

Cosco, Kathy

From: Henry Deare [spreadingchestnut@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2010 10:59 PM
To: DEP Comments
Subject: Clean Water Act Criteria and Language Public Comment

Dear Secretary Huffman,

I think that it is important to designate the different types of water that we have when discussing water quality impairment. For instance, the most desirable water is pristine spring or well water that supports life and can be safe drinking water for human and wild life support. Below that would be filtered, water, and chlorination would be an impairment to the life that the water could support. Then there is dead and toxic water that has been used as a dump for, say, industrial waste. There is water that has had its life supporting qualities fouled, then restored through filtering, chemical neutralization processes and then been returned to our Earth after treatment so that it does not impair the ability to support regenerating species of life. The language is most meaningful if life supporting qualities are the basis of the criteria.

We MUST protect and preserve our natural life supporting habitats or we will all suffocate in our own waste, regardless of the numbers of dollars that one may speak.

Please respect our right to a healthy life supporting environment over the "rights" of large corporations to make money or turn a profit. Let us learn to grow the economy without destroying our life supporting habitat. No Dumping!

Got Oxygen?

Linda Lee E. Emrich
HC 73 Box 59 G
Pence Springs, W.V.
24962
304-445-3047