	70.11	87.63
District 1, Lincoln County	\$55,826	58.15	69.78	81.41	93.04	116.30
District 2, Lincoln County	\$33,011	34.39	41.26	48.14	55.02	68.77
District 3, Lincoln County	\$36,772	38.30	45.97	53.63	61.29	76.61
Logan	\$36,250	37.76	45.31	52.86	60.42	75.52
Central district, Logan County	\$38,605	40.21	48.26	56.30	64.34	80.43
Eastern district, Logan County	\$33,014	34.39	41.27	48.15	55.02	68.78
Western district, Logan County	\$45,396	47.29	56.75	66.20	75.66	94.58
Marion	\$52,856	55.06	66.07	77.08	88.09	99.11
Middletown district, Marion County	\$45,274	47.16	56.59	66.02	75.46	94.32
Palatine district, Marion County	\$60,511	63.03	75.64	88.25	100.85	126.06
West Augusta district, Marion County	\$53,660	55.90	67.08	78.25	89.43	111.79
Marshall	\$48,179	50.19	60.22	70.26	80.30	100.37
District 1, Marshall County	\$53,311	55.53	66.64	77.75	88.85	111.06
District 2, Marshall County	\$36,161	37.67	45.20	52.73	60.27	75.34
District 3, Marshall County	\$56,442	58.79	70.55	82.31	94.07	117.59
Mason	\$51,820	53.98	64.78	75.57	86.37	107.96

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME  
2020 CENSUS  
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

<b>Magisterial District</b>	<b>2020 MHI</b>	<b>1.25%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>1.75%</b>	<b>2.00%</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
Arbuckle district, Mason County	\$34,234	35.66	42.79	49.92	57.06	71.32
Clendenin district, Mason County	\$40,938	42.64	51.17	59.70	68.23	85.29
Cologne district, Mason County	\$55,476	57.79	69.35	80.90	92.46	115.58
Cooper district, Mason County	\$56,473	58.83	70.59	82.36	94.12	117.65
Graham district, Mason County	\$51,073	53.20	63.84	74.48	85.12	106.40
Hannan district, Mason County	\$66,319	69.08	82.90	96.72	110.53	138.16
Lewis district, Mason County	\$55,025	57.32	68.78	80.24	91.71	114.64
Robinson district, Mason County	\$57,232	59.62	71.54	83.46	95.39	119.23
Union district, Mason County	\$51,278	53.41	64.10	74.78	85.46	106.83
Waggener district, Mason County	\$34,779	36.23	43.47	50.72	57.97	72.46
McDowell	\$26,072	27.16	32.59	38.02	43.45	54.32
Big Creek district, McDowell County	\$24,688	25.72	30.86	36.00	41.15	51.43
Browns Creek district, McDowell County	\$24,663	25.69	30.83	35.97	41.11	51.38
North Elkin district, McDowell County	\$31,959	33.29	39.95	46.61	53.27	66.58
Sandy River district, McDowell County	\$27,590	28.74	34.49	40.24	45.98	57.48
Mercer	\$40,716	42.41	50.90	59.38	67.86	84.83
District I, Mercer County	\$36,048	37.55	45.06	52.57	60.08	75.10
District II, Mercer County	\$44,071	45.91	55.09	64.27	73.45	91.81
District III, Mercer County	\$41,144	42.86	51.43	60.00	68.57	85.72
Mineral	\$51,723	53.88	64.65	75.43	86.21	107.76
District 1, Mineral County	\$50,583	52.69	63.23	73.77	84.31	105.38
District 2, Mineral County	\$49,922	52.00	62.40	72.80	83.20	104.00
District 3, Mineral County	\$53,750	55.99	67.19	78.39	89.58	111.98
Mingo	\$35,454	36.93	44.32	51.70	59.09	73.86
Beech Ben Mate district, Mingo County	\$27,634	28.79	34.54	40.30	46.06	57.57
Kermit Harvey district, Mingo County	\$35,338	36.81	44.17	51.53	58.90	73.62
Lee district, Mingo County	\$39,388	41.03	49.24	57.44	65.65	82.06
Magnolia district, Mingo County	\$30,313	31.58	37.89	44.21	50.52	63.15
Stafford district, Mingo County	\$42,154	43.91	52.69	61.47	70.26	87.82
Tug Hardee district, Mingo County	\$36,325	37.84	45.41	52.97	60.54	75.68
Williamson district, Mingo County	\$27,267	28.40	34.08	39.76	45.45	56.81
Monongalia	\$54,198	56.46	67.75	79.04	90.33	112.91
Central district, Monongalia County	\$43,545	45.36	54.43	63.50	72.58	90.72
Eastern district, Monongalia County	\$56,628	58.99	70.79	82.58	94.38	117.98
Western district, Monongalia County	\$58,311	60.74	72.89	85.04	97.19	121.48
Monroe	\$44,828	46.70	56.04	65.37	74.71	93.39
Central district, Monroe County	\$37,703	39.27	47.13	54.98	62.84	78.55
Eastern district, Monroe County	\$43,500	45.31	54.38	63.44	72.50	90.63
Western district, Monroe County	\$49,631	51.70	62.04	72.38	82.72	103.40

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME  
2020 CENSUS  
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

<b>Magisterial District</b>	<b>2020 MHI</b>	<b>1.25%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>1.75%</b>	<b>2.00%</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
Morgan	\$57,116	59.50	71.40	83.29	95.19	118.99
District 1, Morgan County	\$43,813	45.64	54.77	63.89	73.02	91.28
District 2, Morgan County	\$59,213	61.68	74.02	86.35	98.69	123.36
District 3, Morgan County	\$69,643	72.54	87.05	101.56	116.07	145.09
Nicholas	\$40,318	42.00	50.40	58.80	67.20	84.00
Beaver district, Nicholas County	\$39,628	41.28	49.54	57.79	66.05	82.56
Grant district, Nicholas County	\$26,392	27.49	32.99	38.49	43.99	54.98
Hamilton district, Nicholas County	\$45,045	46.92	56.31	65.69	75.08	93.84
Jefferson district, Nicholas County	\$35,278	36.75	44.10	51.45	58.80	73.50
Kentucky district, Nicholas County	\$37,020	38.56	46.28	53.99	61.70	77.13
Summersville district, Nicholas County	\$42,946	44.74	53.68	62.63	71.58	89.47
Wilderness district, Nicholas County	\$44,096	45.93	55.12	64.31	73.49	91.87
Ohio	\$48,056	50.06	60.07	70.08	80.09	100.12
District 1, Ohio County	\$64,075	66.74	80.09	93.44	106.79	133.49
District 2, Ohio County	\$34,227	35.65	42.78	49.91	57.05	71.31
District 3, Ohio County	\$50,934	53.06	63.67	74.28	84.89	106.11
Pendleton	\$46,358	48.29	57.95	67.61	77.26	96.58
Central district, Pendleton County	\$48,350	50.36	60.44	70.51	80.58	100.73
Eastern district, Pendleton County	\$38,750	40.36	48.44	56.51	64.58	80.73
Western district, Pendleton County	\$50,357	52.46	62.95	73.44	83.93	104.91
Pleasants	\$55,508	57.82	69.39	80.95	92.51	115.64
District A, Pleasants County	\$65,457	68.18	81.82	95.46	109.10	136.37
District B, Pleasants County	\$56,463	58.82	70.58	82.34	94.11	117.63
District C, Pleasants County	\$48,191	50.20	60.24	70.28	80.32	100.40
District D, Pleasants County	\$77,386	80.61	96.73	112.85	128.98	161.22
Pocahontas	\$37,642	39.21	47.05	54.89	62.74	78.42
Edray district, Pocahontas County	\$30,543	31.82	38.18	44.54	50.91	63.63
Greenbank district, Pocahontas County	\$38,178	39.77	47.72	55.68	63.63	79.54
Huntersville district, Pocahontas County	\$63,370	66.01	79.21	92.41	105.62	132.02
Little Levels district, Pocahontas County	\$47,768	49.76	59.71	69.66	79.61	99.52
Preston	\$51,992	54.16	64.99	75.82	86.65	108.32
Fifth district, Preston County	\$49,677	51.75	62.10	72.45	82.80	103.49
First district, Preston County	\$54,167	56.42	67.71	78.99	90.28	112.85
Fourth district, Preston County	\$49,205	51.26	61.51	71.76	82.01	102.51
Second district, Preston County	\$57,649	60.05	72.06	84.07	96.08	120.10
Third district, Preston County	\$44,367	46.22	55.46	64.70	73.95	92.43
Putnam	\$63,954	66.62	79.94	93.27	106.59	133.24
District 1, Putnam County	\$50,563	52.67	63.20	73.74	84.27	105.34
District 2, Putnam County	\$79,152	82.45	98.94	115.43	131.92	164.90

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME  
2020 CENSUS  
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

<b>Magisterial District</b>	<b>2020 MHI</b>	<b>1.25%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>1.75%</b>	<b>2.00%</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
District 3, Putnam County	\$68,599	71.46	85.75	100.04	114.33	142.91
Raleigh	\$43,283	45.09	54.10	63.12	72.14	90.17
District 1, Raleigh County	\$43,343	45.15	54.18	63.21	72.24	90.30
District 2, Raleigh County	\$40,816	42.52	51.02	59.52	68.03	85.03
District 3, Raleigh County	\$44,582	46.44	55.73	65.02	74.30	92.88
Randolph	\$45,206	47.09	56.51	65.93	75.34	94.18
Beverly district, Randolph County	\$50,750	52.86	63.44	74.01	84.58	105.73
Dry Fork district, Randolph County	\$25,804	26.88	32.26	37.63	43.01	53.76
Huttonsville district, Randolph County	\$38,287	39.88	47.86	55.84	63.81	79.76
Leadsville district, Randolph County	\$41,330	43.05	51.66	60.27	68.88	86.10
Middle Fork district, Randolph County	\$40,703	42.40	50.88	59.36	67.84	84.80
Mingo district, Randolph County	\$37,052	38.60	46.32	54.03	61.75	77.19
New Interest district, Randolph County	\$51,667	53.82	64.58	75.35	86.11	107.64
Roaring Creek district, Randolph County	\$48,018	50.02	60.02	70.03	80.03	100.04
Valley Bend district, Randolph County	\$65,625	68.36	82.03	95.70	109.38	136.72
Ritchie	\$44,328	46.18	55.41	64.65	73.88	92.35
Clay district, Ritchie County	\$55,094	57.39	68.87	80.35	91.82	114.78
Grant district, Ritchie County	\$46,486	48.42	58.11	67.79	77.48	96.85
Murphy district, Ritchie County	\$35,304	36.78	44.13	51.49	58.84	73.55
Union district, Ritchie County	\$41,531	43.26	51.91	60.57	69.22	86.52
Roane	\$38,895	40.52	48.62	56.72	64.83	81.03
District I, Roane County	\$38,483	40.09	48.10	56.12	64.14	80.17
District II, Roane County	\$29,778	31.02	37.22	43.43	49.63	62.04
District III, Roane County	\$45,225	47.11	56.53	65.95	75.38	94.22
Summers	\$37,769	39.34	47.21	55.08	62.95	78.69
Bluestone River district, Summers County	\$41,432	43.16	51.79	60.42	69.05	86.32
Greenbrier River district, Summers County	\$34,907	36.36	43.63	50.91	58.18	72.72
New River district, Summers County	\$35,634	37.12	44.54	51.97	59.39	74.24
Taylor	\$52,958	55.16	66.20	77.23	88.26	110.33
Eastern district, Taylor County	\$49,788	51.86	62.24	72.61	82.98	103.73
Tygart district, Taylor County	\$41,808	43.55	52.26	60.97	69.68	87.10
Western district, Taylor County	\$61,250	63.80	76.56	89.32	102.08	127.60
Tucker	\$47,527	49.51	59.41	69.31	79.21	99.01
Black Fork district, Tucker County	\$43,935	45.77	54.92	64.07	73.23	91.53
Clover district, Tucker County	\$41,250	42.97	51.56	60.16	68.75	85.94
Davis district, Tucker County	\$45,833	47.74	57.29	66.84	76.39	95.49
Dry Fork district, Tucker County	\$52,121	54.29	65.15	76.01	86.87	108.59
Fairfax district, Tucker County	\$44,063	45.90	55.08	64.26	73.44	91.80
Licking district, Tucker County	\$50,515	52.62	63.14	73.67	84.19	105.24

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME  
2020 CENSUS  
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

<b>Magisterial District</b>	<b>2020 MHI</b>	<b>1.25%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>1.75%</b>	<b>2.00%</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
St. George district, Tucker County	\$46,202	48.13	57.75	67.38	77.00	96.25
Tyler	\$47,598	49.58	59.50	69.41	79.33	99.16
Central district, Tyler County	\$46,875	48.83	58.59	68.36	78.13	97.66
North district, Tyler County	\$49,295	51.35	61.62	71.89	82.16	102.70
South district, Tyler County	\$45,590	47.49	56.99	66.49	75.98	94.98
West district, Tyler County	\$45,208	47.09	56.51	65.93	75.35	94.18
Upshur	\$40,802	42.50	51.00	59.50	68.00	85.00
First district, Upshur County	\$41,353	43.08	51.69	60.31	68.92	86.15
Second district, Upshur County	\$38,750	40.36	48.44	56.51	64.58	80.73
Third district, Upshur County	\$42,837	44.62	53.55	62.47	71.40	89.24
Wayne	\$43,710	45.53	54.64	63.74	72.85	91.06
Butler district, Wayne County	\$50,849	52.97	63.56	74.15	84.75	105.94
Ceredo district, Wayne County	\$43,477	45.29	54.35	63.40	72.46	90.58
Stonewall district, Wayne County	\$32,314	33.66	40.39	47.12	53.86	67.32
Union district, Wayne County	\$48,571	50.59	60.71	70.83	80.95	101.19
Westmoreland district, Wayne County	\$52,073	54.24	65.09	75.94	86.79	108.49
Webster	\$33,358	34.75	41.70	48.65	55.60	69.50
Central district, Webster County	\$23,540	24.52	29.43	34.33	39.23	49.04
Northern district, Webster County	\$38,729	40.34	48.41	56.48	64.55	80.69
Southern district, Webster County	\$39,453	41.10	49.32	57.54	65.76	82.19
Wetzel	\$44,539	46.39	55.67	64.95	74.23	92.79
District 1, Wetzel County	\$37,144	38.69	46.43	54.17	61.91	77.38
District 2, Wetzel County	\$51,418	53.56	64.27	74.98	85.70	107.12
District 3, Wetzel County	\$45,303	47.19	56.63	66.07	75.51	94.38
Wirt	\$45,315	47.20	56.64	66.08	75.53	94.41
Central district, Wirt County	\$36,761	38.29	45.95	53.61	61.27	76.59
Northeast district, Wirt County	\$45,750	47.66	57.19	66.72	76.25	95.31
Southwest district, Wirt County	\$47,065	49.03	58.83	68.64	78.44	98.05
Wood	\$48,711	50.74	60.89	71.04	81.19	101.48
Clay district, Wood County	\$58,935	61.39	73.67	85.95	98.23	122.78
Harris district, Wood County	\$64,464	67.15	80.58	94.01	107.44	134.30
Lubeck district, Wood County	\$58,692	61.14	73.37	85.59	97.82	122.28
Parkersburg district, Wood County	\$38,432	40.03	48.04	56.05	64.05	80.07
Slate district, Wood County	\$63,893	66.56	79.87	93.18	106.49	133.11
Steele district, Wood County	\$56,983	59.36	71.23	83.10	94.97	118.71
Tygart district, Wood County	\$40,867	42.57	51.08	59.60	68.11	85.14
Union district, Wood County	\$73,304	76.36	91.63	106.90	122.17	152.72
Walker district, Wood County	\$41,288	43.01	51.61	60.21	68.81	86.02
Williams district, Wood County	\$66,761	69.54	83.45	97.36	111.27	139.09

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME  
2020 CENSUS  
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

Magisterial District	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Wyoming	\$44,095	45.93	55.12	64.31	73.49	91.86
District 1, Wyoming County	\$42,449	44.22	53.06	61.90	70.75	88.44
District 2, Wyoming County	\$40,907	42.61	51.13	59.66	68.18	85.22
District 3, Wyoming County	\$46,215	48.14	57.77	67.40	77.03	96.28

2020 ACS Tables, U.S. Census Bureau

## APPENDIX D1

### MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME BY MUNICIPALITY

---



**WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME**  
**2020 CENSUS**  
**MUNICIPALITIES**

<b>MUNICIPALITIES</b>	<b>2020 MHI</b>	<b>1.25%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>1.75%</b>	<b>2.00%</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
Addison (Webster Springs), town	\$22,062	22.98	27.58	32.17	36.77	45.96
Albright, town	\$58,750	61.20	73.44	85.68	97.92	122.40
Alderson , town	\$26,053	27.14	32.57	37.99	43.42	54.28
Anawalt, town	\$22,778	23.73	28.47	33.22	37.96	47.45
Anmoore, town	\$23,100	24.06	28.88	33.69	38.50	48.13
Ansted, town	\$38,261	39.86	47.83	55.80	63.77	79.71
Athens, town	\$52,760	54.96	65.95	76.94	87.93	109.92
Auburn, town (2014)	\$23,000	23.96	28.75	33.54	38.33	47.92
Bancroft, town	\$59,750	62.24	74.69	87.14	99.58	124.48
Barboursville, village	\$57,599	60.00	72.00	84.00	96.00	120.00
Barrackville, town	\$59,333	61.81	74.17	86.53	98.89	123.61
Bath (Berkeley Springs), town	\$42,686	44.46	53.36	62.25	71.14	88.93
Bayard, town	\$27,273	28.41	34.09	39.77	45.46	56.82
Beckley, city	\$42,972	44.76	53.72	62.67	71.62	89.53
Beech Bottom, village	\$42,500	44.27	53.13	61.98	70.83	88.54
Belington, town	\$36,944	38.48	46.18	53.88	61.57	76.97
Belle, town	\$50,972	53.10	63.72	74.33	84.95	106.19
Belmont, city	\$50,083	52.17	62.60	73.04	83.47	104.34
Benwood, city	\$35,685	37.17	44.61	52.04	59.48	74.34
Bethany, town	\$57,500	59.90	71.88	83.85	95.83	119.79
Bethlehem, village	\$71,042	74.00	88.80	103.60	118.40	148.00
Beverly, town	\$28,750	29.95	35.94	41.93	47.92	59.90
Blacksville, town	\$52,917	55.12	66.15	77.17	88.20	110.24
Bluefield, city	\$35,650	37.14	44.56	51.99	59.42	74.27
Bolivar, town	\$77,000	80.21	96.25	112.29	128.33	160.42
Bradshaw, town	\$19,142	19.94	23.93	27.92	31.90	39.88
Bramwell, town	\$49,063	51.11	61.33	71.55	81.77	102.21
Brandonville, town	\$73,250	76.30	91.56	106.82	122.08	152.60
Bridgeport, city	\$84,295	87.81	105.37	122.93	140.49	175.61
Bruceton Mills, town	\$39,306	40.94	49.13	57.32	65.51	81.89
Buckhannon, city	\$42,287	44.05	52.86	61.67	70.48	88.10
Buffalo, town	\$50,568	52.68	63.21	73.75	84.28	105.35
Burnsville, town	\$72,375	75.39	90.47	105.55	120.63	150.78
Cairo, town	\$24,215	25.22	30.27	35.31	40.36	50.45
Camden-on-Gauley, town	\$38,889	40.51	48.61	56.71	64.82	81.02
Cameron, city	\$24,167	25.17	30.21	35.24	40.28	50.35
Capon Bridge, town	\$57,734	60.14	72.17	84.20	96.22	120.28
Carpendale, town	\$70,172	73.10	87.72	102.33	116.95	146.19
Cedar Grove, town	\$52,313	54.49	65.39	76.29	87.19	108.99

**WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME  
2020 CENSUS  
MUNICIPALITIES**

<b>MUNICIPALITIES</b>	<b>2020 MHI</b>	<b>1.25%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>1.75%</b>	<b>2.00%</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
Ceredo, city	\$36,731	38.26	45.91	53.57	61.22	76.52
Chapmanville, town	\$30,337	31.60	37.92	44.24	50.56	63.20
Charleston, city	\$49,769	51.84	62.21	72.58	82.95	103.69
Charles Town, city	\$77,552	80.78	96.94	113.10	129.25	161.57
Chesapeake, town	\$40,650	42.34	50.81	59.28	67.75	84.69
Chester, city	\$47,993	49.99	59.99	69.99	79.99	99.99
Clarksburg, city	\$41,226	42.94	51.53	60.12	68.71	85.89
Clay, town	\$17,708	18.45	22.14	25.82	29.51	36.89
Clearview, village	\$69,643	72.54	87.05	101.56	116.07	145.09
Clendenin, town	\$42,778	44.56	53.47	62.38	71.30	89.12
Cowen, town	\$27,813	28.97	34.77	40.56	46.36	57.94
Danville, town	\$43,125	44.92	53.91	62.89	71.88	89.84
Davis, town	\$42,019	43.77	52.52	61.28	70.03	87.54
Davy, town	\$28,750	29.95	35.94	41.93	47.92	59.90
Delbarton, town	\$28,140	29.31	35.18	41.04	46.90	58.63
Dunbar, city	\$39,688	41.34	49.61	57.88	66.15	82.68
Durbin, town	\$47,917	49.91	59.90	69.88	79.86	99.83
East Bank, town	\$46,645	48.59	58.31	68.02	77.74	97.18
Eleanor, town	\$64,625	67.32	80.78	94.24	107.71	134.64
Elizabeth, town	\$23,098	24.06	28.87	33.68	38.50	48.12
Elk Garden, town	\$41,250	42.97	51.56	60.16	68.75	85.94
Elkins, city	\$38,910	40.53	48.64	56.74	64.85	81.06
Ellenboro, town	\$50,625	52.73	63.28	73.83	84.38	105.47
Fairmont, city	\$45,540	47.44	56.93	66.41	75.90	94.88
Fairview, town	\$54,265	56.53	67.83	79.14	90.44	113.05
Falling Spring, town	\$38,750	40.36	48.44	56.51	64.58	80.73
Farmington, town	\$66,000	68.75	82.50	96.25	110.00	137.50
Fayetteville, town	\$52,083	54.25	65.10	75.95	86.81	108.51
Flatwoods, town	\$42,411	44.18	53.01	61.85	70.69	88.36
Flemington, town	\$56,250	58.59	70.31	82.03	93.75	117.19
Follansbee, city	\$41,870	43.61	52.34	61.06	69.78	87.23
Fort Gay, town	\$18,667	19.44	23.33	27.22	31.11	38.89
Franklin, town	\$57,857	60.27	72.32	84.37	96.43	120.54
Friendly, town	\$26,667	27.78	33.33	38.89	44.45	55.56
Gary, city	\$32,663	34.02	40.83	47.63	54.44	68.05
Gassaway, town	\$53,073	55.28	66.34	77.40	88.46	110.57
Gauley Bridge, town	\$27,313	28.45	34.14	39.83	45.52	56.90
Gilbert, town	\$42,917	44.71	53.65	62.59	71.53	89.41
Glasgow, town	\$49,412	51.47	61.77	72.06	82.35	102.94
Glen Dale, city	\$64,779	67.48	80.97	94.47	107.97	134.96
Glenville, town	\$31,779	33.10	39.72	46.34	52.97	66.21

**WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME**  
**2020 CENSUS**  
**MUNICIPALITIES**

<b>MUNICIPALITIES</b>	<b>2020 MHI</b>	<b>1.25%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>1.75%</b>	<b>2.00%</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
Grafton, city	\$34,555	35.99	43.19	50.39	57.59	71.99
Grantsville, town	\$28,750	29.95	35.94	41.93	47.92	59.90
Grant Town, town	\$45,352	47.24	56.69	66.14	75.59	94.48
Granville, town	\$27,457	28.60	34.32	40.04	45.76	57.20
Hambleton, town	\$35,000	36.46	43.75	51.04	58.33	72.92
Hamlin, town	\$36,136	37.64	45.17	52.70	60.23	75.28
Handley, town	\$45,923	47.84	57.40	66.97	76.54	95.67
Harman, town	\$22,788	23.74	28.49	33.23	37.98	47.48
Harpers Ferry, town	\$94,914	98.87	118.64	138.42	158.19	197.74
Harrisville, town	\$36,161	37.67	45.20	52.73	60.27	75.34
Hartford City, town	\$50,245	52.34	62.81	73.27	83.74	104.68
Hedgesville, town	\$70,813	73.76	88.52	103.27	118.02	147.53
Henderson, town	\$20,179	21.02	25.22	29.43	33.63	42.04
Hendricks, town	\$43,409	45.22	54.26	63.30	72.35	90.44
Hillsboro, town	\$20,833	21.70	26.04	30.38	34.72	43.40
Hinton, city	\$35,042	36.50	43.80	51.10	58.40	73.00
Hundred, town	\$35,208	36.68	44.01	51.35	58.68	73.35
Huntington, city	\$33,012	34.39	41.27	48.14	55.02	68.78
Hurricane, city	\$62,308	64.90	77.89	90.87	103.85	129.81
Huttonsville, town (2015)	\$27,396	28.54	34.25	39.95	45.66	57.08
Iaeger, town	\$39,063	40.69	48.83	56.97	65.11	81.38
Jane Lew, town	\$45,944	47.86	57.43	67.00	76.57	95.72
Junior, town	\$25,000	26.04	31.25	36.46	41.67	52.08
Kenova, city	\$29,921	31.17	37.40	43.63	49.87	62.34
Kermit, town	\$28,750	29.95	35.94	41.93	47.92	59.90
Keyser, city	\$44,679	46.54	55.85	65.16	74.47	93.08
Keystone, city (2015)	\$22,125	23.05	27.66	32.27	36.88	46.09
Kimball, town	\$48,750	50.78	60.94	71.09	81.25	101.56
Kingwood, city	\$54,190	56.45	67.74	79.03	90.32	112.90
Leon, town (2015)	\$31,786	33.11	39.73	46.35	52.98	66.22
Lester, town	\$26,202	27.29	32.75	38.21	43.67	54.59
Lewisburg, city	\$31,851	33.18	39.81	46.45	53.09	66.36
Logan, city	\$40,980	42.69	51.23	59.76	68.30	85.38
Lost Creek, town	\$50,750	52.86	63.44	74.01	84.58	105.73
Lumberport, town	\$62,578	65.19	78.22	91.26	104.30	130.37
Mabscott, town	\$33,021	34.40	41.28	48.16	55.04	68.79
McMechen, city	\$36,915	38.45	46.14	53.83	61.53	76.91
Madison, city	\$40,938	42.64	51.17	59.70	68.23	85.29
Man, town	\$53,125	55.34	66.41	77.47	88.54	110.68
Mannington, city	\$54,605	56.88	68.26	79.63	91.01	113.76
Marlinton, town	\$31,400	32.71	39.25	45.79	52.33	65.42

**WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME**  
**2020 CENSUS**  
**MUNICIPALITIES**

<b>MUNICIPALITIES</b>	<b>2020 MHI</b>	<b>1.25%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>1.75%</b>	<b>2.00%</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
Marmet, city	\$41,875	43.62	52.34	61.07	69.79	87.24
Martinsburg, city	\$44,363	46.21	55.45	64.70	73.94	92.42
Mason, town	\$27,500	28.65	34.38	40.10	45.83	57.29
Masontown, town	\$34,704	36.15	43.38	50.61	57.84	72.30
Matewan, town	\$16,176	16.85	20.22	23.59	26.96	33.70
Matoaka, town	\$40,000	41.67	50.00	58.33	66.67	83.33
Meadow Bridge, town	\$30,536	31.81	38.17	44.53	50.89	63.62
Middlebourne, town	\$43,929	45.76	54.91	64.06	73.22	91.52
Mill Creek, town	\$39,352	40.99	49.19	57.39	65.59	81.98
Milton, town	\$35,145	36.61	43.93	51.25	58.58	73.22
Mitchell Heights, town	\$66,406	69.17	83.01	96.84	110.68	138.35
Monongah, town	\$48,750	50.78	60.94	71.09	81.25	101.56
Montgomery, city	\$27,045	28.17	33.81	39.44	45.08	56.34
Montrose, town	\$66,250	69.01	82.81	96.61	110.42	138.02
Moorefield, town	\$44,299	46.14	55.37	64.60	73.83	92.29
Morgantown, city	\$42,474	44.24	53.09	61.94	70.79	88.49
Moundsville, city	\$33,399	34.79	41.75	48.71	55.67	69.58
Mount Hope, city	\$29,444	30.67	36.81	42.94	49.07	61.34
Mullens, city	\$50,688	52.80	63.36	73.92	84.48	105.60
Newburg, town	\$41,853	43.60	52.32	61.04	69.76	87.19
New Cumberland, city	\$30,078	31.33	37.60	43.86	50.13	62.66
New Haven, town	\$39,295	40.93	49.12	57.31	65.49	81.86
New Martinsville, city	\$45,303	47.19	56.63	66.07	75.51	94.38
Nitro, city	\$43,564	45.38	54.46	63.53	72.61	90.76
Northfork, town	\$20,750	21.61	25.94	30.26	34.58	43.23
North Hills, town	\$114,861	119.65	143.58	167.51	191.44	239.29
Nutter Fort, town	\$50,598	52.71	63.25	73.79	84.33	105.41
Oak Hill, city	\$43,083	44.88	53.85	62.83	71.81	89.76
Oakvale, town (2014)	\$21,354	22.24	26.69	31.14	35.59	44.49
Oceana, town	\$40,000	41.67	50.00	58.33	66.67	83.33
Paden City, city	\$50,739	52.85	63.42	73.99	84.57	105.71
Parkersburg, city	\$37,933	39.51	47.42	55.32	63.22	79.03
Parsons, city	\$42,109	43.86	52.64	61.41	70.18	87.73
Paw Paw, town	\$53,074	55.29	66.34	77.40	88.46	110.57
Pax, town (2015)	\$33,625	35.03	42.03	49.04	56.04	70.05
Pennsboro, city	\$41,673	43.41	52.09	60.77	69.46	86.82
Petersburg, city	\$40,387	42.07	50.48	58.90	67.31	84.14
Peterstown, town	\$40,868	42.57	51.09	59.60	68.11	85.14
Philippi, city	\$36,371	37.89	45.46	53.04	60.62	75.77
Piedmont, town	\$35,250	36.72	44.06	51.41	58.75	73.44
Pine Grove, town	\$53,438	55.66	66.80	77.93	89.06	111.33

**WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME**  
**2020 CENSUS**  
**MUNICIPALITIES**

<b>MUNICIPALITIES</b>	<b>2020 MHI</b>	<b>1.25%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>1.75%</b>	<b>2.00%</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
Pineville, town	\$60,938	63.48	76.17	88.87	101.56	126.95
Pleasant Valley, city	\$53,994	56.24	67.49	78.74	89.99	112.49
Poca, town	\$59,167	61.63	73.96	86.29	98.61	123.26
Point Pleasant, city	\$42,927	44.72	53.66	62.60	71.55	89.43
Pratt, town	\$54,722	57.00	68.40	79.80	91.20	114.00
Princeton, city	\$41,925	43.67	52.41	61.14	69.88	87.34
Pullman, town	\$48,125	50.13	60.16	70.18	80.21	100.26
Quinwood, town (2015)	\$24,063	25.07	30.08	35.09	40.11	50.13
Rainelle, town	\$29,536	30.77	36.92	43.07	49.23	61.53
Ranson Town, corporation of	\$69,544	72.44	86.93	101.42	115.91	144.88
Ravenswood, city	\$37,012	38.55	46.27	53.98	61.69	77.11
Reedsville, town	\$47,614	49.60	59.52	69.44	79.36	99.20
Reedy, town	\$28,125	29.30	35.16	41.02	46.88	58.59
Rhodell, town (2015)	\$37,813	39.39	47.27	55.14	63.02	78.78
Richwood, city	\$27,327	28.47	34.16	39.85	45.55	56.93
Ridgeley, town	\$32,813	34.18	41.02	47.85	54.69	68.36
Ripley, city	\$34,107	35.53	42.63	49.74	56.85	71.06
Rivesville, town	\$58,458	60.89	73.07	85.25	97.43	121.79
Romney, city	\$32,880	34.25	41.10	47.95	54.80	68.50
Ronceverte, city	\$43,482	45.29	54.35	63.41	72.47	90.59
Rowlesburg, town	\$39,306	40.94	49.13	57.32	65.51	81.89
Rupert, town	\$26,989	28.11	33.74	39.36	44.98	56.23
St. Albans, city	\$50,969	53.09	63.71	74.33	84.95	106.19
St. Marys, city	\$49,836	51.91	62.30	72.68	83.06	103.83
Salem, city	\$40,114	41.79	50.14	58.50	66.86	83.57
Sand Fork, town	\$52,857	55.06	66.07	77.08	88.10	110.12
Shepherdstown, town	\$80,610	83.97	100.76	117.56	134.35	167.94
Shinnston, city	\$59,215	61.68	74.02	86.36	98.69	123.36
Sistersville, city	\$40,125	41.80	50.16	58.52	66.88	83.59
Smithers, city	\$40,135	41.81	50.17	58.53	66.89	83.61
Smithfield, town	\$15,000	15.63	18.75	21.88	25.00	31.25
Sophia, town	\$28,255	29.43	35.32	41.21	47.09	58.86
South Charleston, city	\$51,021	53.15	63.78	74.41	85.04	106.29
Spencer, city	\$21,139	22.02	26.42	30.83	35.23	44.04
Star City, town	\$51,450	53.59	64.31	75.03	85.75	107.19
Stonewood, city	\$45,236	47.12	56.55	65.97	75.39	94.24
Summersville, town	\$43,287	45.09	54.11	63.13	72.15	90.18
Sutton, town	\$40,469	42.16	50.59	59.02	67.45	84.31
Sylvester, town	\$56,000	58.33	70.00	81.67	93.33	116.67
Terra Alta, town	\$40,774	42.47	50.97	59.46	67.96	84.95
Thomas, city	\$51,429	53.57	64.29	75.00	85.72	107.14

**WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME**  
**2020 CENSUS**  
**MUNICIPALITIES**

<b>MUNICIPALITIES</b>	<b>2020 MHI</b>	<b>1.25%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>1.75%</b>	<b>2.00%</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
Thurmond, town (2000)	\$23,750	24.74	29.69	34.64	39.58	49.48
Triadelphia, town	\$50,119	52.21	62.65	73.09	83.53	104.41
Tunnelton, town	\$48,571	50.59	60.71	70.83	80.95	101.19
Union, town	\$26,151	27.24	32.69	38.14	43.59	54.48
Valley Grove, village	\$32,750	34.11	40.94	47.76	54.58	68.23
Vienna, city	\$55,181	57.48	68.98	80.47	91.97	114.96
War, city	\$16,563	17.25	20.70	24.15	27.61	34.51
Wardensville, town	\$42,500	44.27	53.13	61.98	70.83	88.54
Wayne, town	\$24,000	25.00	30.00	35.00	40.00	50.00
Weirton, city	\$50,822	52.94	63.53	74.12	84.70	105.88
Welch, city	\$25,227	26.28	31.53	36.79	42.05	52.56
Wellsburg, city	\$43,152	44.95	53.94	62.93	71.92	89.90
West Hamlin, town	\$33,646	35.05	42.06	49.07	56.08	70.10
West Liberty, town (2014)	\$27,708	28.86	34.64	40.41	46.18	57.73
West Logan, town	\$33,542	34.94	41.93	48.92	55.90	69.88
West Milford, town	\$53,750	55.99	67.19	78.39	89.58	111.98
Weston, city	\$36,728	38.26	45.91	53.56	61.21	76.52
Westover, city	\$51,304	53.44	64.13	74.82	85.51	106.88
West Union, town	\$68,839	71.71	86.05	100.39	114.73	143.41
Wheeling, city	\$41,911	43.66	52.39	61.12	69.85	87.31
White Hall, town	\$63,250	65.89	79.06	92.24	105.42	131.77
White Sulphur Springs, city	\$32,125	33.46	40.16	46.85	53.54	66.93
Whitesville, town	\$20,313	21.16	25.39	29.62	33.86	42.32
Williamson, city	\$25,707	26.78	32.13	37.49	42.85	53.56
Williamstown, city	\$71,442	74.42	89.30	104.19	119.07	148.84
Windsor Heights, village	\$37,750	39.32	47.19	55.05	62.92	78.65
Winfield, town	\$69,432	72.33	86.79	101.26	115.72	144.65
Womelsdorf (Coalton), town	\$41,250	42.97	51.56	60.16	68.75	85.94
Worthington, town	\$20,750	21.61	25.94	30.26	34.58	43.23

2020 ACS Tables, U.S. Census Bureau

## APPENDIX E

### UNEMPLOYMENT DATA

---

Labor Force Data by County 2024	
County	Unemployment Rate
Barbour	4.5
Berkeley	3
Boone	3.9
Braxton	5.9
Brooke	4.6
Cabell	3.3
Calhoun	9.8
Clay	6.6
Doddridge	3.2
Fayette	4.1
Gilmer	5.5
Grant	3.4
Greenbrier	3.3
Hampshire	2.7
Hancock	5
Hardy	4.1
Harrison	3.2
Jackson	4.1
Jefferson	2.4
Kanawha	3.3
Lewis	4.3
Lincoln	4.5
Logan	4.3
Marion	3.7
Marshall	4.1
Mason	4.1
McDowell	6.6
Mercer	4.1
Mineral	4
Mingo	5.1
Monongalia	2.8
Monroe	3
Morgan	2.7
Nicholas	4.7
Ohio	3
Pendleton	2.5
Pleasants	5.5
Pocahontas	3.2
Preston	3.4
Putnam	3
Raleigh	3.3
Randolph	4.6
Ritchie	4.8
Roane	6.2
Summers	3.6
Taylor	3.4
Tucker	3.2
Tyler	5.5
Upshur	4.4
Wayne	3.6
Webster	5.4
Wetzel	5.8
Wirt	5.3
Wood	3.6
Wyoming	3.9
WV	3.6
Source: <a href="http://www.workforcewv.org">www.workforcewv.org</a>	



# APPENDIX F

## POPULATION DATA

---

**Population Data**

<b>County</b>	<b>2020 Estimate</b>	<b>2023 Estimate</b>	<b>Delta</b>	<b>% Change *red reflects negative</b>
Barbour	16,543	15,454	1,089	6.58
Berkeley	117,615	126,165	8,550	7.27
Boone	21,897	21,312	585	2.67
Braxton	14,032	12,345	1,687	12.02
Brooke	22,162	22,053	109	0.49
Cabell	93,328	93,300	28	0.03
Calhoun	7,185	6,158	1,027	14.29
Clay	8,599	7,946	653	7.59
Doddridge	8,499	7,767	732	8.61
Fayette	43,087	39,987	3,100	7.19
Gilmer	7,970	7,376	594	7.45
Grant	11,565	10,972	593	5.13
Greenbrier	34,893	32,688	2,205	6.32
Hampshire	23,304	23,340	36	0.15
Hancock	29,118	28,658	460	1.58
Hardy	13,789	14,236	447	3.24
Harrison	67,620	65,407	2,213	3.27
Jackson	28,793	27,753	1,040	3.61
Jefferson	56,922	58,546	1,624	2.85
Kanawha	181,014	178,198	2,816	1.56
Lewis	16,024	16,808	784	4.89
Lincoln	20,617	20,170	447	2.17
Logan	32,593	31,826	767	2.35
McDowell	18,083	18,413	330	1.82
Marion	56,233	56,042	191	0.34
Marshall	30,900	30,129	771	2.50
Mason	26,700	25,214	1,486	5.57
Mercer	59,370	59,062	308	0.52
Mineral	27,047	26,922	125	0.46
Mingo	23,808	22,979	829	3.48
Monongalia	106,196	106,520	324	0.31
Monroe	13,344	12,401	943	7.07
Morgan	17,800	17,327	473	2.66
Nicholas	24,857	24,446	411	1.65
Ohio	41,875	41,904	29	0.07
Pendleton	6,968	6,111	857	12.30
Pleasants	7,457	7,572	115	1.54
Pocahontas	8,382	7,855	527	6.29
Preston	33,610	34,204	594	1.77
Putnam	56,604	57,250	646	1.14
Raleigh	74,452	73,666	786	1.06
Randolph	28,763	27,782	981	3.41
Ritchie	9,747	8,372	1,375	14.11
Roane	13,831	13,921	90	0.65
Summers	12,710	11,833	877	6.90
Taylor	16,817	16,543	274	1.63
Tucker	6,943	6,698	245	3.53
Tyler	8,736	8,181	555	6.35
Upshur	24,451	23,758	693	2.83
Wayne	39,952	38,498	1,454	3.64
Webster	8,289	8,253	36	0.43
Wetzel	15,291	14,233	1,058	6.92
Wirt	5,764	5,131	633	10.98
Wood	84,387	83,829	558	0.66
Wyoming	20,890	20,948	58	0.28

**Source: [https://data.census.gov/table/ACSDT5Y2023.B01003?q=ACS+2023+5-year+B01003&g=040XX00US54,54\\$0500000&tp=true](https://data.census.gov/table/ACSDT5Y2023.B01003?q=ACS+2023+5-year+B01003&g=040XX00US54,54$0500000&tp=true)**

## APPENDIX G

### POTENTIAL LEAD SERVICE LINE PROJECTS

---

**DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND**

**"Lead Service Line" Infrastructure Project Solicitation for FY2026 IUP**

Project	Description	Total Project Cost Estimate	Total LSL Cost
Clarksburg Water Board (Phase 3B)	Lead Service Line Replacement and Associated Main Line Water Line Replacement.	\$30,000,000	\$15,352,000
Davis, Town of	Replace 3,000 LF of the raw water line with new 8-inch PVC water line to reduce the lead concentration of the raw water. Sections of failing and/or lead contaminated main water lines in the distribution system are proposed to be replaced with 600 LF of 6-inch PVC water line.	\$1,260,000	\$600,000
Gary, City of	Complete a lead service line inventory and then develop a plan of action.	\$3,821,000	\$150,000
Tomlinson Public Service District	Construction of a new 800 GPM treatment plant, refurbishment and upgrade of existing wells, refurbish existing 1.7 MG storage tank, one new 12" raw water line, and one new 12" finished water line connecting back to the distribution systems.	\$14,343,150	\$203,150
West Virginia American Water	Remove and replace 18 identified lead or galvanized service lines in the WVAV Huntington water system.	\$508,600	\$508,600
White Sulphur Springs, City of (Villa Park)	Replace existing water lines throughout the Villa Park area of White Sulphur Springs in Greenbrier County, West Virginia. Approximately 14,400 LF of 6" waterline is to be installed as well as 2,450 LF of 2" waterline, 214 service re-connections, 13 fire hydrants, valves, and other necessary appurtenances.	\$4,935,000	\$4,935,000
	TOTAL	\$54,867,750	\$21,748,750

## APPENDIX H

### POTENTIAL EMERGING CONTAMINANTS PROJECTS

---

**DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND**

**"Emerging Contaminants" Infrastructure Project Solicitation for FY2026 IUP**

Project	Description	Total Project Cost Estimate	Total EC Cost
Benwood, City of	Address the Iron & Manganese issue as well as the PFAS Chemicals.	\$4,800,000	\$4,000,000
Hardy County Public Service District	Upgrade the existing WTP with a new pretreatment system utilizing ion exchange to remove PFAS from the water supply.	\$3,450,000	\$1,500,000
Lubeck Public Service District	Installation of approximately 18,000 linear feet of 6" water line along Pine Run Road.	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
Lubeck Public Service District	Installation of an additional GAC filtration process at the Water Treatment Plant.	\$15,000,000	\$7,500,000
New Martinsville Water & Sanitary Sewer Board	Install greensand filters for iron and manganese control.	\$20,480,000	\$20,480,000
Parkersburg Utility Board	GAC for PFAS treatment	\$21,584,100	\$21,584,100
St. Marys, City of	Install greensand filters for iron and manganese control and GAC for PFAS treatment.	\$9,500,000	\$9,500,000
Union Williams Public Service District	Upgrade the existing Union Williams WTP with a new pretreatment system utilizing ion exchange to remove PFAS from the groundwater supply.	\$5,000,000	\$1,000,000
Walton Public Service District	Implement GAC filtration to remove PFA's and refurbish or replace existing filter media and controls, electrical service, pumps, backwash basins, presedimentation basin and the filter building.	\$5,450,000	\$5,450,000
Williamstown, City of	Greensand filters for iron and manganese control and GAC for PFAS treatment.	\$5,210,000	\$5,210,000
	TOTAL	\$91,974,100	\$77,724,100

# APPENDIX I

## SOURCES AND USES CHART (FOR EPA USE ONLY)

---

West Virginia Drinking Water Treatment Revolving Fund  
Intended Use Plan - Sources and Uses of Funds  
(for EPA use only)

**Cumulative Sources as of December 31, 2024**

Capitalization Grants (35)	\$	267,276,782	
State Match	\$	49,541,757	
BIL Capitalization Grants (2)	\$	62,032,000	
BIL State Match	\$	8,501,700	
Emerging Contaminants Grants (2)	\$	22,835,000	
Lead Service Line Grants (2)	\$	85,650,000	
10% Set-Aside State Match	\$	16,066,600	
Repayments (P + I)	\$	117,774,009	
Investment Earnings	\$	10,668,495	
Sources sub-total (a)			\$ 640,346,343

**Cumulative Uses as of December 31, 2024**

Project Loan Assistance	\$	538,312,047	
Technical Assistance (2%)	\$	5,347,031	
Program Administration (4%)	\$	7,351,571	
Program Management (State & Federal 10%)	\$	22,813,210	
Local Assistance (15%)	\$	34,034,240	
Uses sub-total (b)			\$ 607,858,099

**FY2026 Sources of Funds**

Available funds from prior IUPs (a - b)	\$	32,488,244	
Base Capitalization Grant (FFY2025 Funds)	\$	11,000,000	
Base State Match	\$	2,200,000	
BIL Capitalization Grant #3 (FFY 2024 Funds)	\$	24,898,000	
BIL State Match	\$	4,979,000	
Emerging Contaminants Grant	\$	7,640,000	
Lead Service Line Replacement Grant	\$	28,650,000	
Earnings (estimate)	\$	1,891,972	
Repayments (estimate)	\$	11,733,787	
Sources of Funds ( c )			\$ 125,481,003

**Less**

Appendix B Projects	\$	56,896,488	
Loan Closings Between 12/31/2024 - 6/30/25	\$	-	
Technical Assistance (2%)	\$	100,000	
DWTRF Administrative Expenses (4%)	\$	-	
Program Management (State & Federal 10%)	\$	1,493,149	
Local Assistance (15%)	\$	7,979,916	
Total			\$ 66,469,553 (Still working on LSL project development)